

consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., material specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. The NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standard. This proposed rulemaking does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA is not considering the use of any voluntary consensus standards. EPA welcomes comments on this aspect of the proposed rulemaking and, specifically, invites the public to identify potentially-applicable voluntary consensus standards and to explain why such standards should be used in this regulation.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 261

Environmental protection, Hazardous materials, Waste treatment and disposal, Recycling.

Dated: May 30, 2001.

Christine Todd Whitman,
Administrator.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, part 261 of chapter I of title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 261—IDENTIFICATION AND LISTING OF HAZARDOUS WASTE

1. The authority citation for Part 261 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 6905, 6912(a), 6921, 6922, 6924(y), and 6938.

2. Section 261.4 is amended by adding paragraph (a)(22) to read as follows:

§ 261.4 Exclusions.

(a) * * *

(22) Dewatered wastewater treatment sludges generated by the International Business Machines Corporation (IBM) East Fishkill facility in Hopewell Junction, New York, provided that:

(i) The sludge is recycled as an ingredient in the manufacture of cement meeting appropriate product specifications by a cement manufacturing facility.

(ii) The sludge is not stored on the land, and protective measures are taken to ensure against wind dispersal and precipitation run-off.

(iii) The sludge is not accumulated speculatively, as defined in § 261.1(c)(8).

(iv) A representative sample of the sludge undergoes constituent analysis by IBM (using the methods specified in 40 CFR Part 264, Appendix IX) demonstrating that the sludge contains constituents at no greater concentrations than the thresholds presented below. Sludges generated by different wastewater treatment systems must be analyzed separately (commingling of the sludges is permissible after sampling). This sampling and analysis must be conducted every three months for an initial 12-month period, which can include the immediate period prior to the effective date of this exclusion. After the initial 12-month reporting period (i.e., four sampling/analysis events), sampling and analysis must be conducted every six months for the duration of the project. Additionally, after any change in either the manufacturing process or the wastewater treatment process that could affect the chemical composition of the wastewater treatment sludge, sampling and analysis must be conducted. In addition to the constituents for which threshold levels are established, IBM must analyze and report the concentration levels of mercury and beryllium:

Arsenic—3.0 mg/kg
Cadmium—0.88 mg/kg
Chromium—(total) 22.9 mg/kg
Lead—18.8 mg/kg
Nickel—10.4 mg/kg
Silver—2.1 mg/kg
Cyanide (amenable)—0.815 mg/kg
Cyanide (total)—0.815 mg/kg

(v) An accounting is made of the volumes of sludge that are recycled, with an assessment of how much less analogous raw materials are used to produce the same volume of cement product, or how much more cement is produced attributable to the volume of sludge that is processed. IBM must acquire this information from the cement manufacturing facility.

(vi) IBM documents each shipment of the sludge, including where the sludge was sent, the date of the shipment, the date that the shipment was received and the volume of each shipment.

(vii) IBM provides EPA and NYSDEC with semi-annual reports detailing all of the information in paragraphs (a)(22)(i) through (vi) of this section for the duration of the project.

(viii) Should any of the conditions of paragraphs (a)(22)(i) through (vii) of this section not be met, the exclusion provided in this provision will not be applicable and the wastewater treatment sludge will be subject to the applicable RCRA Subtitle C regulations until the conditions are once again met.

(ix) The provisions of this section shall expire on [INSERT DATE FIVE YEARS FROM EFFECTIVE DATE OF FINAL RULE].

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 01–14249 Filed 6–5–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 25

[IB Docket 01–96; FCC 01–134]

Policies and Service Rules for the Non-Geostationary Satellite Orbit, Fixed Satellite Service in the Ku-Band

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) proposes to decide the means for sharing among multiple satellite network licensees in spectrum recently designated for the non-geostationary satellite orbit, fixed-satellite service (NGSO FSS) in the 17.7–20.2 GHz and 27.5–31.3 GHz frequency bands (the Ku-band). The FCC's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) seeks comment on four possible sharing options, and also seeks comment on proposed blanket earth station licensing for NGSO FSS in the Ku-band, and seeks comment on proposed service rules. The Commission's goals in opening this satellite service in the Ku-band are to promote competition through opportunities for new entrants, to expedite the authorization process, and to provide incentives for prompt commencement of service to the public using state-of-the-art technology.

