§ 52.220 Identification of plan. \* \* \* (c) \* \* \* (255) \* \* \* (i) \* \* \* (A) \* \* \* (5) Rule 449, adopted on April 3, 1997. (D) \* \* \* (2) Section (Rule) 439.5, adopted on July 15, 1997. \* \* (264) \* \* \* (i) \* \* \* (D) Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District. (1) Rule 1002, adopted on April 21, 1999. (273) \* \* \* (i) \* \* \* (A) \* \* \* (2) Rule 4622, adopted on June 18, 1998. (277) \* \* \* (i) \* \* \* (C) \* \* \* (6) Rule 8–7, adopted on November 17, 1999. \* [FR Doc. 01-18411 Filed 7-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[AZ 099-0039; FRL-7013-3]

Revisions to the Arizona State Implementation Plan, Pinal-Gila Counties Air Quality Control District and Pinal County Air Quality Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is finalizing approval of recisions of Pinal-Gila Counties Air Pollution Control District (PGCAQCD) rules from the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) portion (with respect to Gila County) and the Pinal County Air Pollution Control District (PCAQCD) portion of the Arizona State Implementation Plan

(SIP). These revisions were proposed in the **Federal Register** on May 1, 2001. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** This rule is effective on

August 24, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** You can inspect copies of the administrative record for this action at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. You can inspect copies of the submitted rule revisions at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, 3033 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, AZ 85012.

Pinal County Air Quality Control District, Building F, 31 North Pinal Street, Florence, AZ 85232.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Al

Petersen, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105; (415) 744–1135.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, "we," "us" and "our" refer to EPA.

# **I. Proposed Action**

On May 1, 2001 (66 FR 21675), EPA published a direct final approval to rescind PGCAQCD rules in tables 1 through 6 from the Arizona SIP. We received adverse comments on this action and withdrew the direct final approval on June 20, 2001 (66 FR 33029). On May 1, 2001 (66 FR 21727), EPA also published a proposal notice to rescind these SIP rules. Today's action addresses the comments and finalizes the proposed approval to rescind the SIP rules.

The PGCAQCD SIP rules in table 7 were submitted by ADEQ for recision but are already rescinded in previous actions with respect to both Gila County and PCAQCD. These rules are listed in table 7 for clarity only, and we will take no further action on them.

TABLE 1.—PGCAQCD RULES (PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED ON JULY 1, 1975, APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 15, 1978, 43 FR 53031) FOR RESCISSION WITH RESPECT TO BOTH GILA COUNTY AND PCAQCD

PGCAQCD	Rule title	Replacement	Replacement
SIP rule		ADEQ SIP	PCAQCD SIP
number		rule number	rule number
7–1–1.1 7–1–1.3 7–1–2.5(A) 7–1–2.5(B) 7–1–2.5(C) 7–1–2.6	Air Pollution Prohibited Permits: Transfer Permits: Expiration	(Note 1) (Note 1) R9–3–317 R9–3–306 R9–3–315 R9–3–308,	(Note 1) (Note 1) 3–1–090 3–1–089 (Note 1) 3–1–103,
7–2–1.1 7–2–1.2	Non-Specific Particulate Sulfur Dioxide	R9–3–314. R9–3–201 R9–3–202 (Note 2).	3–1–170 2–1–020 2–1–030
7–2–1.4		R9-3-204	2-1-040
7–2–1.5		R9-3-205	2-1-050
7–2–1.6		R9-3-206	2-1-060
7–2–1.7		R9-3-216	2-3-110
7–3–1.6		(Note 1)	(Note 1)

# TABLE 2.—PGCAQCD RULES (PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED ON JULY 1, 1975, APPROVED ON DECEMBER 17, 1979, 44 FR 73033) FOR RESCISSION WITH RESPECT TO BOTH GILA COUNTY AND PCAQCD

PGCAQCD	Rule title	Replacement	Replacement
SIP rule		ADEQ SIP	PCAQCD SIP
number		rule number	rule number
7–2–1.8	Anti-Degradation	(Note 1)	(Note 1)

