database access, and database management that would be required.

#### Comments

Comments are requested specifically on the following questions:

- (1) What impact would this requirement have on the motor carrier industry, drivers, Medical Review Officers, safety advocates, the States and other interested parties?
- (2) What would be the benefits, costs, and safety impacts of requiring States to maintain records of verified positive drug test results?
- (3) How would such a national record-keeping system safeguard the confidentiality of verified drug test results? What systems or methodology could do so?
- (4) Should a process be established to allow drivers to correct errors in their records and to expunge information from their records after a reasonable period of time? What would be considered a reasonable period of time? What documentation would be adequate to justify expunging such a record?
- (5) What are the potential costs involved in implementing this program for each State?
- (6) What are the benefits of having verified positive drug test results housed in a database so that each prospective employer would be required before hiring any driver to query the State that issued the commercial drivers license (CDL)? What are the disadvantages?
- (7) What type of database should be used? Under what conditions should the information be released? Who should have access to this information?
- (8) Who should own and/or house the database?
- (9) Should the database be centralized or distributed at the State level?
- (10) How could we safeguard the confidentiality of verified drug test results?
- (11) Are there States that currently have a program in place where verified positive drug test results are submitted to them? If so, what are their experiences and challenges?

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 31306; sec. 226, Pub. L. 106–159, 113 Stat. 1748; and 49 CFR 1.73.

Issued on: July 2, 2001

### Brian M. McLaughlin,

Acting Deputy Administrator.
[FR Doc. 01–17099 Filed 7–6–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–EX–P

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION** 

#### **Maritime Administration**

[Docket No. MARAD-2001-10049]

## Information Collection Available for Public Comments and Recommendations

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Maritime Administration's (MARAD's) intentions to request extension of approval for three years of a currently approved information collection.

**DATES:** Comments should be submitted on or before September 7, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joe Strassburg, Chief, Division of Marine Insurance, Office of Insurance and Shipping Analysis, Maritime Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone 202–366–4156 or FAX 202–366–7901. Copies of this collection can also be obtained from that office.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** *Title of Collection:* War Risk Insurance.

Type of Request: Extension of currently approved information collection.

OMB Control Number: 2133–0011. Form Numbers: MA–355; MA–528; MA–742; MA–828; and MA–942.

Expiration Date of Approval: February 28, 2002.

Summary of Collection of Information: As authorized by Section 1202, Title XII, Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended, (46 App. U.S.C. 1282), the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Transportation may provide war risk insurance adequate for the needs of the waterborne commerce of the United States if such insurance cannot be obtained on reasonable terms from qualified insurance companies operating in the United States. This collection is required for the program. It consists of forms MA–355; MA–528; MA–742; MA–828; and MA–942.

Need and Use of the Information: The collected information is necessary to determine the eligibility of the applicant and the vessel(s) for participation in the war risk insurance program.

Description of Respondents: Vessel(s) owner or charterer interested in participation in MARAD's war risk insurance program.

Annual Responses: 1165. Annual Burden: 626 hours.

*Comments:* Comments should refer to the docket number that appears at the

top of this document. Written comments may be submitted to the Docket Clerk, U.S. DOT Dockets, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Comments may also be submitted by electronic means via the Internet at http://dmses.dot.gov/submit. Specifically address whether this information collection is necessary for proper performance of the function of the agency and will have practical utility, accuracy of the burden estimates, ways to minimize this burden, and ways to enhance quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected. All comments received will be available for examination at the above address between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m. EDT, Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays. An electronic version of this document is available on the World Wide Web at http:// dms.dot.gov.

By Order of the Maritime Administrator. Date: July 3, 2001.

Joel C. Richard,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–17087 Filed 7–6–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Surface Transportation Board**

[STB Docket No. AB-55 (Sub-No. 591X)]

# CSX Transportation, Inc.— Abandonment Exemption—in Clark and Floyd Counties, IN

CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT) has filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1152 Subpart F—Exempt Abandonments to abandon a 3.8-mile line of railroad between milepost B–50.5 near Clarksville and milepost B–54.3 near New Albany, in Clark and Floyd Counties, IN.¹ The line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Codes 47129 and 47150.

CSXT has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) there is no overhead traffic on the line; (3) no formal

¹ CSXT states that it filed this notice in order to assist the State of Indiana with a road construction project and that it intends to use trackage rights over Louisville & Indiana Railroad Company. CSXT acquired trackage rights over the line in STB Finance Docket No. 33744, CSX Transportation, Inc.—Trackage Rights Exemption—Louisville & Indiana Railroad Company (STB served June 21, 2001).

