the **Federal Register** on July 5, 2001 (66 FR 35463).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. Information shows that worker separations occurred at the Waynesboro Manufacturing Plant, Waynesboro, Tennessee and the Distribution Center, Lebanon, Tennessee locations of the subject firm. Workers at the Waynesboro, Tennessee location are engaged in the production of western boots. The Lebanon, Tennessee location is a raw material warehouse and distribution center for the Waynesboro, Tennessee location.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending the certification to include workers of the Waynesboro Manufacturing Plant, Waynesboro, Tennessee and the Distribution Center, Lebanon, Tennessee.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Texas Boot, Inc., who were adversely affected by increased imports of western boots.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-39,011 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Texas Boot, Inc., Hartsville, Tennessee (TA–W–39,011), Corporate Headquarters, Nashville, Tennessee (TA–W–39,011A), Waynesboro Manufacturing Plant, Waynesboro, Tennessee (TA–W–39,011B) and Distribution Center, Lebanon, Tennessee TA–W–39,011C) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after June 16, 2001 through June 19, 2003 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 11th day of July, 2001.

## Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–18621 Filed 7–25–01; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## **Employment and Training Administration**

[NAFTA-04603]

IEC Electronics Corporation, Edinburg, TX; (Including Temporary Workers), Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for NAFTA-Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 250(A), subchapter D, chapter 2, title II, of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), the Department of Labor issued a Certification for NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance on April 17, 2001, applicable to workers of IEC Electronics Corporation, Edinburg, Texas. The notice published in the **Federal Register** on May 9, 2001 (66 FR 23734).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm.

Information provided by the company shows that some employees at the subject firm plant were temporary workers from Manpower Temporary Services of Texas and Express Personnel Services, McAllen, Texas and Staff Force Personnel Services, Edinburg, Texas; the workers of these firms produce printed circuit boards at the Edinburg, Texas location of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the
Department is amending the
certification to include temporary
workers of Manpower Temporary
Services of Texas and Express Personnel
Services, McAllen, Texas and Staff
Force Personnel Services, Edinburg,
Texas who were engaged in the
production of printed circuit boards at
IEC Electronics Corporation, Edinburg,
Texas.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of IEC Electronics Corporation, Edinburg, Texas adversely affected by a shift of production of printed circuit boards to Mexico.

The amended notice applicable to NAFTA-04603 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of IEC Electronics Corporation, Edinburg, Texas, and temporary workers at Manpower Temporary Services of Texas and Express Personnel Services, McAllen, Texas, and Staff Force Personnel Services, Edinburg, Texas who were engaged in the production of printed circuit boards at IEC Electronics Corporation, Edinburg, Texas, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 21, 2000, through April 17, 2003, are eligible to apply for NAFTA—TAA under section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 16th day of July, 2001.

## Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-18620 Filed 7-25-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

# FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

#### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

July 19, 2001.

**TIME AND DATE:** 10:30 a.m., Thursday, July 26, 2001.

**PLACE:** Room 6005, 6th Floor, 1730 K Street, NW., Washington, DC.

STATUS: Open.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** The Commission will consider and act upon the following:

1. George Colliers, Inc., Docket Nos. CENT 2000–65, etc. (Issues include whether the judge erred in declining to consider evidence of the operator's financial condition with regard to the effect of the penalty on the operator's ability to continue in business).

Any person attending an open meeting who requires special accessibility features and/or auxiliary aids, such as sign language interpreters, must inform the Commission in advance of those needs. Subject to 29 CFR 2706.150(a)(3) and 2706.160(d).

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFO:** Jean Ellen (202) 653–5629/(202) 708–9300 for TDD Relay/1–800–877–8339 for toll free.

#### Jean H. Ellen,

Chief Docket Clerk.

[FR Doc. 01–18779 Filed 7–24–01; 12:17 pm] BILLING CODE 6735–01–M

## PRESIDIO TRUST

The Presidio of San Francisco, California; Notice of Availability To Review and Announcement of Public Hearings To Comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Presidio Trust Implementation Plan

**AGENCY:** The Presidio Trust.

ACTION: Notice of availability to review and announcement of public hearings to comment on the draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Presidio Trust Implementation Plan (PTIP), an update to the July 1994 Final General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for the portion of The Presidio of San Francisco (Presidio) under the jurisdiction of the Presidio Trust (Trust). The PTIP EIS supplements the GMPA Environmental Impact Statement adopted by the National Park Service for the Presidio in 1994.

Abstract: Congress created the Trust under the Presidio Trust Act (16 U.S.C. 460bb Appendix, Title I of Pub. L. 104–333, 110 Stat. 4097), as amended (Trust Act), to manage Presidio facilities so as

to make them financially self-sufficient by year 2013 and to protect the Presidio's resources by ensuring longterm financial sustainability. The PTIP EIS describes and analyzes a proposed action, the "Draft Plan," and five alternatives that have been developed to address Trust Act requirements, changed circumstances since the GMPA was completed, and new policies and management approaches of the Trust. The five alternatives to the "Draft Plan," each described in greater detail below, are: "No Action," "Resource Consolidation," "Sustainable Community," "Cultural Destination" and "Minimum Management." Each of the six alternatives presented in the EIS achieves the Trust Act's goals to varying degrees and has a different emphasis. Principal differences include the proposed total building square footage, the proposed amount of non-residential and residential uses, the proposed amount of open space and the proposed method of delivery of public programs. The maximum overall square footage of 5,960,000 allowed under the Trust Act would not be exceeded under any alternative.