# TABLE 3.—PGCAQCD RULES (PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED ON AUGUST 7, 1980, APPROVED ON APRIL 12, 1982, 47 FR 15580) FOR RESCISSION WITH RESPECT TO BOTH GILA COUNTY AND PCAQCD

PGCAQCD	Rule title	Replacement	Replacement
SIP rule		ADEQ SIP	PCAQCD SIP
number		rule number	rule number
· · · · — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Definitions	R9–3–101	1–3–140
	Air Pollution Prohibited	(Note 1)	(Note 1)

# TABLE 4.—PGCAQCD RULES (PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED ON JULY 1, 1975, APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 15, 1978, 43 FR 53031 FOR RESCISSION WITH RESPECT TO BOTH GILA COUNTY ONLY

PGCAQCD	Rule title	Replacement	Replacement
SIP rule		ADEQ SIP	PCAQCD SIP
number		rule number	rule number
$\begin{array}{c} 7-3-1.2(A) & \dots \\ 7-3-1.2(B) & \dots \\ 7-3-1.2(C) & \dots \\ 7-3-1.2(D) & \dots \\ 7-3-1.2(E) & \dots \\ 7-3-1.3 & \dots \\ 7-3-1.3 & \dots \\ 7-3-1.5 & \dots \\ 7-3-1.5 & \dots \\ 7-3-1.5 & \dots \\ 7-3-1.8 & \dots \\ 7-3-2.2 & \dots \\ 7-3-2.3 & \dots \\ 7-3-2.3 & \dots \\ 7-3-2.4 & \dots \\ 7-3-3.1 & \dots \\ 7-3-3.2 & \dots \\ 7-3-3.1 & \dots \\ 7-3-3.2 & \dots \\ 7-3-3.1 & \dots \\ 7-3-5.1 & \dots \\ 7-3-5.2 & \dots \end{array}$	Fugitive Dust	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	None None None None None None None None

# TABLE 5.—PGCAQCD RULE (PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED ON JULY 1, 1975, APPROVED ON DECEMBER 17, 1979, 44 FR 73033) FOR RESCISSION WITH RESPECT TO GILA COUNTY ONLY

PGCAQCD	Rule title	Replacement	Replacement
SIP rule		ADEQ SIP	PCAQCD SIP
number		rule number	rule number
7–3–2.5	Other Industries	(Note 2)	None

# TABLE 6.—PGCAQCD RULE (PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED ON AUGUST 7, 1980, APPROVED ON APRIL 12, 1982, 47 FR 15580) FOR RESCISSION WITH RESPECT TO GILA COUNTY ONLY

PGCAQCD	Rule title	Replacement	Replacement
SIP rule		ADEQ SIP	PCAQCD SIP
number		rule number	rule number
7–3–3.4(Å) 7–3–3.4(B) 7–3–3.4(C) 7–3–3.4(D) 7–3–3.4(E) 7–3–3.4(F)	Organic Solvents Organic Solvents Organic Solvents Organic Solvents	R9-3-501 R9-3-503 R9-3-101 R9-3-502 R9-3-525 R9-3-527 R9-3-502 R9-3-502 R9-3-502 R9-3-502	None None None None None None None None

TABLE 6.—PGCAQCD RULE (PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED ON AUGUST 7, 1980, APPROVED ON APRIL 12, 1982, 47 FR 15580) FOR RESCISSION WITH RESPECT TO GILA COUNTY ONLY—Continued

PGCAQCD	Rule title	Replacement	Replacement
SIP rule		ADEQ SIP	PCAQCD SIP
number		rule number	rule number
7–3–3.4(H)	Organic Solvents	(Note 1)	None
7–3–3.4(I)	Organic Solvents	R9–3–101	None
7–3–3.4(J)	Organic Solvents	R9–3–502	None

