

concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: April 10, 2001.

**Susanne Bolton,**

*Committee Meeting Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-9201 Filed 4-12-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-M**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Special Emphasis Panel in Physics; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

*Name:* Special Emphasis Panel in Physics (1208).

*Date/Time:* May 9-11, 2001, 8:30 am-5:00 pm.

*Place:* Room II-585, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd Arlington, VA.

*Type of Meeting:* Closed.

*Contact Person:* Dr. John W. Lightbody, Jr., National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd, Arlington, VA 22230, Telephone: (703) 292-7378.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted the National Science Foundation for financial support.

*Agenda:* Review various proposals.

*Reason for Closing:* The proposals being review include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: April 10, 2001.

**Susanne Bolton,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-9199 Filed 4-12-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-M**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Advisory Committee for Polar Programs; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

*Name:* Advisory Committee for Polar Programs (1130).

*Date/Time:* May 3, 2001; 8:30 am to 5:00 pm; May 4, 2001; 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

*Place:* National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA.

*Type of Meeting:* Open.

*Contact Person:* Brenda Williams, Office of Polar Programs (OPP), National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230. (703) 292-8030.

*Minutes:* May be obtained from the contact person list above.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To advise NSF on the impact of its policies, programs and activities on the polar research community; to provide advice to the Director of OPP on issues related to long range planning, and to form ad hoc subcommittees to carry out needed studies and tasks.

*Agenda:* Discussion of NSF-wide initiatives, long-range planning and GPRA.

Dated: April 10, 2001.

**Susanne Bolton,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-9206 Filed 4-12-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-M**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-423]

### Northeast Nuclear Energy Company, et al.; Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-49, issued to the Northeast Nuclear Energy Company, et al., (NNECO or the licensee), for operation of the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3, located in Waterford, Connecticut.

#### Environmental Assessment

##### Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would revise Technical Specification (TS) Sections: 3.3.2.1, "Instrumentation—Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System Instrumentation;" 3.3.3.1, "Instrumentation—Monitoring Instrumentation—Radiation Monitoring;" 3.7.6.1, "Plant Systems—Control Room Emergency Ventilation System;" 3.9.3.1, "Refueling Operations—Decay Time;" 3.9.4, "Refueling Operations—Containment Penetrations;" 3.9.9, "Refueling Operations—Containment Radiation Monitoring;" 3.9.10, "Refueling Operations—Containment Purge Valve Isolation System;" 3.9.13, "Refueling Operations—Storage Pool Radiation Monitoring;" 3.9.14, "Refueling Operations—Storage Pool Area Ventilation System—Fuel Movement;" 3.9.15, "Refueling Operations—Storage Pool Area Ventilation System—Fuel Storage;" 3.9.16.1, "Refueling Operations—Shielded Cask;" 3.9.16.2, "Refueling Operations—Shielded Cask;"

3.9.17, "Refueling Operations—Movement of Fuel in Spent Fuel Pool;" and 3.9.19.2, "Refueling Operations—Spent Fuel Pool—Storage Pattern," and add new TS 3.3.4, "Containment Purge Valve Isolation Signal." The requested changes would make the TSs and the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) consistent with new analyses of the fuel handling and cask drop accidents. The Index pages and the Bases for these TSs would be modified to reflect these changes.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's amendment request dated June 29, 2000, as supplemented by letters dated October 16, 2000, and January 25, 2001.

#### The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed for the licensee to move new and spent fuel while the containment is open during refueling operations. NNECO has determined that the current analysis of a fuel handling accident inside containment needs to be revised since the current analysis is not conservative with respect to the amount of fuel damage that will occur. As a result, Millstone Unit No. 3 was required to keep containment isolated during fuel movement inside containment until a revised analysis was approved by the NRC. With the containment isolated, high temperature and humidity conditions create an adverse environment for individuals working inside containment. This type of environment is a personnel safety concern and can increase the potential for human errors. The revised analysis, which was submitted for approval by NNECO in an application dated June 29, 2000, includes a provision to maintain the personnel air lock doors open under administrative control. This will greatly simplify normal entry and egress. This provision will also decrease the time necessary to evacuate containment in the event of a fuel handling accident, thereby decreasing personnel exposure.

#### Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its assessment of the potential environmental impacts associated with the changes. These TS changes are supported by a revised fuel handling analyses and cask drop accident analyses. The impact of the above proposed TS changes has been evaluated by the NRC in consideration for approval of the changes and supporting analyses. The TS change will not significantly increase the probability of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be

released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The consequences of the postulated design basis accidents related to fuel handling and cask drop accidents will be greater than previously evaluated. However, the NRC considers NNECO's approach taken to calculate the dose analysis was conservative and conformed to the NRC Regulatory Guide 1.25. Furthermore, the consequences remain well within 10 CFR Part 100 doses (25 percent of 10 CFR Section 100.11(a)(1)) for offsite releases. Therefore, the TS changes will not significantly increase the consequences of any fuel handling or cask drop accidents.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed amendment.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

#### *Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no significant change in current environmental impacts. Such action would not enhance the protection of the environment and would result in unjustified hardship to the licensee. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

#### *Alternative Use of Resources*

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3.

#### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

In accordance with its stated policy, on January 25, 2001, the staff consulted with the Connecticut State official, Michael Firsick of the Division of Radiation, Department of Environmental Protection, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

#### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a

significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated June 29, 2000, as supplemented by letters dated October 16, 2000, and January 25, 2001. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov> (the Electronic Reading Room).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of April 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Victor Nerses, Sr.,**

*Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 01-9161 Filed 4-12-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

### **PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION**

#### **Interest Assumption for Determining Variable-Rate Premium; Interest on Late Premium Payments; Interest on Underpayments and Overpayments of Single-Employer Plan Termination Liability and Multiemployer Withdrawal Liability; Interest Assumptions for Multiemployer Plan Valuations Following Mass Withdrawal**

**AGENCY:** Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

**ACTION:** Notice of interest rates and assumptions.

**SUMMARY:** This notice informs the public of the interest rates and assumptions to be used under certain Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation regulations. These rates and assumptions are published elsewhere (or are derivable from rates published elsewhere), but are collected and published in this notice for the convenience of the public. Interest rates are also published on the PBGC's web site (<http://www.pbgc.gov>).

**DATES:** The interest rate for determining the variable-rate premium under part 4006 applies to premium payment years beginning in April 2001. The interest assumptions for performing multiemployer plan valuations following mass withdrawal under part

4281 apply to valuation dates occurring in May 2001. The interest rates for late premium payments under part 4007 and for underpayments and overpayments of single-employer plan termination liability under part 4062 and multiemployer withdrawal liability under part 4219 apply to interest accruing during the second quarter (April through June) of 2001.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Harold J. Ashner, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, 202-326-4024. (For TTY/TDD users, call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1-800-877-8339 and ask to be connected to 202-326-4024.)

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Variable-Rate Premiums**

Section 4006(a)(3)(E)(iii)(II) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) and § 4006.4(b)(1) of the PBGC's regulation on Premium Rates (29 CFR part 4006) prescribe use of an assumed interest rate in determining a single-employer plan's variable-rate premium. The rate is the "applicable percentage" (currently 85 percent) of the annual yield on 30-year Treasury securities for the month preceding the beginning of the plan year for which premiums are being paid (the "premium payment year"). The yield figure is reported in Federal Reserve Statistical Releases G.13 and H.15.

The assumed interest rate to be used in determining variable-rate premiums for premium payment years beginning in April 2001 is 4.54 percent (i.e., 85 percent of the 5.34 percent yield figure for March 2001).

The following table lists the assumed interest rates to be used in determining variable-rate premiums for premium payment years beginning between May 2000 and April 2001.

For premium payment years beginning in:	The assumed interest rate is:
May 2000 .....	4.97
June 2000 .....	5.23
July 2000 .....	5.04
August 2000 .....	4.97
September 2000 .....	4.86
October 2000 .....	4.96
November 2000 .....	4.93
December 2000 .....	4.91
January 2001 .....	4.67
February 2001 .....	4.71
March 2001 .....	4.63
April 2001 .....	4.54