DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-827]

Static Random Access Memory Semiconductors From Taiwan: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce has received a request to conduct a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on static random access memory semiconductors from Taiwan. In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.214(d), we are initiating a review for Elite Semiconductor Memory Technology Inc./Elite Memory Technology, Inc. (collectively "ESMT"). EFFECTIVE DATE: June 6, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irina Itkin, Import Administration,

International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0656.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to the provisions codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 30, 2001, the Department received a timely request from ESMT, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(d), for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on static random access memory semiconductors (SRAMs) from Taiwan. This order has an April anniversary date. See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Static Random Access Memory Semiconductors from Taiwan, 63 FR 8090 (Feb. 23, 1998). Therefore, the request is timely pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(c). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i) and (iii)(A), ESMT has certified (1) that it did not export SRAMs to the United States during the period of investigation (POI), and (2) that since the investigation was initiated, it never has been affiliated with any exporter or producer who exported SRAMs to the United States during the POI, including those not individually examined during the investigation. Also, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv), ESMT

submitted documentation establishing (1) the date on which it first shipped the subject merchandise to the United States, (2) the volume of that shipment and subsequent shipments, and (3) the date of the first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b), and based on information on the record, we are initiating the new shipper review as requested.

Initiation of Review

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(ii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on SRAMs from Taiwan. On May 24, 2001, ESMT agreed to waive the time limits of 19 CFR 351.214(i), in order that the Department, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(j)(3), may conduct this review concurrent with the administrative review of this order for the period April 1, 2000, through March 31, 2001, as requested pursuant to section 751(a) of the Act. Therefore, we intend to issue the preliminary results of this review not later than 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month. In accordance with our practice, all other provisions of 19 CFR 351.214 will apply to ESMT throughout the duration of this new shipper review.

Antidumping duty proceeding	Period to be reviewed
Taiwan: Static Random Access Memory Semiconductors, A–583–827, Elite Semiconductor Memory Technology Inc./ Elite Memory Technology, Inc	04/01/00–03/31/01

Concurrent with publication of this notice, and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(e), we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to suspend liquidation of any unliquidated entries of the subject merchandise for the relevant exporter/producer and to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until the completion of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the merchandise exported by the above-listed company.

Interested parties that need access to the proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 19 CFR 351.306.

This initiation and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d).

Dated: May 31, 2001. **Richard W. Moreland,** *Deputy Assistant Secretary, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement Group I.* [FR Doc. 01–14134 Filed 6–5–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-357-812, A-570-863, C-357-813]

Notice of Postponement of Final Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Honey From Argentina and the People's Republic of China and Postponement of Final Countervailing Duty Determination: Honey From Argentina

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 6, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Melissa Blackledge (Argentina) at (202) 482–3518, Angelica Mendoza (the People's Republic of China (the PRC)) at (202) 482–3019, or Charles Rast at (202) 482–1324 and Donna Kinsella at (202) 482–0194; Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Office Eight, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures

On February 27, 2001, petitioners requested alignment of the final determination in the investigation of countervailable subsidies provided to producers and exporters of honey from Argentina with the final determination of the antidumping duty investigation of honey from the PRC. In accordance with section 705(a)(1) of the Tariff Act, we aligned the final determination in that countervailing duty investigation with the final determination in the companion antidumping investigation of honey from the PRC. See Honey from Argentina: Notice of Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Alignment With Final Antidumping Duty Determination on Honey from the People's Republic of China, 66 FR 14521 (March 13, 2001).

On May 11, 2001 the Department published its preliminary determinations in the antidumping investigations of honey from Argentina and the PRC. See Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Honey from Argentina, 66 FR 24108 (May 11, 2001) and Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Honey from the People's Republic of China, 66 FR 24101 (May 11, 2001). The notices stated that the Department would issue its final determinations no later than 75 days after the date of issuance of the notices.