# TABLE 7.—PGCAQCD RULES SUBMITTED FOR RESCISSION BUT ALREADY RESCINDED WITH RESPECT TO BOTH GILA COUNTY AND PCAQCD

PGCAQCD SIP rule number	Rule title	Recision reference
$\begin{array}{c} 7-1-2.2 \\ 7-1-2.4 \\ 7-1-2.7 \\ 7-1-4.1 \\ 7-1-4.2 \\ 7-1-5.1 \\ 7-1-5.2 \\ 7-1-5.2 \\ 7-1-5.3 \\ 7-1-5.4 \\ 7-1-5.5 \\ 7-1-5.6 \\ 7-2-1.3 \\ 7-3-6.1 \end{array}$	Enforcement	(Note 4) (Note 4) (Note 4) (Note 3)

**Note 1:** Designates a rule determined by EPA to be not appropriate for inclusion in the SIP, because it is unenforceable, or replaced by a federal standard, or refers to a noncriteria pollutant, or refers to local procedural matters, such as those concerning assessment of fees, enforcement, and local hearing board procedures.

**Note 2:** Designates a rule without an exact parallel ADEQ SIP rule or PCAQCD SIP rule, for which information was provided by the ADEQ to show that rescinding the PGCAQCD rule would not conflict with section 110(l) of the CAA.

Note 3: 40 CFR 52.120(c)(18)(iv)(B).

Note 4: 40 CFR 52.120(c)(18)(iv)(A).

We proposed to rescind these PGCAQCD rules because the local agency no longer exists and we determined that their rescission complied with the relevant CAA requirements.

## II. Public Comments and EPA Responses

EPA's proposed action provided a 30day public comment period. During this period, we received comments from the following parties.

• Don Gabrielson, PCAQCD; letter dated May 30, 2001 and received May 31, 2001.

• Bruce Friedl, ADEQ; phone call on May 10, 2001.

The PCAQCD comments and our responses are summarized below.

*Comment I:* PCAQCD notes that in table 1 the numerical references in the

PCAQCD column do not correspond to rule numbers.

*Response:* PCAQCD rule numbers contained typographical errors, and the correct PCAQCD rule numbers are provided in table 1 of today's action. The PCAQCD successor rules listed in table 1 are not being proposed for SIP approval, since they are already SIPapproved rules. These rules are listed as successor rules for the purpose of justifying the rescission of PGCAQCD rules in the PCAQCD pursuant to section 110(l) of the CAA.

*Comment II:* PCAQCD notes that in tables 1 and 3, the proposed approval of PCAQCD Rules 2–3–110 and 1–3–140 as SIP elements does not specify adoption or submittal dates for the underlying rules.

*Response:* The adoption dates for all of the SIP-approved PCAQCD rules in tables 1 and 3 are provided in 65 FR 79742 (December 20, 2000) or in 40 CFR 52.120(c)(18)(iv) (B, C, or D). Also see response to comment I.

*Comment III:* PCAQCD notes that in Table 6, regarding PGCAQCD Rule 7–3– 3.4, no action is being proposed except for the objectionable rescission of the exception spelled out in Rule 7–3– 3.4(H). Deleting section (H) will effectively expand the scope of the remaining SIP-approved provisions of Rule 7–3–3.4. While PCAQCD has put forth specific proposals regarding modifying and partially rescinding Rule 7–3–3.4 as a SIP element, those proposals did not in any way ask for a county-wide expansion of the applicability of Rule 7–3–3.4. Therefore, we must object to the proposed rescission from the PCAQCD portion of the Arizona SIP of Rule 7–3–3.4(H).

*Response:* EPA is rescinding Rule 7– 3–3.4 with respect to Gila County only and is not rescinding Rule 7–3–3.4(H) or any other part of Rule 7–3–3.4 with respect to PCAQCD in today's action.

The ADEQ comments and our responses are summarized below.

*Comment I:* ADEQ notes that in table 1, ADEQ Rule R9–3–206 should be used to justify the rescission of PGCAQCD Rule 7–2–1.6.

*Response:* EPA concurs, and ADEQ Rule R9–3–206 is used.

