

including, but not limited to, parfleches, tipis, horse trappings and tack, pouches, bags, and hide paintings are Indian products.

(b) Specific examples include, but are not limited to: Narrative painted hides, martingales, saddles, bonnet cases, drapes, quirts, forelocks, rosettes, horse masks, bridles, head stalls, cinches, saddle bags, side drops, harnesses, arm bands, belts, and other hand crafted items with studs and tooling.

§ 309.19 What are examples of pottery and ceramics that are Indian products?

(a) Pottery, ceramics, and related arts and crafts items made or significantly decorated by Indian labor, including, but not limited to, a broad spectrum of clays and ceramic material are Indian products.

(b) Specific examples include, but are not limited to: Ollas, pitch vessels, pipes, raku bowls, pitchers, canteens, effigy pots, wedding vases, micaceous bean pots, seed pots, masks, incised bowls, blackware plates, redware bowls, polychrome vases, and storytellers and other figures.

§ 309.20 What are examples of sculpture, carving, and pipes that are Indian products?

(a) Sculpture, carving, and pipes made by Indian labor including, but not limited to, wood, soapstone, alabaster, pipestone, argillite, turquoise, ivory, baleen, bone, antler, and shell are Indian products.

(b) Specific examples include, but are not limited to: Fetishes, animal figurines, pipestone pipes, moose antler combs, argillite bowls, ivory cribbage boards, whalebone masks, elk horn purses, and clamshell gorgets.

§ 309.21 What are examples of dolls and toys that are Indian products?

Dolls, toys, and related items made by Indian labor, including, but not limited to, no face dolls, corn husk dolls, kachina dolls, patchwork and palmetto dolls, reindeer horn dolls, lacrosse sticks, stick game articles, gambling sticks, gaming dice, miniature cradle boards, and yo-yos are Indian products.

§ 309.22 What are examples of painting and other fine art forms that are Indian products?

Painting and other fine art forms made by Indian labor, and include but are not limited to, works on canvas, photography, sand painting, mural, computer generated art, graphic art, video artwork, printmaking, drawing, bronze casting, glasswork, and art forms to be developed in the future are Indian products.

§ 309.23 Does this part apply to products made before 1935?

The provisions of this part do not apply to any art or craft products made before 1935.

Dated: May 14, 2001.

Robert Lamb,

Acting Assistant Secretary—Policy, Management, and Budget.

[FR Doc. 01-12666 Filed 5-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-84-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[CGD08-99-007]

RIN 2115-AE47

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Inner Harbor Navigation Canal, LA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Withdrawal of notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is withdrawing a notice of proposed rulemaking for the regulation governing the operation of the L & N Railroad/Old Gentilly Road bascule span drawbridge across the Inner Harbor Navigation Canal, mile 2.9 at New Orleans, Orleans Parish, Louisiana. This proposed rule was published, with request for comments, to allow the bridge to have remained closed to navigation for temporary periods of time, during the months of May, June, July, and September, 1999, for replacement of the damaged fender system. A final rule was not published for the proposed rulemaking. The fender system has been replaced and the temporary rule is no longer necessary.

DATES: The notice of proposed rulemaking is withdrawn effective May 21, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Unless otherwise indicated, documents referred to in this notice are available for inspection or copying at the office of the Eighth Coast Guard District, Bridge Administration Branch, Hale Boggs Federal Building, room 1313, 501 Magazine Street, New Orleans, Louisiana 70130-3396 between 7 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Bridge Administration Branch of the Eighth Coast Guard District maintains the public docket for this notice of proposed rulemaking.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Phil Johnson, Bridge Administration Branch, at the address given above, telephone (504) 589-2965.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory History

On March 15, 1999, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking in 64 FR 12795. The proposed temporary rule would have allowed the draw of the L & N bascule span bridge to remain closed to navigation daily from 8 a. m. until noon and from 1 p.m. until 5 p.m. from May 17 through May 28, 1999, June 1 through July 2, 1999, July 6 through September 3, 1999 and from September 7 through September 22, 1999. The comment period was limited to 45 days because the rule needed to be effective by May 17, 1999. At the end of the comment period, no comments had been received. However, there was not time to publish a final temporary rule prior to May 17, 1999. On July 19, 1999, the Coast Guard received notification that the fender system had been replaced ahead of schedule and the temporary rule was no longer necessary. The Coast Guard is withdrawing this notice of temporary rulemaking from drawbridge operating regulations (CGD08-99-007).

Dated: May 10, 2001.

Roy J. Casto,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 01-12721 Filed 5-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[AZ 094-0027b; FRL-6916-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Arizona State Implementation Plan Revision, Coconino County, Mohave County, and Yuma County

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Coconino County, Mohave County, and Yuma County portions of the Arizona State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern the rescission of all of the remaining defunct SIP rules from these counties. We are approving the rescission of local rules that no longer regulate permitting procedures and various emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by June 20, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

You may inspect copies of the submitted rule and EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted rule at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460.

Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, 3033 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, AZ 85012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Al Petersen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, (415) 744-1135.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses the rescissions of defunct SIP rules from Coconino County, Mohave County, and Yuma County. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving the rescission of these rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe this SIP revision is not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: September 13, 2000.

Keith A. Takata,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[**Editorial note:** This document was received at the Office of the Federal Register on May 15, 2001.]

[FR Doc. 01-12573 Filed 5-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[PA157-4112b; FRL-6981-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Approval of Revisions to Stage II Vapor Recovery Regulations for Southwest Pennsylvania

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP). This action proposes to approve PADEP's revised rules for the implementation of the control of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from gasoline dispensing facilities (Stage II) in the Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley ozone nonattainment area. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A more detailed description of the state submittal and EPA's evaluation are included in a Technical Support Document (TSD) prepared in support of this rulemaking action. A copy of the TSD is available, upon request, from the EPA Regional Office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by June 20, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to David L. Arnold, Chief, Air Quality Planning and Information Services Branch, 3AP21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street,

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources Bureau of Air Quality Control, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ellen Wentworth, (215) 814-2034, at the EPA Region III address above, or by e-mail at wentworth.ellen@epa.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action, with the same title, that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication.

Dated: May 1, 2001.

William C. Early,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.
[FR Doc. 01-12575 Filed 5-18-01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

46 CFR Part 502

[Docket No. 01-05]

Alternative Dispute Resolution

AGENCY: Federal Maritime Commission.
ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Federal Maritime Commission proposes to issue new regulations implementing the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act. The new regulations would expand the Commission's Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") services, addressing guidelines and procedures for arbitration and providing for mediation and other ADR services. This proposed rule would replace current subpart U, Conciliation Service, with a new subpart U, Alternative Dispute Resolution, that would contain a new Commission ADR policy and provisions for various means of ADR. The proposal also would revise certain other regulations to conform to the Commission's new ADR policy.

DATES: Submit an original and 15 copies of comments (paper), or e-mail comments as an attachment in WordPerfect 8, Microsoft Word 97, or earlier versions of these applications, no later than June 20, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments concerning this proposed rule to: Bryant L. VanBrakle, Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Room 1046, Washington, DC 20573-0001, E-mail: secretary@fmc.gov.