DATES: Comments may be filed on or before July 6, 2001. Reply comments may be filed on or before August 6, 2001. Comments on the proposed information collections may be filed on or before August 6, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) or by paper copies. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for filing instructions, formats and other information regarding electronic filing; send paper copies to Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. Comments regarding the request for approval of the information collection should be submitted to Judy Boley at 445 12th Street, SW., Rm. 1–C804, Washington, DC 20554 or via

internet at jboley@fcc.gov; phone 202-418-0214.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For more information regarding the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking contact: J. Mark Young at (202) 418-0762, internet: myoung@fcc.gov, International Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. For more information regarding the information collections and to submit comments, contact Judy Boley at 202-418-0214; 445 12th Street SW., Rm. 1-C804, Washington, DC 20554 or via internet at jboley@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's NPRM in IB Docket No. 01-96; FCC 00-134, adopted April 19, 2001 and released on May 3, 2001. The complete text of this NPRM is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554, and also may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc. (ITS, Inc.), 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857-3800.

Summary of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

The NPRM describes the technical criteria for the NGSO FSS, and the radiofrequency spectrum allocated by the FCC late last year to the NGSO FSS in ET Docket No. 98-206, 66 FR 10601 (February 16, 2001). The NPRM proposes four spectrum sharing options. The first is "flexible band segmentation," which would divide the available spectrum into equal blocks to be assigned to particular licensees. The second option is "dynamic band segmentation," under which the available spectrum is divided equally by the number of satellite systems transmitting and receiving signals in their intended orbit. The third sharing option, "avoidance of in-line interference events," allows all systems to operate in the entire available spectrum, so long as they avoid interfering with each others' systems, by means of calculating those occasions when their satellites and earth stations are aligned so as to create interference. Under the fourth sharing option, "homogeneous constellations," the FCC would adopt one or more unifying constellation designs to accommodate all licensees. The NPRM also asks commenters to suggest variations or alternatives to the four options proposed.

In addition, the NPRM proposes to allow blanket licensing of NGSO FSS earth stations, but only in specified

frequency bands. The NPRM also requires NGSO FSS applicants to demonstrate compliance with power limitations adopted by the Commission when it allocated spectrum to the NGSO FSS in December 2000.

Finally, the NPRM reviews and seeks comment on each proposed service rule for the NGSO FSS in the Ku-band frequencies. The proposed service rules include coverage area requirements, system license and license terms, FCC regulatory classification as common carrier or non-common carrier, system implementation milestones, regulatory reporting requirements, international coordination, and a prohibition on the sale of a bare license for a profit. The NPRM also proposes to require that NGSO FSS applicants disclose their plans to mitigate orbital debris.

Initial Regulatory Flexibility Certification

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA),¹ requires that a regulatory flexibility analysis be prepared for notice and comment rulemaking proceedings unless the agency certifies that "the rule will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities."² The RFA generally defines "small entity" as having the same meaning as the terms "small business," "small organization," and "small governmental jurisdiction."³ In addition, the term "small business" has the same meaning as the term "small business concern" under the Small Business Act.⁴ A small business concern is one which: (a) is independently owned and operated; (b) is not dominant in its field of operation; and (c) satisfies any additional criteria established by the Small Business Administration (SBA).⁵

This Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) seeks comment on various proposals for creating a spectrum assignment approach that would accommodate all proposed non-

geostationary satellite orbit fixed satellite service (NGSO FSS) systems and provide service to consumers as quickly as possible. This NPRM also seeks comment on proposals for service rules to apply to NGSO FSS systems.⁶ These actions are necessary for the Commission to evaluate these proposals and seek comment from the public on any other alternatives. The objective of this proceeding is to assign the NGSO FSS spectrum in an efficient manner and create rules to ensure systems implement their proposals in a manner that serves the public interest. We believe that adoption of the proposed rules will reduce regulatory burdens and, with minimal disruption to existing FCC permittees and licensees, result in the continued development of NGSO FSS and other satellite services to the public. If commenters believe that the proposed rules discussed in the NPRM require additional RFA analysis, they should include a discussion of this in their comments.

The Commission has not developed a definition of small entities applicable to geostationary or non-geostationary satellite orbit fixed-satellite or mobile satellite service operators. Therefore, the applicable definition of small entity is the definition under the SBA rules applicable to Communications Services "Not Elsewhere Classified." This definition provides that a small entity is one with \$11.0 million or less in annual receipts.⁷ This Census Bureau category is very broad, and commercial satellite services constitute only a subset of the total number of entities included in the category.

The rules proposed in this NPRM apply only to entities providing NGSO FSS. Small businesses will not have the financial ability to become NGSO FSS system operators because of the high implementation costs associated with satellite systems and services. Since there is limited spectrum and orbital resources available for assignment, we estimate that only seven applicant entities, whose applications are pending, will be authorized by the Commission to provide these services.⁸ None of the seven applicants is a small business because each has revenues in excess of \$11 million annually or has parent companies or investors that have revenues in excess of \$11 million annually.

