Dated: July 9, 2001.

Howard L. Hime,

Director of Standards (Acting), Marine Safety and Environmental Protection.

[FR Doc. 01–17725 Filed 7–13–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee; Aircraft Certification Procedures Issues Meeting

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a public meeting of the Federal Aviation Administration's Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee to discuss Aircraft Certification Procedures issues.

DATES: The meeting will be held on July 20, 2001, from 9 a.m. to 11 a.m. Arrange for oral presentations by July 16, 2001.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Ave. SW., room 827, Washington, DC 20591.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marisa Mullen, FAA, Office of Rulemaking (ARM–205), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–7653, fax: (202) 267–5075.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to § 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463; 5 U.S.C. App. II), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee to be held on July 20, 2001, from 9 a.m. to 11 a.m. at the Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Ave. SW., room 827, Washington, DC. The agenda will include:

- 1. Opening Remarks.
- 2. Committee Administration.
- 3. A status report on the FAA submitted rulemaking projects for "Establishment of Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) Procedures" and "Production Certification and Parts Manufacturing".
- 4. A status report on the Parts and Production Certification Working Groups tasking.
- 5. Future Meetings.

Attendance is open to the interested public, but will be limited to the space available. The FAA will arrange teleconference capability for individuals wishing to participate by teleconference if we receive notification before July 16, 2001. Arrangements to participate by teleconference can be made by

contacting the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section. Callers outside the Washington metropolitan area will be responsible for paying long distance charges.

The public must make arrangements by July 16, 2001, to present oral statements at the meeting. The public may present written statements to the committee at any time by providing 25 copies to the Assistant Executive Director, or by bringing the copies to the meeting. Public statements will only be considered if time permits. In addition, sign an oral interpretation, as well as an assistive listening device, can be made available at the meeting, if requested 10 calendar days before the meeting. Arrangements may be made by contacting the person listed under the heading FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 10, 2001.

Brian Yañez,

Assistant Executive Director for Aircraft Certification Procedures Issues, Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee.

[FR Doc. 01–17713 Filed 7–11–01; 3:48 pm]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Transit Administration [FTA Docket No. FTA-2001-10120]

Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval. The Federal Register Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments was published on April 20, 2001.

DATES: Comments must be submitted before August 15, 2001. A comment to OMB is most effective if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sylvia L. Marion, Office of Administration, Office of Management Planning, (202) 366–6680.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Title:* 49 CFR part 611 Major Capital Investment Projects

Abstract: On June 9, 1998, the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) (Pub. L. 105-178) was enacted. Section 3009(e)(5) of TEA—21 requires FTA to issue regulations on the manner in which candidate projects for capital investment grants and loans for new fixed guideway systems and extensions to existing systems ("new starts") will be evaluated and rated for purposes of the FTA Capital Investment Grants and Loans program for new starts under 49 U.S.C. Section 5309.

The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation was issued on April 7, 1999, (64 FR 17062). The docket was open for public comment through July 6, 1999, though late-filed comments were accepted through July 19, 1999. Comments were received from a total of 41 individuals and organizations. During the comment period, FTA held three additional public outreach workshops to solicit comments on the proposed rule: one in Toronto, Ontario, on May 24, 1999, in conjunction with the 1999 American Public Transit Association's Commuter Rail/Rapid Transit Conference; one in Oakland, California, on June 3, 1999; and one in in Washington, DC, on June 8, 1999. Notes from these workshops have been placed in the docket for this rule (Docket No. FTA-99-5474-48).

The Final Rule was issued on December 7, 2000, (65 FR 76864) noting that a separate burden analysis would be published for public comment and that FTA would seek a control number from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) authorizing FTA to collect the required information. This notice serves that purpose. It is important to note that while the new starts project evaluation and rating regulation is new, the requirements for project evaluation and data collection for the new starts program are not. FTA's requirement to evaluate proposed new starts against a prescribed set of statutory criteria is longstanding. The Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (STURAA) established in law a set of criteria that proposed projects had to meet in order to be eligible for federal funding. The requirement for summary project ratings has been in place since

In general, the information used by FTA for new starts project evaluation and rating purposes should arise as a part of the normal planning process. Prior to this Rule, FTA collected project evaluation information from project sponsors under a Paperwork Reduction Act request (OMB No. 2132–0529) approved under the joint FTA/FHWA planning regulations. However, as the project evaluation criteria have expanded under TEA–21, it has become apparent that some information required

under this Rule may be beyond the scope of ordinary planning activities. Further, while FTA has long required the reporting of information for project evaluations, there has never been a regulatory requirement until TEA-21. Finally, this Rule adds a new requirement for before-and-after data collection for purposes of Government Performance and Results Act reporting as a condition of obtaining a Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA). Therefore, FTA is submitting a separate Paperwork Reduction Act request.

