In determining the paperwork requirements specified in the standard on Specifications for Accident Prevention Signs and Tags (§ 1910.145; hereafter the "Standard"), the Agency finds that paragraph (a)(2) requires employers to use signs and tags that conform to the design and wording specifications contained in paragraphs (d)(1) through (d)(10), (e)(2), (f)(4)(i)through (f)(4)(iv), (f)(7), and (f)(8)(ii) of the Standard. OSHA believes that, as a usual and customary practice, employers meet this requirement by purchasing a supply of appropriate signs and tags. Therefore, the Agency concludes that no burden results from this requirement because appropriate signs and tags are readily available from commercial sources. This conclusion holds even for employers who don't make such purchases because OSHA provides them with the specific wording to use when fabricating their own signs and tags.

The Agency notes that the Standard does not contain requirements for employers to post signs and tags. Instead, other OSHA standards in part 1910 directly specify the posting requirements for the signs and tags described by this Standard. Therefore, the Agency is removing the burden hours previously attributed to posting signs or tags under this Standard, and will assign these burden hours more appropriately to the standards that directly require such posting.

OSHA is recognizing an additional paperwork requirement that it previously overlooked. The Standard requires employers to select signs and tags that are appropriate to the dangers and hazards identified in the workplace; paragraphs (c)(1)(i), (c)(2)(i), (c)(3), (e)(4), (f)(3), (f)(5) through (f)(7), and (f)(8)(i) specify the signs and tags that employers must select for these dangers and hazards. In addition, paragraphs (d)(l) through (d)(10), (e)( $\bar{2}$ ), (f)(4)(i) through (f)(4)(iv), (f)(7), and (f)(8)(ii)provide the design and wording requirements for these signs and tags. Therefore, employers must ensure that the signs and tags selected are appropriate for the identified dangers and hazards and meet the design and wording requirements of the Standard. Accordingly, selecting appropriate signs and tags represents a paperwork requirement that incurs employer burden hours and cost. However, the Agency believes that this requirement imposes minimal burden on most employers because, after they initially identify workplace dangers and hazards and select the appropriate signs and tags, the types of dangers and hazards do not change significantly in most

workplaces (i.e., new or additional signs and tags are necessary only for new facilities or new or additional dangers or hazards).

## **II. Special Issues for Comment**

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed informationcollection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA's estimate of the burden (time and cost) of the information-collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information-collection and-transmission techniques.

## **III. Proposed Actions**

OSHA proposes to decrease the existing burden-hour estimate, and to extend OMB approval, of the collection-of-information requirements specified by the Standard. In this regard, the Agency is proposing to decrease the current burden-hour estimate from 30,225 hours to 5,600 hours, a total reduction of 24,625 hours. The Agency will summarize the comments submitted in response to this notice, and will include this summary in its request to OMB to extend the approval of these information-collection requirements.

*Type of Review:* Extension of currently approved information-collection requirement.

*Title:* Specifications for Accident Prevention Signs and Tags (29 CFR 1910.145).

OMB Number: 1218-0132.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit; not-for-profit institutions; Federal government; State, local or tribal governments.

Number of Respondents: 112,000. Frequency of Response: On occasion. Average Time per Response: 3 minutes (.05 hours).

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 5,600. Estimated Cost (Operation and Maintenance): \$0.

#### IV. Authority and Signature

R. Davis Layne, Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506), Secretary of Labor's Order No. 3–2000 (65 FR 50017). Signed at Washington, DC on April 24, 2001.

#### R. Davis Layne,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor. [FR Doc. 01–10636 Filed 4–27–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–26-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. ICR-1218-0113(2001)]

Cranes and Derricks Standard for Construction; Extension of the Office of Management of Budget's (OMB) Approval of Information-Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice of an opportunity for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** OSHA solicits public comment concerning its request to extend OMB approval of the information-collection requirements specified the Cranes and Derricks Standard for Construction; this paragraph requires employers to establish and maintain a record of the dates and results of the annual inspection conducted on each hoisting machine and piece of equipment.

**DATES:** Submit written comments on or before June 29, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Docket Office, Docket No. ICR–1218–0113(2001), OSHA, U.S.
Department of Labor, Room N–2625, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW.,
Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693–2350. Commenters may transmit written comments of 10 pages or less by facsimile to: (202) 693–1648.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathleen M. Martinez, Directorate of Policy, Office of Regulatory Analysis, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-3609, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693-1953. A copy of the Agency's Information-Collection Request (ICR) supporting the need for the information collections specified by the Cranes and Derricks Standard is available for inspection and copying in the Docket Office, or by requesting a copy from Todd Owen at (202) 693–2444. For electronic copies of the ICR contact OSHA on the Internet at http:// www.osha.gov/comp-links.html and select "Information Collection Requests."