We therefore certify that the rules proposed in this NPRM will not apply to any small entities. The Commission's

¹ The RFA, 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*, has been amended by the Contract With America Advancement Act of 1996, Public Law No. 104-121, 110 Stat. 847 (1996) (CWAAA). Title II of the CWAAA is the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA).

² 5 U.S.C. 605(b).

³ *Id.* at § 601(6).

⁴ *Id.* at § 601(3) (incorporating by reference the definition of "small business concern" in Small Business Act, 15 U.S.C. 632). Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 601(3), the statutory definition of a small business applies "unless an agency, after consultation with the Office of Advocacy of the Small Business Administration and after opportunity for public comment, establishes one or more definitions of such term which are appropriate to the activities of the agency and publishes such definition(s) in the Federal Register."

⁵ Small Business Act, 15 U.S.C. 632.

⁶ See paragraphs 50-69, *supra*.

⁷ 13 CFR 121.201, North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code 51334.

⁸ See paragraph 9, *supra*.

Office of Public Affairs, Reference Operations Division, will send a copy of this NPRM, including this certification, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration. A copy will also be published in the **Federal Register**.

Procedural Information

Ex Parte Presentation. This is a permit-but-disclose rulemaking proceeding. *Ex parte* presentations are permitted, provided they are disclosed as provided in Commission Rules. See generally 47 CFR 1.1202, 1.1203, and 1.1206(a).

Authority. This action is taken pursuant to sections 4(i), 7(a), 303(c), 303(f), 303(g), and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 157(a), 303(c), 303(f), 303(g), and 303(r).

Comment. Pursuant to Sections 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.415, 1.419, interested parties may file comments on or before June 18, 2001, and reply comments on or before July 19, 2001. Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) or by paper copies. See Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings, 63 FR 24121 (May 1, 1998).

Comments filed through the ECFS can be sent as an electronic file via the Internet to <<http://www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html>>. Generally, only one copy of an electronic submission must be filed. If multiple docket or rulemaking numbers appear in the caption of this proceeding, however, commenters must transmit one electronic copy of the comments to each docket or rulemaking number referenced in the caption. In completing the transmittal screen, commenters should include their full name, Postal Service mailing address, and the applicable docket or rulemaking number. Parties may also submit an electronic comment by Internet e-mail. To get filing instructions for e-mail comments, commenters should send an e-mail to ecfs@fcc.gov, and should include the following words in the body of the message, "get form <your e-mail address>." A sample form and directions will be sent in reply.

Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and four copies of each filing. All filings must be sent to the Commission's Secretary, Magalie Roman Salas, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, The Portals, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554.

Parties who choose to file by paper should also submit their comments on diskette. These diskettes should be

submitted to: Commission's Secretary, Magalie Roman Salas, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, The Portals, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. Such a submission should be on a 3.5 inch diskette formatted in an IBM compatible format using Microsoft Word for Windows or compatible software. The diskette should be accompanied by a cover letter and should be submitted in "read only" mode. The diskette should be clearly labeled with the commenter's name, IB Docket No. 01-96, type of pleading (comment or reply comment), date of submission, and the name of the electronic file on the diskette. The label should also include the following phrase "Disk Copy—Not an Original." Each diskette should contain only one party's pleading, preferably in a single electronic file. In addition, commenters must send diskette copies to the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037.

Ordering Clauses

Pursuant to Sections 4(i), 7(a), 303(c), 303(f), 303(g), and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 157(a), 303(c), 303(f), 303(g), and 303(r), this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking is hereby adopted.

The Commission's Consumer Information Bureau, Reference Information Center, SHALL SEND a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, including the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

Paperwork Reduction Act

The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the

information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

OMB Approval Number: New (3060-XXXX).

Title: NGSO FSS Satellite Service.

Form No.: NA.

Type of Collection: New Collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 107.

Estimated Time for Response: 3 hours.

Total Annual Burden: 321 hours.

Needs and Uses: The information will be used by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and interested members of the public to ensure compliance with the rules adopted for the NGSO FSS satellite service. Specifically, the rules require space station applicants to submit certifications of compliance with specific technical operating requirements adopted for this service. The rules require space station licensees to file annual reports indicating progress of system implementation and identifying any system failures, delays or difficulties. In addition, entities granted blanket earth station licenses are required to report the number stations brought into use each year. These rules will allow the Commission to grant licenses in compliance with the technical rules of this service and to ensure continued compliance by licensees. Without such information, the FCC could not determine whether satellite licenses are operating in conformance with its rules.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 25

Satellites.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Deputy Secretary.

