organizations that are not dominant in their respective fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations less than 50,000. For the same reasons set forth in the above Regulatory Evaluation, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b) that this rule is not expected to have a significant economic impact on any substantial number of entities, regardless of their size.

Assistance For Small Entities

In accordance with § 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), the Coast Guard wants to assist small entities in understanding this rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If your small business or organization is affected by this rule and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact Petty Officer Nicole Lavorgna, U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office San Diego at (619) 683–6495.

Collection of Information

This regulation contains no collection of information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq).

Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this temporary regulation under the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612 and has determined that this regulation does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

Environmental Assessment

The Coast Guard has considered the environmental impact of this temporary regulation and concluded that under Chapter 2.B.2. of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, Figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), it will have no significant environmental impact and it is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation.

Unfunded Mandates

Under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104–4), the Coast Guard must consider whether this rule will result in an annual expenditure by state, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate of \$100 million (adjusted annually for inflation). If so, the Act requires that a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives be considered, and that from those alternatives, the least costly, most costeffective, or least burdensome alternative that achieves the objective of the rule be selected.

No state, local, or tribal government entities will be effected by this rule, so this rule will not result in annual or aggregate costs of \$100 million or more. Therefore, the Coast Guard is exempt from any further regulatory requirements under the Unfunded Mandates Act.

Other Executive Orders on the Regulatory Process

In addition to the statutes and Executive Orders already addressed in this preamble, the Coast Guard considered the following executive orders in developing this temporary final rule and reached the following conclusions:

Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights. This Rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under this Order.

Executive Order 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership. This Rule will not impose, on any State, local, or tribal government, a mandate that is not required by statute and that is not funded by the Federal government.

Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This Rule meets applicable standards in section 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of this Order to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This Rule is not an economically significant rule and does not concern an environmental risk to safety disproportionately affecting children.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and record keeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

Regulation

In consideration of the foregoing, Subpart F of Part 165 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

PART 165—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; and 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. A new § 165.T11–030 is added to read as follows:

§165.T11-030 Safety zone: mission bay, San Diego, CA.

- (a) Location. This safety zone consists of all navigable waters located within a 400 yard circular radius surrounding the end of the Mission Bay Channel entrance north jetty.
- (b) Effective Date. This section is effective at 8 a.m. (PST) on February 21, 2001, and runs until 8 p.m. (PST) on April 15, 2001. If the Mission Bay closure reopens prior to the scheduled termination time, the Captain of the Port will cease enforcement of this safety zone and will announce that fact via Broadcast Notice to Mariners.
- (c) Regulations. In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into, transit through, or anchoring within this zone by all vessels is prohibited, unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or his designated representative.

Dated: February 21, 2001.

J.M. Farley,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, San Diego.

[FR Doc. 01–5600 Filed 3–7–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–15–U**

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans

CFR Correction

In Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 52 (§ 52.1019 to end), revised as of July 1, 2000, on page 460, § 52.2026 is corrected by removing the introductory sentence, and removing and reserving paragraphs (a) and (b).

[FR Doc. 01–55506 Filed 3–7–01; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 1505–01–D$

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Care Financing Administration

42 CFR Part 422

Medicare + Choice Program

CFR Correction

In Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations, parts 400 to 429, revised as of Oct. 1, 2000, in part 422, on page 777, § 422.156 is corrected by correctly revising paragraph (e)(1) to read as follows:

§ 422.156 Compliance deemed on the basis of accreditation.

(e) * * * * *

(1) HCFA determines, on the basis of its own investigation, that the M+C organization does not meet the Medicare requirements for which deemed status was granted.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. C1–55504 Filed 3–7–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 1505–01–D

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 01-530, MM Docket No. 00-241, RM-9968]

Digital Television Broadcast Service; Hastings, NE

AGENCY: Federal Communications

Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission, at the request of the Nebraska Educational Telecommunications Commission. licensee of noncommercial educational station KHNE(TV), substitutes DTV channel *28 for DTV channel *14 at Hastings, Nebraska. See 65 FR 76206, December 6, 2000. DTV channel *28 can be allotted to Hastings in compliance with the principle community coverage requirements of Section 73.625(a) at reference coordinates (40-46-20 N. and 98-05-21 W.) with a power of 200, HAAT of 366 meters and with a DTV service population of 221 thousand. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.

DATES: Effective April 20, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–1600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 00–241, adopted March 5, 2001, and released March 6, 2001. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television, Digital television broadcasting.

Part 73 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, 336.

§73.622 [Amended]

2. Section 73.622(b), the Table of Digital Television Allotments under Nebraska, is amended by removing DTV channel *14 and adding DTV channel *28 at Hastings.

Federal Communications Commission.

Barbara A. Kreisman,

Chief, Video Services Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 01–5729 Filed 3–7–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 01-531, MM Docket No. 00-243, RM-9981]

Digital Television Broadcast Service; Orono, ME

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission, at the request of Maine Public Broadcasting Corporation, licensee of noncommercial educational station WMEB-TV, substitutes DTV channel *9 for DTV channel *22 at Orono, Maine. See 65 FR 76207, December 6, 2000. DTV channel *9 can be allotted to Orono in compliance with the principle community coverage requirements of Section 73.625(a) at reference coordinates (44-42-13 N. and 69-04-47 W.) with a power of 15.0, HAAT of 375 meters and with a DTV service population of 448 thousand. Since Orono is located within 400 kilometers of the U.S.-Canadian border, concurrence by the Canadian government has been obtained for this allotment. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.

DATES: Effective April 20, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–1600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 00–243, adopted March 5, 2001, and released March 6, 2001. The full text of this

Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television, Digital television broadcasting.

Part 73 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, 336.

§73.622 [Amended]

2. Section 73.622(b), the Table of Digital Television Allotments under Maine, is amended by removing DTV channel *22 and adding DTV channel *9 at Orono.

Federal Communications Commission.

Barbara A. Kreisman,

Chief, Video Services Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 01–5728 Filed 3–7–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 01-529, MM Docket No. 00-242, RM-9998]

Digital Television Broadcast Service; Weston, WV

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission, at the request of Withers Broadcasting Company of West Virginia, licensee of station WDTV(TV), substitutes DTV channel 6 for DTV channel 58 at Weston, West Virginia. See 65 FR 7206, December 12, 2000. DTV channel 6 can be allotted to Weston in compliance with the principle community coverage requirements of section 73.625(a) at reference coordinates (39-04-29 N. and 80-25-28 W.) with a power of 10.0, HAAT of 253 meters and with a DTV service population of 501 thousand. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.

DATES: Effective April 20, 2001.