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent (i.e., employer) burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information-collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA-95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program ensures that information is in the desired format, reporting burden (time and cost) is minimal, collection instruments are clearly understood, and OSHA's estimate of the informationcollection burden is correct.

Paragraph (a)(6) of the Standard requires employers to perform annual inspections of cranes and derricks and to establish and maintain a written record of the dates and results of these inspections. The inspections identify problems such as deterioration caused by exposure to adverse weather conditions, worn components and other flaws and defects that develop during use, and accelerated wear resulting from misalignments of connecting systems and components. A competent person or a government or private agency recognized by the U.S. Department of labor must perform the inspections.

Establishing and maintaining a written record of the annual inspections alerts the equipment mechanics to servicing or repair problems. Prior to returning the equipment to service, employers can review the records to ensure that the mechanics performed the necessary repairs and maintenance. Accordingly, by using only equipment that is in safe working order, employers will prevent severe injury and death to the equipment operators and other employees who use or work near the equipment. In addition, these records provide the most efficient means for an OSHA compliance officer to determine that an employer performed the required inspections and that the equipment is safe.

## **II. Special Issues for Comment**

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed informationcollection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA's estimate of the burden (time and cost) of the information-collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and

• Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information-collection and -transmission techniques.

### III. Proposed Actions

OSHA proposes to extend OMB's previous approval of the recordkeeping (paperwork) requirement specified in paragraph (a)(6) of the Cranes and Derricks Standard for Construction (29 CFR 1926.550). The Agency will summarize the comments submitted in response to this notice, and will include this summary in its request to OMB to extend the approval of this information-collection requirement.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved information-collection requirements.

Title: Annual Inspection Record Specified in the Cranes and Derricks Standard for Construction.

OMB Number: 1218-0113.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit; not-for-profit institutions; Federal government; State, local or tribal governments.

Number of Respondents: 32,900. Frequency of Response: Annually. Total Responses: 32,900.

Average Time per Response: Either 2.75 hours or 3.5 hours depending on the capacity of the crane/derrick.

Estimated Total Burden Hours:

Estimated Cost (Operation and Maintenance). \$3,271.894.

## IV. Authority and Signature

R. Davis Layne, Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506) and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 3– 2000 (65 FR 50017).

Signed at Washington, DC, on April 24, 2001.

## R. Davis Layne,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor.
[FR Doc. 01–10637 Filed 4–27–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–26–M

# FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

## **Sunshine Act Meeting**

April 25, 2001.

TIME AND DATE: 10:00 a.m., Wednesday, May 2, 2001.

**PLACE:** Room 6005, 6th Floor, 1730 K Street, NW., Washington, DC.

**STATUS:** Closed in Part [Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(10)].

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: It was determined by a majority vote of the Commission that part of the Commission meeting to consider and act upon the following take place in closed session:

1. Eagle Energy, Inc., Docket No. WEVA 98–123 (Issues include whether substantial evidence supports the judge's finding that the operator violated the regulations governing preshift and onshift mine examinations by failing to observe unsupported kettle bottoms; whether the judge properly concluded that the violations found were the result of the operator's unwarrantable failure; whether the judge properly imposed penalties that were double in amounts from those initially assessed; and whether the judge's frequent questioning of witnesses improperly interfered with the operator's presentation of its case and reflected bias).

Any person attending the open portion of the meeting who requires special accessibility features and/or auxiliary aids, such as sign language interpreters, must inform the Commission in advance of those needs. Subject to 29 CFR 2706.150(a)(3) and 2706.160(d).

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFO:** Jean Ellen, (202) 653–5629/(202) 708–9300 for TDD Relay/1–800–877–8339 for toll free.

#### Jean H. Ellen,

Chief Docket Clerk.

[FR Doc. 01–10804 Filed 4–26–01; 12:32 pm] BILLING CODE 6735–01–M

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 01-054]

## **Notice of Prospective Patent License**

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of prospective patent license.

SUMMARY: NASA hereby gives notice that Advanced Cybernetics Group (ACG), of Cupertino, CA has applied for an exclusive license to practice the invention disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,774,669 entitled "Scalable Hierarchical Network Management System for Displaying Network Information in Three Dimensions" which is assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Written objections to the prospective