Rule Changes

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 25 as follows:

PART 25—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 25 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 701-744. Interprets or applies Section 4, 301, 302, 303, 307, 309 and 332 of the Communications Act, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154, 301, 302, 303, 307, 309 and 332, unless otherwise noted.

2. Section 25.115 is amended by adding a new paragraph (f) to read as follows:

§ 25.115 Application for earth station authorizations.

* * * * *

(f) User transceivers in the non-geostationary satellite orbit fixed-satellite service (NGSO FSS) service in the 11.7–12.2 GHz, 12.2–12.7 GHz and 14.0–14.5 GHz bands need not be individually licensed. Service vendors may file blanket applications for transceiver units using FCC Form 312, Main Form and Schedule B, and shall specify the number of terminals to be covered by the blanket license. Each application for a blanket license under this section shall include the information described in § 25.146.

3. Section 25.146 is amended by adding paragraphs (g) through (p) to read as follows:

§ 25.146 Licensing and operating authorization provisions for the non-geostationary satellite orbit fixed-satellite service (NGSO FSS) in the bands 10.7 GHz to 14.5 GHz.

* * * * *

(g) *System license.* Applicants authorized to construct and launch a system of technically identical non-geostationary satellite orbit fixed satellite service satellites will be awarded a single “blanket” license covering a specified number of space stations to operate in a specified number of orbital planes.

(h) In addition to providing the information specified in § 25.114 above, each NGSO FSS applicant shall provide the following:

(1) A demonstration that the proposed system is capable of providing fixed-satellite services on a continuous basis throughout the fifty states, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands, U.S.; and

(2) A demonstration that the proposed system be capable of providing fixed-satellite services to all locations as far north as 70 deg. latitude and as far south as 55 deg. latitude for at least 75% of every 24-hour period; and

(3) Sufficient information on the NGSO FSS system characteristics to properly model the system in computer sharing simulations, including, at a minimum, NGSO hand-over and satellite switching strategies, NGSO satellite beam patterns, NGSO satellite antenna patterns and NGSO earth station antenna patterns. In particular, each NGSO FSS applicant must explain the switching protocols it uses to avoid transmitting while passing through the geostationary satellite orbit arc, or provide an explanation as to how the power-flux density limits in § 25.208 are

met without using geostationary satellite orbit arc avoidance. In addition, each NGSO FSS applicant must provide the orbital parameters contained in Section A.3 of Annex 1 to Resolution 46.

Further, each NGSO FSS applicant must provide a sufficient technical showing to demonstrate that the proposed non-geostationary satellite orbit system meets the power-flux density limits contained in § 25.208, as applicable; and

(4) A description of the design and operational strategies that it will use, if any, to mitigate orbital debris. Each applicant must submit a casualty risk assessment if planned post-mission disposal involves atmospheric re-entry of the spacecraft.

(i) *Considerations involving transfer or assignment applications.* (1) “Trafficking” in bare licenses issued pursuant to paragraph (g) of this section is prohibited.

(2) The Commission will review a proposed transaction to determine if the circumstances indicate trafficking in licenses whenever applications (except those involving *pro forma* assignment or transfer of control) for consent to assignment of a license, or for transfer of control of a licensee, involve facilities licensed pursuant to paragraph (g) of this section. At its discretion, the Commission may require the submission of an affirmative, factual showing (supported by affidavits of a person or persons with personal knowledge thereof) to demonstrate that no trafficking has occurred.

(j) *Prohibition of certain agreements.* No license shall be granted to any applicant for a NGSO system in the fixed-satellite service operating in the 10.7–12.7 GHz, 12.75–13.25 GHz and 13.75–14.5 GHz frequency bands if that applicant, or any persons or companies controlling or controlled by the applicant, shall acquire or enjoy any right, for the purpose of handling traffic to or from the United States, its territories or possession, to construct or operate space segment or earth stations, or to interchange traffic, which is denied to any other United States company by reason of any concession, contract, understanding, or working arrangement to which the Licensee or any persons or companies controlling or controlled by the Licensee are parties.

(k) *Implementation milestone schedule.* Each NGSO FSS licensee in the 10.7–12.7 GHz, 12.75–13.25 GHz and 13.75–14.5 GHz frequency bands will be required to enter into a non-contingent satellite manufacturing contract for the system within one year of authorization, to complete critical design review within two years of authorization, to begin physical

construction of all satellites in the system within two and a half years of authorization, to complete construction and launch of the first two satellites within three and a half years of grant, and to launch and operate its entire authorized system within six years of authorization.

