

this part to the Radiological Emergency Preparedness Fund as offsetting collections, which will be available for our REP Program. The Department of the Treasury revisions to section 8025.30 of publication I-TFM 6-8000 require Federal agencies to collect funds by electronic funds transfer when such collection is cost-effective, practicable, and consistent with current statutory authority. Working with the Department of the Treasury we now provide for payment of bills by electronic transfers through Automated Clearing House (ACH) credit payments.

(b) We will send bills that are based on the assessment methodology set out in § 354.4 to licensees to recover the full amount of the funds that we budget to provide REP Program services. Licensees that have more than one site will receive consolidated bills. We will forward one bill to each licensee during the first quarter of the fiscal year, with payment due within 30 days. If we exceed our original budget for the fiscal year and need to make minor adjustments, the adjustment will appear in the bill for the next fiscal year.

§ 354.7 Failure to pay.

Where a licensee fails to pay a prescribed fee required under this part, we will implement procedures under 44 CFR part 11, subpart C, to collect the fees under the Debt Collection Act of 1982 (31 U.S.C. 3711 *et seq.*).

Dated: June 8, 2001.

Joe M. Allbaugh,
Director.

[FR Doc. 01-15054 Filed 6-14-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-06-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 1

[WT Docket No. 97-192; FCC 00-408]

Effective Date Established for Procedures for Reviewing Requests for Relief From State and Local Regulations

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; announcement of effective date.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Federal Communications Commission ("the Commission") announces that the rule adopted in the *RF Procedures Order* of November 17, 2000 (*RF Procedures Order*), regarding its review of requests for relief from impermissible State and local regulation of personal wireless

service facilities based on the environmental effects of radiofrequency (RF) emissions has been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

DATES: The amendment to § 1.1206(a) published at 66 FR 3499, January 16, 2001, is effective June 15, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Evan Baranoff at (202) 418-7142 of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 17, 2000, the Commission adopted the *RF Procedures Order* in 47 CFR Part 1, in WT Docket No. 97-192, FCC 00-408 (66 FR 3499) to address the issues raised in the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (62 FR 48034) regarding the review of requests for relief from impermissible State and local regulation of personal wireless service facilities based on the environmental effects of radiofrequency (RF) emissions. In the *RF Procedures Order*, the Commission provided that such requests under section 332(c)(7)(B)(v) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended,¹ shall be filed as petitions for declaratory ruling, and also established certain required and recommended procedures regarding the service of pleadings and comment periods in such proceedings.

2. The rule change to Note 1 to § 1.1206(a), which was published on January 16, 2001 (66 FR 3499), received OMB approval on June 1, 2001, pursuant to OMB Control No. 3060-0977. The *RF Procedures Order* amended Note 1 to § 1.1206(a) of the Commission's rules so that the expanded service requirements set forth in that note apply to petitions filed pursuant to section 332(c)(7)(B)(v) (i.e., petitions for relief from impermissible State and local regulation of personal wireless service facilities on the basis of RF emissions). Thus, petitioners seeking relief under Section 332(c)(7)(B)(v) must serve a copy of such petitions on those State and local governments that are the subject of the petitions, as well as on those State and local governments. Accordingly, this rule change will become effective June 15, 2001. This notice constitutes publication of the effective date of this rule change.

3. The Public Notice is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036,

¹ 47 U.S.C. 332(c)(7)(B)(v).

(202) 857-3800. The Public Notice is also available via the internet at: http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireless/News_Releases/2001/index.html in da01-1368.doc and da01-1368.txt formats.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 1

Communications common carriers, Telecommunications, Permit-but-disclose proceedings.

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-15125 Filed 6-14-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 15

[ET Docket No. 98-76; FCC 01-160]

Rules To Further Ensure That Scanning Receivers Do Not Receive Cellular Radio Signals

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document grants in part the petitions for partial reconsideration filed by Tandy Corporation and Uniden of America, Inc. We affirm our decision to require manufacturers to make scanning receivers more difficult to modify by making the circuitry inaccessible; relax the warning label requirements for certain devices; and clarify the compliance measurement rules.

DATE: Effective July 16, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rodney Conway, Office of Engineering and Technology, (202) 418-2904.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's *Memorandum Opinion and Order*, ET Docket No. 98-76, FCC 01-160, adopted May 10, 2001, and released May 22, 2001. The full text of this Commission decision is available on the Commission's Internet site at <http://www.fcc.gov>. It is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Room CY-A257, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, D.C., and also may be purchased from the Commission's duplication contractor, International Transcription Service, (202) 857-3800, 1231 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036. Comments may be sent as an electronic file via the Internet to <http://www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html> or by e-mail to ecfs@fcc.gov.

Summary of the Memorandum Opinion and Order

1. In the *Report and Order*, 64 FR 22559, April 27, 1999, in this proceeding, the Commission adopted rules that require scanning receivers to include adequate filtering so that they do not pick up cellular service transmissions. In addition, the amended rules require that scanning receivers be designed so that their tuning, control and filtering circuitry are not easily accessible and that any attempts to modify the scanning receiver to receive cellular service transmissions will likely render the scanning receiver inoperable. Further, the Commission modified the rules to require that a warning label be affixed to scanning receivers to indicate that modification of the receiver to receive cellular service transmissions is a violation of FCC rules and Federal law. To further ensure that parties do not circumvent these requirements by developing a scanning receiver that tunes the cellular frequencies but automatically switches among only two or three frequencies, the Commission modified the definition of a scanning receiver to include receivers that switch between "two or more" frequencies instead of "four or more" frequencies. The manufacture or importation of scanning receivers and frequency converters designed or marketed for use with scanning receivers that do not comply with these new provisions were required to cease on or before October 25, 1999.

2. In their petitions for reconsideration, Tandy and Uniden request that the Commission exempt scanning receivers that are built with the capability to receive only frequencies much lower than those capable of intercepting cellular signals from the circuitry inaccessibility requirement and the warning label requirement. Specifically, Tandy and Uniden state that scanners that only operate in the range of 30 MHz to 512 MHz should be exempted. The petitioners state that the inaccessibility requirement is over-burdensome to both manufacturers and consumers because it will likely increase the manufacturing cost and make it impossible to make future repairs for those scanning receivers that do not have a tuning range of concern for intercepting cellular service. Further, Tandy and Uniden request that scanning receivers that tune at or below 512 MHz be exempted from the warning label requirement because it will require additional steps in the manufacturing process or require changes to the tooling equipment, with

either option likely to increase production costs.

3. We decline to adopt the requested exemptions of the circuitry inaccessibility requirement and the warning label requirement for scanning receivers that tune at or below 512 MHz. The fact that a scanner is intended to tune only below 512 MHz does not ensure that reception of cellular telephone frequencies will not occur. For example, a superheterodyne receiver is capable of receiving images at frequencies separated from the tuned frequency by twice the first intermediate frequency ("IF") of the receiver. Within a scanner having a first IF frequency of 250 MHz, image reception of the 800 MHz cellular telephone bands could occur when the scanner is tuned in the 300 MHz range. For this reason, some scanners that tune only up to 512 MHz could potentially be modified to receive cellular telephone frequencies. Therefore, we will not exempt scanners from the circuitry inaccessibility and labeling requirements based on the 512 MHz frequency cutoff proposed by the petitioners. With regard to the petitioners' concerns about increased manufacturing costs and the inability to make future repairs, we find no other reasonable alternative to the inaccessibility requirement that will provide the same level of prevention of unlawful modifications. We find that these requirements are the best method available to continue to satisfy the requirement of the *Telephone Disclosure and Dispute Resolution Act* ("TDDRA"), Public Law 102-556, that scanning receivers not be capable of readily being altered by the user to receive cellular service transmissions. We also note that in the *R&O*, the Commission allowed flexibility in the ways that a manufacturer may make tuning and control circuitry inaccessible in order to minimize any burdens imposed by the new rules. We also find that the rules imposed for scanners that tune only below 512 MHz are no more burdensome than for other scanners. We therefore reaffirm our finding that the rules adopted in the *R&O* represent the most efficient and least restrictive method to accomplish the Commission's policies and objectives and the statutory mandate of Congress.

4. Tandy and Uniden request that the Commission reword the language contained in the labeling requirement to state that "intentional reception or disclosure of certain radio communications may violate Federal law." Tandy and Uniden believe that this wording would more closely satisfy language contained in a bill that was pending in the House of Representatives

at the time the petitions were filed. We note Congress did not pass H.R. 514 or any subsequent bill that would require a change in the warning label wording. Absent specific legislative action, we find that it would be overly burdensome to scanning receiver manufacturers to adopt any additional changes to the warning label at this time. In addition, we are concerned that the language proposed by Tandy and Uniden does not clearly state that modification of the device to receive cellular service transmissions is a violation of FCC rules and Federal law. We therefore decline to adopt the requested changes in the warning label wording.

5. The petitioners further request that the rules be modified to permit the warning label to be placed on the outside of the device packaging material and in the owners manual as is provided for in § 15.19(b)(3) of the Commission's rules for certain other devices. Tandy and Uniden state that some scanning receivers are so small or compact as to make the inclusion of the full label impossible without significant design modification. Uniden states that it would intentionally have to make the casing larger than is otherwise required for the enclosed device, resulting in considerable waste with regard to production materials, and inconvenience for the consumer who must handle and carry a unit larger than necessary. We believe that an exception of the labeling requirement can be made for small devices and are amending the rules accordingly. For devices that are so small that it is not practicable to place the warning label on the device, the warning label shall be placed in a prominent location in the instruction manual or pamphlet supplied to the user, and also on the container in which the device is marketed. The FCC identifier must be displayed on the device.