The Town of Clarksville (Town) filed a request for issuance of a notice of interim trail use (NITU) for the entire line pursuant to section 8(d) of the National Trails System Act, 16 U.S.C. 1247(d). The Board will address the Town's trail use request, and any others that may be filed, in a subsequent decision.

complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of complainant within the 2-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (environmental reports), 49 CFR 1105.8 (historic reports), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment and discontinuance shall be protected under Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) must be filed. Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on August 8, 2001, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues,2 formal expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),3 and trail use/rail banking requests under 49 CFR 1152.29 must be filed by July 19, 2001. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.28 must be filed by July 30, 2001, with: Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423.

A copy of any petition filed with the Board should be sent to applicant's representative: Natalie S. Rosenberg, Counsel, CSX Transportation, Inc., 500 Water Street J150, Jacksonville, FL 32202.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*.

CSXT has filed an environmental report which addresses the effects, if any, of the abandonment and discontinuance on the environment and historic resources. SEA will issue an environmental assessment (EA) by July 13, 2001. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the EA by writing to SEA (Room 500, Surface Transportation Board, Washington, DC 20423) or by calling SEA, at (202) 565–1545. Comments on environmental and historic preservation matters must be filed within 15 days after the EA becomes available to the public.

Environmental, historic preservation, public use, or trail use/rail banking conditions will be imposed, where appropriate, in a subsequent decision.

Pursuant to the provisions of 49 CFR 1152.29(e)(2), CSXT shall file a notice of consummation with the Board to signify that it has exercised the authority granted and fully abandoned its line. If consummation has not been effected by CSXT's filing of a notice of consummation by July 9, 2002, and there are no legal or regulatory barriers to consummation, the authority to abandon will automatically expire.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at www.stb.dot.gov.

Decided: June 28, 2001.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

### Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–16840 Filed 7–6–01; 8:45 am]

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board [STB Docket No. AB-57 (Sub-No. 52X)]

# Soo Line Railroad Company— Abandonment Exemption—in Hennepin County, MN

On June 21, 2001, Soo Line Railroad Company, doing business as Canadian Pacific Railway (Soo Line) filed with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) a petition under 49 U.S.C. 10502 for exemption from the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 10903 to abandon a 4.7+/- mile line of railroad, the 29th Street Corridor, also known as the "Depression Line", between milepost 428.3+/-(TC&W switch turnout west of France Avenue) and milepost 423.6+/-(near the eastern edge of Cedar Avenue), in Minneapolis, Hennepin County, MN. The line traverses U.S. Postal Service Zip Codes 55406, 55407, 55408, and 55416. There are no stations on the line.

The line does not contain federally granted rights-of-way. Any documentation in Soo Line's possession will be made available promptly to those requesting it.

The interest of railroad employees will be protected by the conditions set forth in *Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979).

By issuance of this notice, the Board is instituting an exemption proceeding pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 10502(b). A final decision will be issued by October 9, 2001.

Any offer of financial assistance (OFA) under 49 CFR 1152.27(b)(2) will be due no later than 10 days after service of a decision granting the petition for exemption. Each OFA must be accompanied by a \$1,000 filing fee. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).

All interested persons should be aware that, following abandonment of rail service and salvage of the line, the line may be suitable for other public use, including interim trail use. Any request for a public use condition under 49 CFR 1152.27 or for trail use/rail banking under 49 CFR 1152.29 will be due no later than July 31, 2001. Each trail use request must be accompanied by a \$150 filing fee. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(27).

All filings in response to this notice must refer to STB Docket No. AB–57 (Sub-No. 52X) and must be sent to: (1) Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423–0001; and (2) Diane P. Gerth, 150 South 5th Street, Suite 2300, Minneapolis, MN 55402. Replies to the petition are due on or before July 31, 2001.

Persons seeking further information concerning abandonment procedures may contact the Board's Office of Public Services at (202) 565–1592 or refer to the full abandonment or discontinuance regulations at 49 CFR part 1152. Questions concerning environmental issues may be directed to the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) at (202) 565–1545. [TDD for the hearing impaired is available at 1–800–877–8339.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Board will grant a stay if an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) in its independent investigation) cannot be made before the exemption's effective date. See Exemption of Outof-Service Rail Lines, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any request for a stay should be filed as soon as possible so that the Board may take appropriate action before the exemption's effective date.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Each offer of financial assistance must be accompanied by the filing fee, which currently is set at \$1000. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}\,{\rm Soo}$  Line seeks expedited consideration of the petition because it is currently paying Hennepin County Regional Rail Authority (Authority), the owner of the majority of the underlying real estate, an easement fee annually of \$44,000, or nearly \$850.00 per week, which payments petitioner is obligated to make until abandonment authority has been obtained and the track has been removed from the corridor. Soo Line adds that it wants to reuse the line's rail on other parts of its system and asserts that the Authority is seeking to obtain possession of the corridor as soon as possible to begin work on a trail extension on a portion of the line. Petitioner asks that the Board grant abandonment authority by July 15, 2001. As petitioner is aware, the Board must comply with procedural and substantial requirements, including environmental and historic preservation requirements, that preclude honoring such a request. The Board will act in compliance with statutory and regulatory requirements as expeditiously as possible.