(l) *Reporting requirements.* All NGSO FSS licensees in the 10.7–12.7 GHz, 12.75–13.25 GHz and 13.75–14.5 GHz frequency bands shall, on June 30 of each year, file a report with the International Bureau and the Commission’s Laurel, Maryland field office containing the following information:

(1) Status of space station construction and anticipated launch date, including any major problems or delay encountered;

(2) Identification of any space station(s) not available for service or otherwise not performing to specifications, the cause(s) of these difficulties, and the date any space station was taken out of service or the malfunction identified.

(m) *Financial requirements.* Each NGSO FSS applicant must demonstrate, on the basis of the documentation contained in its application, that it is financially qualified to meet the estimated costs of the construction and launch of all proposed space stations in its system and the estimated operating expenses for one year after the launch of the initial system. Financial qualifications must be demonstrated in the form specified in § 25.140(c) and (d). In addition, applicants relying on current assets or operating income must submit evidence that those assets are separate and apart from any funding necessary to construct or operate any other licensed satellite system. Failure to make such a showing will result in the dismissal of the application.

(n) *Replacement of space stations within the system license term.* Licensees of NGSO FSS systems in the 10.7–12.7 GHz, 12.75–13.25 GHz and 13.75–14.5 GHz frequency bands authorized through a blanket license pursuant to paragraph (g) of this section need not file separate applications to launch and operate technically identical replacement satellites within the term of the system authorization. However, the licensee shall certify to the Commission, at least thirty days prior to launch of such replacement(s) that:

(1) The licensee intends to launch a space station into the previously-authorized orbit that is technically identical to those authorized in its system authorization and

(2) Launch of this space station will not cause the licensee to exceed the

total number of operating space stations authorized by the Commission.

(o) *In-orbit spares.* Licensees need not file separate applications to operate technically identical in-orbit spares authorized as part of the blanket license pursuant to paragraph (g) of this section. However, the licensee shall certify to the Commission, within 10 days of bringing the in-orbit spare into operation, that operation of this space station did not cause the licensee to exceed the total number of operating space stations authorized by the Commission.

(p) *Earth station reporting.* Licensees shall submit to the Commission a yearly report indicating the number of earth stations actually brought into service under its blanket licensing authority. The annual report is due to the Commission no later than the first day of April of each year and shall indicate the deployment figures for the preceding calendar year.

[FR Doc. 01-14141 Filed 6-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA No. 01-1184, MM Docket No. 00-69, RM-9850, RM-9945 and 9946]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Cheboygan, Rogers City, Bear Lake, Bellaire, Rapid River, Manistique, Ludington, Walhalla & Onaway, MI

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission, in response to the counterproposal filed by D&B and Fort Bend Broadcasting Company proposing changes at Rogers City, Bear Lake, Bellaire, Rapid River, Manistique, Ludington, Walhalla & Onaway, Michigan, issues an Order to Show Cause to the licensee of Station WKLA, Ludington, Michigan, as to why its license should not be modified to specify operation on Channel 254A in lieu of Channel 292A. The counterproposal was filed in response to the Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Order to Show Cause which proposed the allotment of Channel 260C2 at Cheboygan, Michigan, and the substitution of Channel 292C2 for Channel 260C2 at Rogers City, Michigan. See 65 FR 30588, May 12, 2000.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before July 2, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Order to Show Cause, MM Docket No. 00-69, adopted May 2, 2001, and released May 11, 2001. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center, Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857-3800, facsimile (202) 857-3805.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contact.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Michigan, is amended by removing Channel 292A and adding Channel 254A at Ludington.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 01-14020 Filed 6-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA No. 01-1291, MM Docket No. 01-112, RM-10115]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Waitsburg, WA

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition filed by Jeffrey A. Bruton proposing the allotment of Channel 272A at Waitsburg, Washington, as that community's first local broadcast service. The coordinates for Channel 272A at Waitsburg are 46-17-17 and 117-59-18. There is a site restriction 12.8 kilometers (7.9 miles) east of the community. Canadian concurrence will be requested for the allotment of Channel 272A at Wasitsburg.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before July 16, 2001, and reply comments on or before July 31, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, as follows: Jeffrey A. Bruton, 1832 Fern, Walla Walla, Washington 99362.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 01-112, adopted May 16, 2001, and released May 25, 2001. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Information Center, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857-3800, facsimile (202) 857-3805.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this