(b) Who must comply with this AD? Anyone who wishes to operate any of the above airplanes must comply with this AD.

(c) What problem does this AD address? The actions specified by this AD are intended

to correct damage or cracks in the main landing gear lower side brace at the upper bolt lug where the upper and lower side braces connect. This could result in cracking and failure of the main landing gear lower side brace. Such failure could lead to loss of control of the airplane.

(d) What actions must I accomplish to address this problem? To address this problem, you must do the following:

Actions	Compliance	Procedures
(1) Replace both main landing gear lower side brace assemblies with Aerostar part number 400084–001 lower side brace assemblies.	Within the next 50 hours time-in-service after June 12, 2001, unless already performed.	Do these replacements following the IN- STRUCTIONS PART II: Replacement para- graph of Aerostar Service Bulletin SB600– 134A, dated March 31, 2000, and the Aerostar Maintenance Manual.
(2) Do not install, on any affected airplane, main landing gear lower side brace assemblies that are not Aerostar part number 400084–001 or FAA-approved equivalent part number.	As of June 12, 2001	Not applicable.

(e) Can I comply with this AD in any other way? You may use an alternative method of compliance or adjust the compliance time if:

(1) Your alternative method of compliance provides an equivalent level of safety; and

(2) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), approves your alternative. Send your request through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW, Renton, Washington 98055.

Note: This AD applies to each airplane identified in paragraph (a) of this AD, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance following paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if you have not eliminated the unsafe condition, specific actions you propose to address it.

- (f) Where can I get information about any already-approved alternative methods of compliance? Contact Richard Simonson, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW, Renton, Washington 98055; telephone: (425) 227–2597; facsimile: (425) 227–1181.
- (g) What if I need to fly the airplane to another location to comply with this AD? The FAA can issue a special flight permit under sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate your airplane to a location where you can accomplish the requirements of this AD.
- (h) Are any service bulletins incorporated into this AD by reference? Actions required by this AD must be done following Aerostar Aircraft Corporation Service Bulletin SB600–134A, dated March 31, 2000. The Director of the Federal Register approved this incorporation by reference under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. You can get copies from Aerostar Aircraft Corporation, 10555 Airport Drive, Coeur d'Alene Airport, Hayden Lake, Idaho 83835–8742. You can

look at copies at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC

(i) When does this amendment become effective? This amendment becomes effective on June 12, 2001.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on April 13, 2001.

#### David R. Showers,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 01–9749 Filed 4–25–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-NM-139-AD; Amendment 39-12188; AD 2001-08-11]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Aerospatiale Model ATR42–200, –300, and –320 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to all Aerospatiale Model ATR42–300 and –320 series airplanes, that currently requires repetitive ultrasonic inspections to detect cracking of certain lugs on the main landing gear (MLG), replacement of cracked lugs with new or serviceable parts, and a follow-on inspection; and provides for an optional terminating action for the repetitive inspections. This amendment removes that terminating action and requires new repetitive inspections of the rubber sealant to detect shearing,

and corrective action, if necessary. This action also requires new one-time visual and fluorescent penetrant inspections to detect discrepancies of certain lugs, and refurbishment of the MLG barrel and swing lever assemblies, which terminates the requirements of this AD. This action also revises the applicability of the existing AD. This amendment is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent discrepancies of the MLG barrel lower lugs, which could result in reduced structural integrity and possible collapse of the MLG.

DATES: Effective May 31, 2001.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of May 31, 2001.

The incorporation by reference of certain other publications listed in the regulations was approved previously by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 7, 1997 (62 FR 7665, February 20, 1997).

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Aerospatiale, 316 Route de Bayonne, 31060 Toulouse, Cedex 03, France. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Norman B. Martenson, Manager,

Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2110; fax (425) 227–1149. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) by superseding AD 97-26-19, amendment 39-10262 (62 FR 66980, December 23, 1997), which is applicable to all Aerospatiale Model ATR42-300 and -320 series airplanes, was published in the Federal Register on November 28, 2000 (65 FR 70815). That action proposed to remove the terminating action and require new repetitive inspections of the rubber sealant to detect shearing, and corrective action if necessary. That action also proposed to require new one-time visual and fluorescent penetrant inspections to detect discrepancies of certain lugs and refurbishment of the main landing gear (MLG) barrel and swing lever assemblies, which would terminate the requirements of the proposed AD. That action also proposed to revise the applicability of the existing AD to include Model ATR42-200 series airplanes.

# Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the single comment received.

Request To Extend Compliance Time for Refurbishment

The commenter (the manufacturer of the subject MLG legs) requests an extension of the compliance time to refurbish the MLG legs, as specified in paragraphs (g) and (h) of the proposed AD. The commenter reports that those proposed compliance times have already passed for many of the affected airplanes. Approximately 70 MLG legs have not been refurbished. For certain airplanes, the proposed AD would require the MLG legs to be refurbished within the proposed 60-day grace period; for other airplanes, no grace period was provided. Furthermore, the refurbishment must be done by thirdparty maintenance vendors. They estimate that, based on current shop schedules and the availability of spare parts, it would take 36 months after December 2000 to refurbish all affected MLG legs in the U.S.

The FAA concurs with the request to extend the compliance times to refurbish the MLG legs. In developing an appropriate compliance time, the FAA considered the safety implications, parts availability, and maintenance schedules for timely accomplishment of the refurbishment. In consideration of these factors, the FAA has determined that the revised compliance times (24)

months for airplanes modified in accordance with Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–133; 42 months for unmodified airplanes) represent an appropriate interval in which the refurbishment can be accomplished in a timely manner within the fleet and an adequate level of safety can be maintained. Paragraphs (g) and (h) have been revised accordingly in this final rule.

# Clarification of Compliance Time for Sealant Inspection

The FAA noted some incongruous compliance times specified in paragraph (e) of the proposed AD. Proposed paragraph (e)(1) referred to a repetitive interval of 300 landings, and proposed paragraph (e)(2) referred to immediate compliance for the corrective action. Both paragraphs conflict with the prior reference in paragraph (e) to a 400-flight-hour repetitive interval. Paragraphs (e), (e)(1), and (e)(2) have been revised in this final rule to eliminate the conflicting requirements.

# Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, including the comment noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule with the changes previously described. The FAA has determined that these changes will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

# **Cost Impact**

There are approximately 84 airplanes of U.S. registry that will be affected by this AD.

The inspection that is currently required by AD 97–26–19, and retained in this AD, takes approximately 2 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the currently required actions is estimated to be \$120 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

The new inspections and refurbishment required by this AD will take approximately 29 work hours per airplane, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts will cost approximately \$4,822 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the new requirements of this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$551,208, or \$6,562 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the current or proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

#### Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a ''significant rule'' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

# Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

# § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing amendment 39–10262 (62 FR 66980, December 23, 1997), and by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD), amendment 39–12188, to read as follows:

**2001–08–11 Aerospatiale:** Amendment 39–12188. Docket 98–NM–139–AD. Supersedes AD 97–26–19, Amendment 39–10262.

Applicability: Model ATR42–200, –300, and –320 series airplanes; certificated in any category; except airplanes that have been refurbished in accordance with Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–145, dated February 16, 1998.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (k)(1) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To detect and correct discrepancies of the main landing gear (MLG) barrel lower lugs, which could result in reduced structural integrity and possible collapse of the MLG, accomplish the following:

# **Ultrasonic Inspection**

- (a) For airplanes on which the actions specified by Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–133, dated February 24, 1997, as revised by Change Notice No. 1, dated March 18, 1997, have not been accomplished prior to the effective date of this AD: Perform an ultrasonic inspection to detect fatigue cracks of the lower lugs of the barrel of the MLG, in accordance with Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–132, dated January 21, 1997, at the applicable time specified in paragraph (a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), or (a)(4) of this AD.
- (1) For Model ATR42–300 and –320 series airplanes: Inspect within 2 years after the last overhaul or repair of the lower lugs of the barrel of the MLG; or within 60 days after March 7, 1997 (the effective date of AD 97–04–09, amendment 39–9933); whichever occurs later.
- (2) For Model ATR42–300 and –320 series airplanes: Inspect within 5 years after the installation of a new MLG barrel assembly, or within 60 days after January 7, 1998 (the effective date of AD 97–26–19, amendment 39–10262); whichever occurs later.

- (3) For Model ATR42–200 series airplanes: Inspect within 2 years after the last overhaul or repair of the lower lugs of the barrel of the MLG, or within 60 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later.
- (4) For Model ATR42–200 series airplanes: Inspect within 5 years after the installation of a new MLG barrel assembly, or within 60 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later.
- (b) If, during any inspection specified in paragraph (a) of this AD, no ultrasonic echo (as described in Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–133, dated February 24, 1997, as revised by Change Notice No. 1, dated March 18, 1997) is detected, or if the echo is less than 20%: Except as required by paragraph (c) of this AD, repeat the ultrasonic inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 900 landings.
- (c) For airplanes that are subject to the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraph (b) of this AD: As of the effective date of this AD, repeat the inspection, as specified by Table 1 of this AD, until the requirements of paragraph (f) of this AD are accomplished. Table 1 is as follows:

# TABLE 1.—REPETITIVE INTERVAL

If the first ultrasonic inspection specified by paragraph (a) of this AD was done—	Then repeat the ultrasonic inspection—
At least 24 months, and less than 42 months, before the effective date of this AD.	Within 500 landings after the first ultrasonic inspection, or within 60 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 500 landings.
Less than 24 months before the effective date of this AD, or at any time on or after the effective date of this AD.	At intervals not to exceed 900 landings, for a period not to exceed 24 months after the first ultrasonic inspection of (a) of this AD; and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 500 landings.

- (d) If, during any inspection specified in paragraph (a) of this AD, the echo is greater than or equal to 20%: Prior to further flight, replace the MLG barrel assembly with a new or serviceable MLG barrel assembly, in accordance with Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–132, dated January 21, 1997.
- (1) If the damaged barrel assembly is replaced with an overhauled or repaired assembly, within 2 years after installation of that overhauled or repaired part, accomplish the actions specified in paragraph (a) of this AD.
- (2) If the damaged barrel assembly is replaced with a new barrel assembly, within 5 years after installation of that new part, accomplish the actions specified in paragraph (a) of this AD.

# **Inspection of Sealant**

(e) For airplanes on which the actions specified by Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–133, dated February 24, 1997, as revised by Change Notice No. 1, dated March 18, 1997, have been accomplished prior to the effective date of this AD: Within 400 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, perform a detailed visual inspection to detect discrepancies (including shearing or separation) of the rubber sealant between the bushings and the MLG barrel lower lugs, and between the bushing and the swinging lever lug, in accordance with Messier-Dowty

Service Bulletin 631–32–144, dated January 19, 1998.

Note 2: For the purposes of this AD, a detailed visual inspection is defined as: "An intensive visual examination of a specific structural area, system, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at intensity deemed appropriate by the inspector. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be used. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required."

- (1) If no discrepancy is detected, repeat the detailed visual inspection specified in paragraph (e) of this AD thereafter at intervals not to exceed 400 flight hours, until accomplishment of the actions required by paragraph (f) of this AD.
- (2) If any discrepancy is detected, prior to further flight, repeat the ultrasonic inspection and all applicable corrective actions specified by paragraphs (a), (b), and (d) of this AD.

# Inspections and MLG Refurbishment

(f) For all airplanes: At the applicable time specified by paragraph (g) or (h) of this AD, accomplish the actions required by paragraphs (f)(1) and (f)(2) of this AD, in accordance with Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–145, dated February 16,

- 1998, or Revision 1, dated May 31, 1999. Accomplishment of the inspections and refurbishment required by this paragraph constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.
- (1) Perform a one-time detailed visual inspection and a one-time fluorescent penetrant inspection to detect discrepancies (cracks, corrosion, and material defects) of the barrel lower lugs (outboard and inboard).
- (i) If no discrepancy is found, prior to further flight, refurbish the lugs in accordance with the service bulletin.
- (ii) If any discrepancy is found, prior to further flight, refurbish the lugs in accordance with the service bulletin and repeat the detailed visual inspection and fluorescent penetrant inspection. If any discrepancy remains, prior to further flight, do the actions specified by either paragraph (f)(1)(ii)(A) or (f)(1)(ii)(B) of this AD.
- (A) Replace the damaged MLG barrel with a new or reconditioned barrel.
- (B) Repair in accordance with a method approved by either the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate; or the Direction Générale de l'Aviation Civile (DGAC) (or its delegated agent).
- (2) Refurbish the MLG (including restoring the protective treatments, installing new bushings, and installing new lubrication points of the MLG barrel and swinging lever assemblies).