*Comment II:* ADEQ questions why PGCAQCD Rules 7–3–1.1, 7–3–1.3, 7–3– 1.8, and 7–3–4.1 are not being rescinded with respect to PCAQCD.

*Response:* The cited PGCAQCD rules are being rescinded with respect to PCAQCD in a separate action that simultaneously approves PCAQCD replacement rules into the PCAQCD portion of the Arizona SIP.

# **III. EPA Action**

No comments were submitted that change our assessment that the rescission of the rules complies with the relevant CAA requirements. Therefore, as authorized in section 110(k)(3) of the Act, EPA is fully approving the rescission of these rules from the Arizona SIP with respect to both Gila County and PCAQCD or with respect to Gila County only, as designated in tables 1 through 6.

#### **IV. Administrative Requirements**

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 32111, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use'' (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely approves state law as meeting federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4). This rule also does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it merely approves a state rule implementing a federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for

failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. As required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), in issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the executive order. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. section 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. section 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by September 24, 2001. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: July 5, 2001.

#### Jane Diamond,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

# PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

## Subpart D—Arizona

2. Section 52.120 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(18)(iv)(D), (c)(18)(iv)(E), (c)(18)(iv)(F),(c)(18)(iv)(G), (c)(46)(i)(B), and (c)(46)(i)(C) to read as follows:

## § 52.120 Identification of plan.

\* \* \*

(18) \* \* \* (iv) \* \* \*

\*

(D) Previously approved on November 15, 1978 in paragraph (c)(18)(iv) of this section and now deleted without replacement Rules 7-1-1.1, 7-1-1.3, 7-1-2.5, 7-1-2.6, 7-2-1.1, 7-2-1.2, 7-2-1.4, 7-2-1.5, 7-2-1.6, 7-2-1.7, and 7-3-1.6.

(E) Previously approved on December 17, 1979 in paragraph (c)(18)(iv) of this section and now deleted without replacement Rule 7-2-1.8.

(F) Previously approved on November 15, 1978 in paragraph (c)(18)(iv) of this section and now deleted without replacement with respect to Gila County only Rules 7–3–1.2, 7–3–1.3, 7–3–1.4, 7-3-1.5, 7-3-1.7, 7-3-1.8, 7-3-2.2, 7-3-2.3, 7-3-2.4, 7-3-3.1, 7-3-3.2, 7-3-3.3, 7-3-4.1, 7-3-5.1, and 7-3-5.2.

(G) Previously approved on December 17, 1979 in paragraph (c)(18)(iv) of this section and now deleted without replacement with respect to Gila County only Rule 7–3–2.5.

- \* \*
- (46) \* \* \* (i) \* \* \*

(B) Previously approved on April 12, 1982 in paragraph (c)(46)(i)(A) of this section and now deleted without replacement Rules 7-1-1.2 and 7-1-1.3(C).

<sup>(</sup>c) \* \* \*

(C) Previously approved on April 12, 1982 in paragraph (c)(46)(i)(A) of this section and now deleted without replacement with respect to Gila County only Rules 7–3–1.1, 7–3–1.4(C), 7–3–1.7(F), and 7–3–3.4.

[FR Doc. 01–18410 Filed 7–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# 40 CFR Part 300

\*

[FRL-7016-7]

# National Oil and Hazardous Substance Pollution Contingency Plan; National Priorities List

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Direct final notice of deletion of the Sheller-Globe Corporation Disposal Superfund Site from the National Priorities List.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 7 is publishing a direct final notice of deletion of the Sheller-Globe Corporation Disposal Superfund Site (Site), located near Keokuk, Iowa, from the National Priorities List (NPL). The NPL, promulgated pursuant to section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, as amended, is appendix B of 40 CFR part 300, which is the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP). This direct final deletion is being published by EPA with the concurrence of the state of Iowa, through the Iowa Department of Natural Resources because EPA has determined that all appropriate response actions under CERCLA have been completed and, therefore, further remedial action pursuant to CERCLA is not appropriate. DATES: This direct final deletion will be effective September 24, 2001 unless EPA receives adverse comments by August 24, 2001. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final deletion in the Federal Register informing the public that the deletion will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to: James Colbert, Remedial Project Manager (RPM) at U.S. EPA Region 7, Superfund Division, 901 N. 5th St., Kansas City, Kansas, 66101.

