Issued in Washington, DC, on July 20, 2001.

## Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

# **Dispositions of Petitions**

Docket No.: FAA-2001-9101. Petitioner: Associated Air Center. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 25.813(e).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit installation of interior doors between passenger compartments on the Boeing Model 737–2H4 airplane. Grant, 07/03/2001, Exemption No. 7561.

Docket No.: FAA–2000–8344. Petitioner: Mr. Rudy E. Mack, Sr. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 121.383(c).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Mr. Mack to act as a pilot in operations conducted under part 121 after reaching his 60th birthday. Denial, 06/29/2001, Exemption No. 7556.

Docket No.: FAA-2001-9115. Petitioner: Mr. Pedro Dove. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 21.383(c).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Mr. Dove to act as a pilot in operations conducted under part 121 after reaching his 60th birthday. Denial, 06/29/2001, Exemption No. 7555.

Docket No.: FAA-2001-9283.

Petitioner: Atlantic Coast Airlines.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR
121.411, 121.413, 121.434, 121.440, and
121.441.

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit ACA and its pilots to count certain part 121 training, checking, and experience acquired or accomplished for pilots and check airmen on the Fairchild-Dornier 328– 300Jet while those pilots or check airmen were employed by Atlantic Coast Jet, Inc., as if that training, checking, and experience were accomplished at ACA. Grant, 06/29/ 2001, Exemption No. 7539A.

Docket No.: FAA-2001-8862 (previously Docket No. 22706). Petitioner: Bankair, Inc. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.255(e)(1).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Bankair pilots to operate Bankair aircraft at any U.S. military base that has adopted the criteria contained in the U.S. Standard for Terminal Instrument Procedures used for determining lower-thanstandard departure minimums using takeoff visibility minimum that are less than 1 mile and equal to or greater than the landing visibility minimums established for those airfields. *Grant*, 06/29/2001, Exemption No. 6661B.

Docket No.: FAA-2001-8939 (previously Docket No. 23477).

*Petitioner:* Experimental Aircraft Association.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 103.1(a) and (e)(1) through (e)(4).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit EAA to permit individuals authorized by EAA to give instruction in powered ultralights that have a maximum empty weight of not more than 496 pounds, have a maximum fuel capacity of not more than 10 U.S. gallons, are not capable of more than 75 knots calibrated airspeed at full power in level flight, and have a power-off stall speed that does not exceed 35 knots calibrated airspeed. Grant, 06/29/2001, Exemption No. 3784J.

Docket No.: FAA-2000-8086.

Petitioner: Frontier Flying Service,
Inc.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 119.67(a)(3)(i).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Mr. Robert Hajdukovich to serve as Director of Operations of FFS without having at least 3 years experience, within the last 6 years, as pilot in command of a large airplane operated under 14 CFR part 121 or part 135. Grant, 06/29/2001, Exemption No. 7557.

Docket No.: FAA-2001-9379 (previously Docket No. 22451).

Petitioner: Air Transportation Association of America.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 121.613, 121.619(a), and 121.625.

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit ATA-member airlines and other similarly situated part 121 operators to continue to dispatch airplanes under instrument flight rules when conditional language in a onetime increment of the weather forecast states that the weather at the destination airport, alternate airport, or both airports could be below the authorized weather minimums when other time increments of the weather forecast state that weather conditions will be at or above the authorized weather minimums. Grant, 06/29/2001. Exemption No. 3585M.

[FR Doc. 01–18545 Filed 7–24–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Federal Aviation Administration [Summary Notice No. PE-2001-55]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Dispositions of Petitions Issued

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of dispositions of prior petitions.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption part 11 of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), this notice contains a summary of dispositions of certain petitions previously received. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect to FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion of omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Forest Rawls (202) 267–8033, Sandy Buchanan-Sumter (202) 267–7271, or Vanessa Wilkins (202) 267–8029, Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 20, 2001.

### Donald P. Bryne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

## **Dispositions of Petitions**

Docket No.: 30160.

Petitioner: Raytheon Aircraft Parts Inventory & Distributions Co. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 21.323(b).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit RAPID to issue export airworthiness approvals for Class III products without meeting the eligibility requirements of § 21.323(b). Denial, 06/29/2001, Exemption No. 7558.