# Compliance Times for Inspections and Refurbishment

- (g) For airplanes on which the actions specified by Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–133, dated February 24, 1997, have not been accomplished prior to the effective date of this AD: Do the actions required by paragraph (f) of this AD within 42 months after the effective date of this AD.
- (h) For airplanes on which the actions specified by Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–133, dated February 24, 1997, have been accomplished prior the effective date of this AD: Do the actions required by paragraph (f) of this AD within 24 months after the effective date of this AD.

# Reporting Requirement

- (i) At the applicable time specified by paragraph (i)(1) or (i)(2) of this AD, submit a report of the results (both positive and negative findings) of the initial inspections required by paragraphs (a) and (e) of this AD to Messier-Dowty, BP 10–78142 Vélizy Cedex, France. Information collection requirements contained in this regulation have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) and have been assigned OMB Control Number 2120–0056.
- (1) For airplanes on which the inspections are accomplished after the effective date of this AD: Submit a report of each inspection within 10 days after performing the applicable inspection.
- (2) For airplanes on which the inspections have been accomplished prior to the effective date of this AD: Submit the report within 10 days after the effective date of this AD.

# **Spares**

(j) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install a bushing, part number D66349, on the MLG barrel and swinging lever assemblies on any airplane.

# **Alternative Methods of Compliance**

- (k)(1) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.
- (2) Alternative methods of compliance approved previously in accordance with AD 97–26–19, amendment 39–10262, are approved as alternative methods of compliance with the applicable requirements of this AD.

**Note 3:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

# **Special Flight Permits**

(l) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

#### **Incorporation by Reference**

(m) Except as required by paragraph (f)(1)(ii)(B) of this AD: The actions must be done in accordance with Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–132, dated January 21, 1997; Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–144, dated January 19, 1998; Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–145, dated February 16, 1998; and Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–145, Revision 1, dated May 31, 1999; as applicable. Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–145, Revision 1, dated May 31, 1999, contains the following list of effective pages:

Page No.	Revision level shown on page	Date shown on page
1, 2, 9, 10	1	May 31, 1999.
3–8, 11–46	Original	Feb. 16, 1998.

- (1) The incorporation by reference of Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–144, dated January 19, 1998; Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–145, dated February 16, 1998; and Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–145, Revision 1, dated May 31, 1999; is approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.
- (2) The incorporation by reference of Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin 631–32–132, dated January 21, 1997, was approved previously by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 7, 1997 (62 FR 7665, February 20, 1997).
- (3) Copies of any of these service bulletins may be obtained from Aerospatiale, 316 Route de Bayonne, 31060 Toulouse, Cedex 03, France. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

**Note 4:** The subject of this AD is addressed in French airworthiness directive 1996–294(B) R4, dated March 10, 1999.

#### **Effective Date**

(n) This amendment becomes effective on May 31, 2001.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 16, 2001.

# Donald L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 01–9879 Filed 4–25–01; 8:45 am]

# BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Aviation Administration**

# 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2000-NM-180-AD; Amendment 39-12189; AD 2001-08-12]

# RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A340 Series Airplanes Equipped With CFM International CFM56–5C Engines

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

 $\textbf{ACTION:} \ Final \ rule; \ request \ for$ 

comments.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Model A340 series airplanes. This action requires repetitive inspections of the pivoting door roller fittings of the upper and lower thrust reversers for cracks, and corrective action, if necessary. This action is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. This action is intended to detect and correct cracking of the pivoting door roller fittings of the thrust reversers, which could result in failure of the primary locking mechanism of the thrust reversers during flight, leading to reduced controllability of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Effective May 11, 2001.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of May 11, 2001.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before May 29, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2000-NM-180-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9anm-iarcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2000-NM-180-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must