Pursuant to section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), on May 11, 2001, Asociación de Cooperativas Argentinas (ACA), a respondent in the Argentine investigation, requested that the Department postpone its final determination to the fullest extent permitted by the statute and the Department's regulations. On May 14, 2001, seven exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC participating in the investigation made the same request.¹ In addition, the exporters in both investigations also consented to an extension of the period for the imposition of provisional measures to the fullest extent permitted, or six months, whichever is later. In accordance with section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b), because (1) the preliminary determinations were affirmative; (2) the requesting exporters account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise; and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, we are granting the exporters' request and are postponing the final determinations until no later than 135 days after publication of the preliminary determinations in the Federal Register.

Suspension of liquidation will be extended accordingly.

This postponement is in accordance with section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act, and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2).

Dated: May 29, 2001.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 01–14278 Filed 6–5–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-475-830]

Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Alignment of Final Countervailing Duty Determination With Final Antidumping Duty Determination: Stainless Steel Bar From Italy

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. ACTION: Preliminary determination of countervailing duty investigation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 6, 2001. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Suresh Maniam or Greg Campbell at (202) 482–0176 and (202) 482–2239, respectively; Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Preliminary Determination: The Department of Commerce (the "Department") preliminarily determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers or exporters of stainless steel bar from Italy. For information on the estimated countervailing duty rates, see *infra* section on "Suspension of Liquidation."

Case History

The following events have occurred since the publication of the notice of initiation in the **Federal Register**. See Notice of Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation: Stainless Steel Bar from Italy, 66 FR 7739 (January 25, 2001) ("Initiation Notice").

On January 30, 2001, we issued countervailing duty questionnaires to the Government of Italy ("GOI"), the European Commission ("EC"), and the producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. On March 8, 2001, we published a postponement of the preliminary determination of this investigation until May 29, 2001. See Stainless Steel Bar from Italy: Postponement of Time Limit for Preliminary Determination of Countervailing Duty Investigation, 66 FR 13911 (March 8, 2001).

On March 9, 2001, Acciaierie Bertoli Safau S.p.A. ("ABS") submitted a request to exclude certain merchandise from the scope of this investigation. On March 26, 2001, the petitioners submitted an objection to this request. See *infra* section on "Scope of the Investigation: Scope Comments" for an analysis of these submissions and the Department's resulting determination.

On March 26, 2001, we received questionnaire responses from the GOI, the EC, and the responding companies (Trafileria Bedini S.r.l. ("Bedini"), Acciaiera Foroni S.p.A. ("Foroni"), Italfond S.p.A. ("Italfond"), Rodacciai S.p.A. ("Rodacciai"), and Acciaierie Valbruna S.r.l. ("Valbruna")/Acciaierie Bolzano S.r.l. ("Bolzano")). We did not receive a response to our questionnaire from Cogne Acciai Speciali S.r.l. ("CAS") (see *infra* section on "Use of Facts Available" for our treatment of CAS in this investigation).

On April 9, 2001, and April 10, 2001, the petitioners submitted comments regarding the questionnaire responses from Foroni, Valbruna, and the GOI.

We issued supplemental questionnaires to the EC, Italfond, and Rodacciai on April 19, 2001, and to the GOI, Bedini, Valbruna, and Foroni on April 20, 2001.

We received responses to the supplemental questionnaires from the EC on May 3, 2001, and from the GOI, Italfond, Rodacciai, Bedini, Valbruna, and Foroni on May 11, 2001.

We issued a second supplemental questionnaire to Valbruna on May 14, 2001, and received a response from Valbruna on May 16, 2001.

On May 16, 2000, the petitioners filed comments regarding the selection of an adverse facts available subsidy rate for CAS and, on May 17, 2001, filed comments regarding the supplemental questionnaire responses by Valbruna and the GOI.

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the "Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to our regulations as codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (2000).

¹ The exporters were Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Native Produce and Animal By-Products Import and Export Corporation, Shanghai Eswell Enterprise Co., Ltd., High Hope International Group Jiangsu Foodstuffs Import and Export Corporation, Kunshan Foreign Trade Corporation, Zhejiang Native Produce and Animal By-Products Import and Export Corporation, Henan Native Produce Import and Export Corporation, and Anhui Native Produce Import and Export Corporation.