6. Uniden is concerned that the adoption of a new definition for scanning receivers will require the filing of new applications for equipment authorization for devices that were not previously considered scanning receivers such as a typical weather band scanner. The Commission's intention of enacting a new definition of a scanning receiver was to prevent individuals from manufacturing a scanning receiver that scans fewer than four frequencies to circumvent our scanning receiver rules. It was not the intention of the Commission to change the definition of a scanning receiver to encompass receivers that have not been considered scanning receivers in the past. We agree with Uniden that receivers designed

solely for the reception of National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration ("NOAA") broadcast weather band signals should continue to be exempt from the scanning receiver definition. The scanning receiver definition will be modified to include the weather radio exemption. We also note that scanning receivers designed solely for the reception of broadcast signals under part 73 of our rules or used as part of a licensed service, continue to be exempt from the scanning receiver regulations. In order to further clarify this in the definition, we are replacing the words "licensed station" with "licensed service."

7. We agree with Tandy and Uniden that the wording of the signal rejection ratio rule adopted in the *R&O* was not clear. § 15.121(b), states that only cellular service signals that are "38 dB or higher" than the receiver sensitivity should be rejected. This was not the Commission's intended meaning for § 15.121(b). As stated in the *R&O*, the Commission adopted the proposal from the *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, 63 FR 31685, June 10, 1998, in this proceeding, which stated that scanning receivers must reject cellular service signals that are up to 38 dB higher than the minimum receiver sensitivity. Therefore, we will amend § 15.121(b) so that it is clearly understood that scanning receivers must reject cellular service signals that are 38 dB or lower based upon a 12 dB SINAD specification.

8. Pursuant to the authority contained in Sections 4(i), 302, 303(e), 303(f), 303(g), 303(r), and 405 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, it is ordered, that the Petitions for Reconsideration filed by Tandy Corporation and Uniden America Corporation, are *Granted* in part and *Denied* in all other respects.

9. Part 15 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations are amended, effective July 16, 2001. Authority for issuance of this Memorandum Opinion and Order is contained in Section 4(i), 301, 302, 303(e), 303(f), 303(g), 303(r), 304, and 307 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 154(i), 301, 302, 303(e), 303(f), 303(g), 303(r), 304 and 307.

List of Subjects

Communications equipment, Radio.

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,
Secretary.

Rule Changes

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the FCC amends 47 CFR part 15 as follows:

PART 15—RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES

1. The authority citation for part 15 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 302, 303, 304, 307 and 544A.

2. Section 15.3 is amended by revising paragraph (v) to read as follows:

§ 15.3 Definitions.

* * * * *

(v) *Scanning receiver.* For the purpose of this part, this is a receiver that automatically switches among two or more frequencies in the range of 30 to 960 MHz and that is capable of stopping at and receiving a radio signal detected on a frequency. Receivers designed solely for the reception of the broadcast signals under part 73 of this chapter, for the reception of NOAA broadcast weather band signals, or for operation as part of a licensed service are not included in this definition.

* * * * *

3. Section 15.121 is amended by revising paragraphs (b) and (f) to read as follows:

§ 15.121 Scanning receivers and frequency converters used with scanning receivers.

* * * * *

(b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, scanning receivers shall reject any signals from the Cellular Radiotelephone Service frequency bands that are 38 dB or lower based upon a 12 dB SINAD measurement, which is considered the threshold where a signal can be clearly discerned from any interference that may be present.

* * * * *

(f) Scanning receivers shall have a label permanently affixed to the product, and this label shall be readily visible to the purchaser at the time of purchase. The label shall read as follows: WARNING: MODIFICATION OF THIS DEVICE TO RECEIVE CELLULAR RADIOTELEPHONE SERVICE SIGNALS IS PROHIBITED UNDER FCC RULES AND FEDERAL LAW.

(1) "Permanently affixed" means that the label is etched, engraved, stamped, silkscreened, indelible printed or otherwise permanently marked on a

permanently attached part of the equipment or on a nameplate of metal, plastic or other material fastened to the equipment by welding, riveting, or permanent adhesive. The label shall be designed to last the expected lifetime of the equipment in the environment in which the equipment may be operated and must not be readily detachable. The label shall not be a stick-on, paper label.

(2) When the device is so small that it is not practicable to place the warning label on it, the information required by this paragraph shall be placed in a prominent location in the instruction manual or pamphlet supplied to the user and shall also be placed on the container in which the device is marketed. However, the FCC identifier must be displayed on the device.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 01-15127 Filed 6-14-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

49 CFR Part 1180

[STB Ex Parte No. 582 (Sub-No. 1)]

Major Rail Consolidation Procedures

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board, DOT.

ACTION: Final rules.

SUMMARY: The Surface Transportation Board (STB or Board) adopts final regulations governing proposals for major rail consolidations. These new rules substantially increase the burden on applicants to demonstrate that a proposed transaction would be in the public interest, by requiring them, among other things, to demonstrate that the transaction would enhance competition where necessary to offset negative effects of the merger, such as competitive harm or service disruptions. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** These rules are effective July 11, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julia M. Farr, (202) 565-1613. [TDD for the hearing impaired: 1-800-877-8339.]

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Additional information is contained in the Board's decision. A printed copy of the Board's decision is available for a fee by contacting: Dā-To-Dā Office Solutions, Room 405, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20006, telephone (202) 293-7776. The Board's decision is also available for viewing and downloading on the Board's website at "www.stb.dot.gov."