the profit incurred and realized in connection with the production and sale of the foreign like product, and U.S. packing costs. We used the cost of materials, fabrication, and G&A expenses as reported in the CV portion of the questionnaire response, adjusted as discussed in the COP section above. We used the U.S. packing costs as reported in the U.S. sales portion of the respondent's questionnaire responses. For selling expenses, we used the average of the selling expenses reported for home market sales that survived the cost test, weighted by the total quantity of those sales. For actual profit, we first calculated, based on the home market sales that survived the cost test, the difference between the home market sales value and home market COP, and divided the difference by the home market COP. We then multiplied this percentage by the COP for each U.S. model to derive an actual profit.

Price Comparisons

For price-to-price comparisons, we based NV on the price at which the foreign like product is first sold for consumption in the exporting country, in the usual commercial quantities and in the ordinary course of trade, in accordance with section 773(a)(1)(B)(i) of the Act. We compared the U.S. prices of individual transactions to the monthly weighted-average price of sales of the foreign like product.

With respect to both CV and home market prices, we made adjustments, where appropriate, for inland freight, inland insurance, duty adjustments, and discounts. We also reduced CV and home market prices by packing costs incurred in the home market, in accordance with section 773(a)(6)(B)(i) of the Act. In addition, we increased CV and home market prices for U.S. packing costs, in accordance with section 773(a)(6)(A) of the Act. We made further adjustments to home market prices, when applicable, to account for differences in physical characteristics of the merchandise in accordance with section 773(a)(6)(C)(ii) of the Act. Additionally, pursuant to section 773(a)(6)(C)(iii) of the Act, we made an adjustment for differences in circumstances of sale by deducting home market direct selling expenses (credit expenses, royalty, and bank charges) and adding any direct selling expenses associated with U.S. sales not deducted under the provisions of section 772(d)(1) of the Act. Finally, we made a CEP offset adjustment to account for comparing U.S. and home market sales at different levels of trade.

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for May 1, 1999, through December 31, 1999:

Manufacturer/exporter	Percent margin
Hyundai Electronic Industries Co., Ltd	3.01 10.44 10.44 10.44 10.44 10.44

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(b), the Department will disclose to parties to the proceeding any calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results within 5 days of the date of publication of this notice. Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Parties who submit arguments in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument: (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument. All case briefs must be submitted within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, which are limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than seven days after the case briefs are filed. Further, we would appreciate it if parties submitting written comments would provide the Department with an additional copy of the public version of any such comments on diskette. A hearing, if requested, will be held two days after the date the rebuttal briefs are filed or the first business day thereafter.

The Department will publish a notice of the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written comments or at the hearing, within 120 days from the publication of these preliminary results.

The Department will not issue cash deposit instructions to Customs based on the results of this review. Since the revocation is currently in effect, current and future imports of DRAMs from Korea shall be entered into the United States without regard to antidumping duties. We have already instructed Customs to liquidate all entries as of January 1, 2000 without regard to antidumping duties.

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to Customs. The final results of this review shall be the

basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the determination. We have calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping margins calculated for the examined sales to the entered value of sales used to calculate those duties. These rates will be assessed uniformly on all entries of each particular importer made during the POR.

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and this notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 31, 2001.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–14381 Filed 6–6–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-821-807]

Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order: Ferrovanadium and Nitrided Vanadium From Russia

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of continuation of antidumping duty order: ferrovanadium and nitrided vanadium from Russia.

SUMMARY: On October 10, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department"), pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 (c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on ferrovanadium and nitrided vanadium from Russia would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping (65 FR 60168). On May 23, 2001, the International Trade Commission ("the Commission"), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on ferrovanadium and nitrided

vanadium from Russia would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (66 FR 28540).

Therefore, pursuant to 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(4), the Department is publishing this notice of the continuation of the antidumping duty order on ferrovanadium and nitrided vanadium from Russia.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 7, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Martha V. Douthit or James P. Maeder,
Office of Policy for Import
Administration, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution
Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230;
telephone: (202) 482–5050 or (202) 482–3330, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 5, 2000, the Department initiated (65 FR 35604), and the Commission instituted (65 FR 35668), a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on ferrovanadium and nitrided vanadium from Russia, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. As a result of its review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the Commission of the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order revoked. See Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order, Ferrovanadium and Nitrided Vanadium From Russia, 65 FR 60168 (October 10, 2000).

On May 23, 2001, the Commission determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty order on ferrovanadium and nitrided vanadium from Russia would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. See Ferrovanadium and Nitrided Vanadium From Russia, 66 FR 28540 (May 23, 2001) and USITC Publication 3420 (May 2001), Investigation No. 731–TA–702 (Review).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this order are ferrovanadium and nitrided vanadium, regardless of grade, chemistry, form or size, unless expressly excluded from the scope of this order. Ferrovanadium includes alloys containing ferrovanadium as the predominant element by weight (i.e., more weight than any other element,

except iron in some instances) and at least 4 percent by weight of iron.

Nitrided vanadium includes compounds containing vanadium as the predominant element, by weight, and at least 5 percent, by weight, of nitrogen. Excluded from the scope of the order are vanadium additives other than ferrovanadium and nitrided vanadium, such as vanadium-aluminum master alloys, vanadium chemicals, vanadium waste and scrap, vanadium-bearing raw materials, such as slag, boiler residues, fly ash, and vanadium oxides.

The products subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 2850.00.20, 7202.92.00, 7202.99.50.40, 8112.40.30.00, and 8112.40.60.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope is dispositive.

Determination

As a result of the determination by the Department, and the Commission, that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty order on ferrovanadium and nitrided vanadium from Russia. The effective date of continuation of this order will be the date of publication in the Federal **Register** of this Notice of Continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of this order not later than May 2006.

Dated: May 31, 2001.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–14379 Filed 6–6–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A–570–851]

Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review: Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a timely request from Green Fresh Foods (Zhangzhou) Co., Ltd., on October 2,

2000, the Department of Commerce published a notice of initiation of a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People's Republic of China with respect to the above-mentioned exporter. The period of review is February 1, 2000, through July 31, 2000. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 65 FR 58735 (October 2, 2000).

As a result of this review, the Department of Commerce has preliminarily determined that a dumping margin exists for exports of the subject merchandise for the covered period.

We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit arguments in this proceeding are requested to submit with their arguments (1) a statement of the issues and (2) a brief summary of the arguments.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 7, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David J. Goldberger or Rebecca Trainor, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4136 or (202) 482–4007, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Background

On February 19, 1999, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 8308) an antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People's Republic of China (PRC). On August 31, 2000, the Department received a timely request from Green Fresh Foods (Zhangzhou) Co. (Green Fresh), in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(c), for a new shipper review of this antidumping duty order.

On September 22, 2000, the Department initiated a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the PRC (see Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper