days after the date of this preliminary determination or 45 days after our final determinations, whether these imports are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, the U.S. industry.

Public Comment

Case briefs for this investigation must be submitted no later than one week after the issuance of the verification reports. Rebuttal briefs must be filed within five days after the deadline for submission of case briefs. A list of authorities used, a table of contents, and an executive summary of issues should accompany any briefs submitted to the Department. Executive summaries should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. Further, we would appreciate it if parties submitting written comments would provide the Department with an additional copy of the public version of any such comments on diskette.

Section 774 of the Act provides that the Department will hold a hearing to afford interested parties an opportunity to comment on arguments raised in case or rebuttal briefs, provided that such a hearing is requested by any interested party. If a request for a hearing is made in an investigation, the hearing will tentatively be held two days after the deadline for submission of the rebuttal briefs, at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230. In the event that the Department receives requests for hearings from parties to several honey cases, the Department may schedule a single hearing to encompass all those cases. Parties should confirm by telephone the time, date, and place of the hearing 48 hours before the scheduled time. Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or participate if one is requested, must submit a written request within 30 days of the publication of this notice. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. We intend to make our final determination no later than 75 days after the date of this preliminary determination.

This determination is published pursuant to sections 733(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act. Effective January 20, 2001, Bernard T. Carreau is fulfilling the duties of the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Dated: May 4, 2001.

Bernard T. Carreau,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-11941 Filed 5-10-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-427-820, A-428-830, A-475-829, A-580-847, A-583-836, A-412-822]

Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Bar From France, Germany, Italy, Korea, Taiwan, and the United Kingdom

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 11, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brian Smith (France) at (202) 482–1766; Barbara Wojcik-Betancourt (Korea) at (202) 482–0629; Brian Ledgerwood (the United Kingdom) at (202) 482–3836; Craig Matney (Germany) at (202) 482–1778; Jarrod Goldfeder (Italy) at (202) 482–0189; Blanche Ziv (Taiwan) at (202) 482–4207; Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

APPLICABLE STATUTE AND REGULATIONS:

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (April 2000).

POSTPONEMENT OF PRELIMINARY

DETERMINATIONS: On January 24, 2001. the Department published the initiation of the antidumping duty investigations of imports of stainless steel bar from France, Germany, Italy, Korea, Taiwan, and the United Kingdom. The notice of initiation stated that we would make our preliminary determinations for these antidumping duty investigations no later than 140 days after the date of issuance of the initiation (i.e., June 6, 2001). See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Stainless Steel Bar from France, Germany, Italy, Korea, Taiwan, and the United Kingdom, 66 FR 7620, 7626 (January 24, 2001); and Corrections, Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Stainless Steel Bar from France, Germany, Italy, Korea, Taiwan, and the United Kingdom, 66 FR 14986 (March 14, 2001).

On April 27, 2001, the petitioners ¹ made a timely request pursuant to 19

CFR 351.205(e) for a 50-day postponement of the preliminary determinations, or until July 26, 2001. The petitioners requested a postponement of the preliminary determinations because of the need for additional time to submit comments regarding the respondents' questionnaire responses and for the Department to analyze the respondents' data and seek additional data, if necessary, prior to the issuance of the preliminary determinations.

For the reasons identified by the petitioners, and because there are no compelling reasons to deny the request, we are postponing the preliminary determinations under section 733(c)(1) of the Act. We will make our preliminary determinations no later than July 26, 2001.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 733(f) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: May 7, 2001.

Richard W. Moreland,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–11937 Filed 5–10–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [C-533-063]

Certain Iron-metal Castings From India: Amended Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review in Accordance With Decision Upon Remand

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amendment to final results of countervailing duty administrative review.

summary: Pursuant to remand instructions by the Court of International Trade (CIT), the Department has recalculated the countervailing duty rates for the 1990 administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain iron-metal castings from India. The final countervailing duty rates for this administrative review period are listed below in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 11, 2001. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert Copyak, AD/CVD Enforcement Office VI, Group II, Import

Specialty Steel Inc., Slater Steels Corp., and the United Steelworkers of America, AFL–CIO/CLC.

¹ The petitioners are Carpenter Technology Corp., Crucible Specialty Metals, Electralloy Corp., Empire

Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 29, 1995, the Department published the final results of its administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain iron-metal castings from India for the period January 1, 1990 through December 31, 1990. See Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Certain Iron-Metal Castings from India, 60 FR 44,849 (1990 Iron-*Metal Castings*). Subsequently, respondents challenged the final results before the CIT. The primary issue involved the calculation of the program rates for the subsidies provided under section 80HHC of India's Income Tax Act.

Under section 80HHC of India's Income Tax Act, exporters of iron-metal castings are eligible to claim tax exemptions based on their export profits. In 1990 Iron-Metal Castings, the Department calculated these subsidies without adjusting for other subsidies received under India's International Price Reimbursement Scheme (IPRS) and India's Cash Compensatory Support Scheme (CCS). In Crescent Foundry Co. Pvt. Ltd. v. United States, Slip Op. 00-148 (CIT Nov. 9, 2000), the court remanded the final results of the 1990 administrative review and directed the Department to recalculate these subsidies by subtracting IPRS rebates and CCS rebates from taxable income before determining the benefit under section 80HHC. The Department's subsequent remand determination reflected the Court's instructions and was affirmed in Crescent Foundry Co. Pvt. Ltd, v. United States, Slip Op. 01-6 (CIT Jan. 24, 2001).

Final Results of Review

We recalculated the company-specific and all-other subsidy rates for the period January 1, 1990, through December 31, 1990. The amended final countervailing duty rates are as follows:

Manufacturer/exporter	Revised rates (percent)
Carnation Enterprise Pvt. Ltd	7.59
Crescent Foundry Co. Pvt. Ltd	7.59
Kajaria Castings Ltd	7.59
Kejriwal Iron & Steel Works	7.59
Nandikeshwari	7.59
Overseas	7.59
R.B. Agarwalla & Co	7.59
R.S.I	7.59
Ragunath	7.59
Serampore Industries Pvt. Ltd	7.59
Sitarem	7.59

Manufacturer/exporter	Revised rates (percent)
Super Castings (India)	7.59 7.59 7.59 7.59

The Department has been enjoined from issuing any liquidation instructions to the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) until the conclusion of litigation of this case. Litigation has been completed and, therefore, the Department will instruct Customs to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue liquidation instructions directly to Customs.

This amendment to the final results of countervailing duty administrative review notice is in accordance with section 705(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (19 USC 1671d(d)) and section 351.210(c) of the Department's regulations. Effective January 20, 2001, Bernard T. Carreau is fulfilling the duties of the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Dated: May 3, 2001.

Bernard T. Carreau,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–11938 Filed 5–10–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [C-533-063]

Certain Iron-Metal Castings From India: Amended Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review in Accordance With Decision Upon Remand

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amendment to final results of countervailing duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to remand instructions by the Court of International Trade (CIT), the Department has recalculated the countervailing duty rates for the 1991 administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain iron-metal castings from India. The final countervailing duty rates for this administrative review period are listed below in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 11, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Copyak, AD/CVD Enforcement Office VI, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 29, 1995, the Department published the final results of its administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain iron-metal castings from India for the period January 1, 1991 through December 31, 1991. See Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Certain Iron-Metal Castings from India, 60 FR 44,843 (1991 Iron-*Metal Castings*). Subsequently, respondents challenged the final results before the CIT. The primary issue involved the calculation of the program rates for the subsidies provided under section 80HHC of India's Income Tax Act.

Under section 80HHC of India's Income Tax Act, exporters of iron-metal castings are eligible to claim tax exemptions based on their export profits. In 1991 Iron-Metal Castings, the Department calculated these subsidies without adjusting for other subsidies received under India's International Price Reimbursement Scheme (IPRS) and India's Cash Compensatory Support Scheme (CCS). In Kajaria Iron Castings Pvt. Ltd. v. United States, Slip Op. 00-147 (CIT Nov. 9, 2000), the court remanded the final results of the 1991 administrative review and directed the Department to recalculate these subsidies by subtracting IPRS rebates and CCS rebates from taxable income before determining the benefit under section 80HHC. The Department's subsequent remand determination reflected the Court's instructions and was affirmed in Kajaria Iron Castings Pvt. v. United States, Slip Op. 01-5 (CIT Jan. 24, 2001).

Final Results of Review

We recalculated the company-specific and all-other subsidy rates for the period January 1, 1991, through December 31, 1991. The amended final countervailing duty rates are as follows:

Manufacturer/exporter	Revised rates
Calcutta Ferrous	0.93
Carnation Enterprise Pvt. Ltd	0.66
Commex	10.44
Crescent Foundry Co. Pvt. Ltd	1.18
Dinesh	0.00
Kajaria Castings Ltd	2.56
Kejriwal Iron & Steel Works	¹ 0.40
Nandikeshwari	2.56
R.B. Agarwalla & Co	1.73
R.S.I	4.19