Docket No.: 30034.

*Petitioner:* Coalition of Airline Pilots Association.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 61.23(c).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To extend the duration of first-class medical certificates from 6 months to 1 year for all airline transport pilots who are currently, or who will in the future become, members of CAPA. Denial, 07/06/2001, Exemption No. 7563.

Docket No.: FAA–2001–8878 (previously Docket No. 29207). Petitioner: American Airlines, Inc. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 121.434(c)(1)(ii).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit American to substitute a qualified and authorized check airman in place of an FAA inspector to observe a qualifying pilotin-command (PIC) while that PIC is performing prescribed duties during at least one flight leg that includes a takeoff and a landing when completing initial or upgrade training specified in § 121.424. Grant, 07/06/2001, Exemption No. 6916A.

Docket: FAA–2001–9641. Petitioner: Rhoades Aviation, Inc. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 35.152(a).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Rhoades to operate one Douglas DC-3TP airplane (Registration No. N376AS) without that airplane being equipped with an approved digital flight data recorder that is capable of recording propeller speed or TE flaps. Grant, 07/06/2001, Exemption No. 7562.

Docket: FAA-2001-8942. Petitioner: Aerotech of Louisville. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 45.45(f).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Aerotech to place and maintain its inspection procedures manual (IPM) in fixed locations within its repair station facility rather than giving a copy of its IPM to each of its supervisory and inspection personnel. Grant, 05/10/2001, Exemption No. 7535.

Docket No.: FAA–2001–9097 (previously Docket No. 27205). Petitioner: Federal Express Corporation.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit FedEx to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. Grant, 07/09/ 2001, Exemption No. 5711F.

Docket No.: FAA-2001-9232 (previously Docket No. 29618). Petitioner: Blatti Aviation, Inc. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Blatti to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. Grant, 07/09/ 2001, Exemption No. 6957A.

Docket No.: FAA-2001-9788.

Petitioner: Industrial Helicopters, Inc. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit IHI to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. Grant, 07/09/ 2001, Exemption No. 7566.

Docket No.: FAA-2001-9790.

Petitioner: Cornerstone Air Charter,
Inc.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit CACI to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. Grant, 07/09/ 2001, Exemption No. 7564.

[FR Doc. 01–18546 Filed 7–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Surface Transportation Board**

[STB Finance Docket No. 34066]

# City of Peoria, IL—Acquisition and Operation Exemption—Union Pacific Railroad Company

City of Peoria, IL (City), a noncarrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to acquire from Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) and operate a 1.9-mile line of railroad, commonly known as the Pioneer Industrial Lead (Lead),¹ that extends easterly from UP's Peoria Subdivision, at approximately milepost 71.5, to the end of a track, a short distance west of University Avenue, in the City of Peoria, Peoria County, IL.²

The transaction was scheduled to be consummated on July 10, 2001 (7 days after the exemption was filed).

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to reopen the proceeding to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 34066 must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20423—

0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on Thomas F. McFarland, Esq., 208 South LaSalle Street, Suite 1890, Chicago, IL 60604– 1194.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at www.stb.dot.gov.

Decided: July 18, 2001.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

#### Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–18570 Filed 7–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915–00-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

# Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

July 18, 2001.

The Department of Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, Room 2110, 1425 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220. **DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before August 24, 2001

# Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (BATF)

to be assured of consideration.

OMB Number: 1512–0561.
Form Number: ATF Form 5013.1.
Type of Review: Extension.
Title: Electronic Filing User Access
Enrollment Form.

Description: This form will be used in a pilot program for electronic filing of ATF forms. The pilot is being developed by ATF and Treasury's Financial Management Service. Participants will need to complete the form to be granted a password to access the e-filing system.

*Respondents:* Business or other forprofit.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 25

Estimated Burden Hours Per Respondent: 18 minutes.

Frequency of Response: Annually. Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 7 hours.

Clearance Officer: Frank Bowers, (202) 927–8930, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Room 3200, 650

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The City notes that the Lead is not delineated by milepost numbers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The City indicates that there are no active shippers presently on the Lead and that, if the City were called upon to operate the Lead, it would do so or would arrange for a rail operator to do so.