It is also important to note that since this is a new regulatory requirement, the burden estimates include all data collection efforts required by this Rule, regardless of whether or not the same data would have been required under the previous, policy statement-driven process. Thus, the total burden estimate includes items that would have been required whether this regulation had been issued or not. These estimates were also provided in the preamble to the Final Rule dated December 7, 2000.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 47,200 hours.

ADDRESSES: All written comments must refer to the docket number that appears at the top of this document and be submitted to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725—17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention: FTA Desk Officer.

Comments Are Invited On: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the collected information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Issued: July 11, 2001.

Dorrie Y. Aldrich,

Associate Administrator for Administration. [FR Doc. 01–17727 Filed 7–13–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-57-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Research and Special Programs Administration

[Docket No. RSPA-00-7740 (PD-25(R))]

Missouri Prohibition Against Recontainerization of Hazardous Waste at a Transfer Facility

AGENCY: Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of administrative determination of preemption by RSPA's Associate Administrator for Hazardous Materials Safety.

Applicant: The Kiesel Company (Kiesel).

Local Laws Affected: 10 Missouri Code of State Regulations (CSR) 25–6.263(2)(A).10.H.

Applicable Federal Requirements: Federal hazardous material transportation law, 49 U.S.C. 5101 et seq., and the Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR), 49 CFR parts 171– 180.

Mode Affected: Rail and highway.

SUMMARY: Federal hazardous material transportation law preempts Missouri's prohibition against the recontainerization of hazardous wastes at a transfer station, in 10 CSR 25–6.263(2)(A).10.H, because that prohibition is not substantively the same as provisions in the HMR on the packing, repacking, and handling of hazardous material.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Frazer C. Hilder, Office of the Chief Counsel, Research and Special Programs Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001 (Tel. No. 202–366–4400).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In this determination, RSPA considers whether Federal hazardous material transportation law, 49 U.S.C. 5101 *et seq.*, preempts the prohibition against recontainerization of hazardous waste in the following regulation of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (DNR) at 10 CSR 25–6.263(2)(A).10.H:

Recontainerization of hazardous waste at a transfer facility is prohibited; however, hazardous waste containers may be overpacked to contain leaking or to safeguard against potential leaking. When containers are overpacked, the transporter shall affix labels to the overpack container, which are identical to the labels on the original shipping container; * * *.

In a notice published in the **Federal Register** on August 14, 2000, RSPA

invited interested parties to submit comments on Kiesel's application for a determination that this regulation is preempted. 65 FR 49633. In its application, Kiesel stated that it is a licensed hazardous waste transporter and wanted to off-load hazardous wastes from rail cars to trucks at a rail siding at its facility located within the City of St. Louis, Missouri, for further transportation to a licensed disposal site in Illinois. Kiesel stated that the transfer from rail car to motor vehicle would constitute a prohibited "recontainerization" and that RSPA had found "an identical regulation" preempted in PD-12(R), New York Department of Environmental Conservation Requirements on the Transfer and Storage of Hazardous Waste Incidental to Transportation, 60 FR 62527 (Dec. 6, 1995), decision on petition for reconsideration, 62 FR 15970 (Apr. 3, 1997), petition for judicial review dismissed, New York v.

Following publication of the August 14, 2000 notice, it appears that Kiesel and DNR exchanged correspondence regarding the prohibition in 10 CSR 25-6.263(2)(A).10.H, because (1) Kiesel first clarified that it had not been advised by DNR that transferring hazardous waste from a rail car to motor vehicles would constitute a prohibited recontainerization; (2) DNR then stated that it had informed Kiesel that "the offloading of hazardous waste from rail cars onto trucks is not prohibited by 10 CSR 25-6.263(1)"; and (3) Kiesel purported to withdraw its application. In response to the August 14, 2000 notice, RSPA also received comments from National Tank Truck Carriers, Inc. (NTTC) and Safco Safe Transport supporting a finding that Missouri's prohibition is preempted.

U.S. Dep't of Transportation, 37 F.

Supp. 2d 152 (N.D.N.Y. 1999).

In a further public notice published in the Federal Register on December 11, 2000, RSPA explained that it does not have any procedure for withdrawing an application for a preemption determination. 65 FR 77417. RSPA stated that, in the past, it has dismissed proceedings when a local requirement never went into effect or was repealed after the application was filed, but an applicant does not have the option to end a preemption determination proceeding by simply withdrawing its application when the non-Federal requirement on transporting hazardous materials remains in effect. As discussed in the December 11, 2000 notice (65 FR at 77418-19),

Unlike a lawsuit, these administrative proceedings are initiated only when RSPA