The "Draft Plan" alternative was developed and refined based on public input received during the scoping period. Under the Draft Plan, the Presidio would become a center for education, communication and exchange. Buildings would be removed to increase open space, and no net loss of housing units would be achieved, by emphasizing the rehabilitation and reuse of existing buildings.

reuse of existing buildings.

Under the "No Action," or GMPA
2000 alternative, the Trust would
implement the GMPA assuming current
(year 2000) conditions. Buildings would
be removed to increase open space and
enhance natural resources, and available
housing would decrease substantially.
Tenants with a mission related to
environmental, social or cultural
concerns would offer public programs
related to their business mission.

Under the "Resource Consolidation" alternative, the Presidio would become an enhanced open space haven in the center of urban surroundings by maximizing open space in the south through the removal of historic and non-historic structures.

Under the "Sustainable Community" alternative, the Presidio would become a sustainable live/work community in a park setting with a small decrease in housing units, would retain its present dispersed pattern of development, and would emphasize building reuse and rehabilitation.

Under the "Cultural Destination" alternative, the Presidio would become

a national and international destination park by providing robust public programming delivered through the Trust. A substantial level of building demolition in the south would be replaced in the north to provide an increase in and improved mix of housing and to cluster housing near work and transit.

Under the "Minimum Management" alternative, there would be no significant physical change beyond that already underway, and the Presidio would be minimally managed to meet minimum legal requirements.

Major impact topics addressed in the EIS include historic resources, cultural landscape, archaeology, biological resources, water resources, visual resources, air quality, noise, land use, socioeconomic issues, visitor experience, recreation, public safety, transportation, water supply, utilities, Trust operations, and cumulative impacts.

Materials Available to the Public: The EIS is being sent to agencies, organizations and individuals who have expressed an interest in such information. Copies of the EIS are available by calling 415/561–5414 or by writing the Presidio Trust, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129–0052. The EIS may also be reviewed in the Trust's library at 34 Graham Street, San Francisco, CA, and is available electronically on the Trust's website (www.presidiotrust.gov). A CD–ROM version may be obtained by calling or writing to the Trust.

Public Meetings: Information on the EIS will be provided and oral comment will be received at the following public meetings:

- August 28, 2001—7:30 p.m. Golden Gate National Recreation Area Citizens' Advisory Commission Meeting, Building 201, Upper Fort Mason, San Francisco, CA.
- September 11, 2001—6 p.m. to 9 p.m. Presidio Trust Public Hearing, 135 Fisher Loop (Golden Gate Club), The Presidio of San Francisco, CA.
- September 17, 2001—1 p.m. to 4 p.m. Presidio Trust Board of Directors meeting, 50 Moraga Avenue (Officers' Club), The Presidio of San Francisco, CA

Comments: The public review period for the EIS ends on September 25, 2001. Written comments should be sent to: PTIP, c/o John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Manager, the Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129–0052. Fax: 415/561–2716; e-mail: ptip@presidiotrust.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Manager, the

Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129–0052. Telephone: 415/561–5414.

Dated: July 20, 2001.

Karen A. Cook,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 01–18633 Filed 7–25–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4310–4R–P** 

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application To Withdraw From Listing and Registration; (Opinion Research Corporation, Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value) File No. 1–14927

July 20, 2001.

Opinion Research Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("Issuer"), has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") <sup>1</sup> and Rule 12d2–2(d) thereunder, <sup>2</sup> to withdraw its Common Stock, \$.01 par value ("Security"), from listing and registration on the American Stock Exchange ("Amex").

The Board of Directors ("Board") of the Issuer approved a resolution on June 29, 2001 to withdraw the Security from listing on the Exchange and to list the Security on the Nasdaq Stock Market ("Nasdaq"). The issuer represented that trading in the Security was scheduled to begin on the Nasdaq at the opening of business on July 18, 2001. The Issuer has stated that the Board took such action, in response to the Issuer's investors, to increase its visibility to investors and improve the Security's liquidity.

The Issuer has stated in its application that it has met the requirements of Amex Rule 18 by complying with all applicable laws in effect in the State of Delaware, in which it is incorporated, and with the Amex's rules governing an issuer's voluntary withdrawal of a security from listing and registration. The Issuer's application relates solely to the Security's withdrawal from listing on the Amex and from registration under section 12(b) of the Act 3 and shall affect neither its approval for trading on the Nasdaq nor its obligation to be registered under section 12(g) of the Act.4

Any interested person may, on or before August 10, 2001, submit by letter

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78*l*(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.12d2-2(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78*l*(b).

<sup>4 15</sup> U.S.C. 78 l(g).