#### **Information Repositories:**

Comprehensive information about the Site is available for viewing and copying

at the Site information repositories located at: U.S. EPA Region 7 Superfund Records Center, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101 and the Keokuk Public Library, 201 N. 5th Street, Keokuk, Iowa 52632.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If additional information is needed, please contact James Colbert at (913) 551–7489 or e-mail at Colbert.Jim@epa.gov. The EPA Region 7 toll-free phone number is 1–800–223–0425.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Table of Contents**

I. Introduction II. NPL Deletion Criteria III. Deletion Procedures IV. Basis for Site Deletion V. Deletion Action

### I. Introduction

The EPA Region 7 is publishing this direct final notice of deletion of the Sheller-Globe Corporation Disposal Superfund Site from the NPL. The EPA identifies sites that appear to present a significant risk to public health or the environment and maintains the NPL as the list of those sites. As described in § 300.425(e)(3) of the NCP, sites deleted from the NPL remain eligible for remedial actions if conditions at a deleted site warrant such action. Because EPA considers this action to be noncontroversial and routine, EPA is taking it without prior publication of a notice of intent to delete. This action will be effective September 24, 2001 unless EPA receives adverse comments by August 24, 2001 on this document. If adverse comments are received within the 30-day public comment period on this document, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of this direct final deletion before the effective date of the deletion and the deletion will not take effect. The EPA will, as appropriate, prepare a response to comments and continue with the deletion process on the basis of the notice of intent to delete and the comments already received. There will be no additional opportunity to comment.

## **II. NPL Deletion Criteria**

Section 300.425(e) of the NCP provides that releases may be deleted from the NPL where no further response is appropriate. In making a determination to delete a release from the NPL, EPA shall consider, in consultation with the state, whether any of the following criteria have been met:

i. Responsible parties or other persons have implemented all appropriate response actions required; ii. All appropriate Fund-financed (Hazardous Substance Superfund Response Trust Fund) response under CERCLA has been implemented, and no further response action by responsible parties is appropriate; or

iii. The remedial investigation has shown that the release poses no significant threat to public health or the environment and, therefore, the taking of remedial measures is not appropriate.

Even if a site is deleted from the NPL, where hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants remain at the deleted site above levels that allow for unlimited use and unrestricted exposure, CERCLA section 121(c), 42 U.S.C. 9621(c) requires that a subsequent review of the site be conducted at least every five years after the initiation of the remedial action at the deleted site to ensure that the action remains protective of public health and the environment. If new information becomes available which indicates a need for further action, EPA may initiate remedial actions. Whenever there is a significant release from a site deleted from the NPL, the deleted site may be restored to the NPL without application of the hazard ranking system.

## **III. Deletion Procedures**

The following procedures apply to deletion of the Site.

(1) The EPA consulted with the state of Iowa on the deletion of the Site from the NPL prior to developing this direct final notice of deletion.

(2) The state of Iowa concurred with deletion of the Site from the NPL.

(3) Concurrently with the publication of this direct final notice of deletion, a notice of the availability of the parallel notice of intent to delete published today in the "Proposed Rules" section of the **Federal Register** is being published in a major local newspaper of general circulation at or near the Site and is being distributed to appropriate federal, state, and local government officials and other interested parties; the newspaper notice announces the 30-day public comment period concerning the notice of intent to delete the Site from the NPL.

(4) The EPA placed copies of documents supporting the deletion in the Site information repositories identified above.

(5) If adverse comments are received within the 30-day public comment period on this document, EPA will publish a timely notice of withdrawal of this direct final notice of deletion before its effective date and will prepare a response to comments and continue with the deletion process on the basis of