amounts for the period January 1, 2000 through October 31, 2000 pursuant to Article VI of WIC's Stipulation and Agreement as approved in the Commission's September 27, 2000 Order.

WIC states that the copies of WIC's filing are being mailed to all holders of the tariff and to public bodies and that the filing is available for public inspection at WIC's offices in Colorado Springs, Colorado.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before February 23, 2001. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http:// www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http:// www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.

# David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–4509 Filed 2–22–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP01-76-000]

Cove Point LNG Limited Partnership; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Cove Point LNG Project, Request for Comments on Environmental Issues, and Notice of Public Meeting and Site Visit

February 16, 2001.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the Cove Point LNG Project involving the reactivation of offshore facilities, and the construction and operation of onshore facilities by Cove Point LNG Limited Partnership (Cove Point LNG) in Calvert County, Maryland.¹ The proposal anticipates the resumption of liquefied natural gas (LNG) deliveries by tanker ship to the Cove Point LNG import terminal starting in April 2002. This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether the project is in the public convenience and necessity.

#### **Summary of the Proposed Project**

Cove Point LNG proposes to provide open access LNG tanker unloading services of up to 750,000 dekatherms per day for three customers importing LNG. Cove Point LNG seeks authorization to reactivate, repair or replace the following existing facilities:

- refurbish five LNG unloading arms, and replace five LNG unloading arms with powered emergency release couplings;
- refurbish or replace offshore instrumentation and control systems;
- remove offshore LNG booster pumps and elevated pulpits from piers;
- refurbish and upgrade offshore fire water systems;
- renovate offshore offices and relocate control room to upper level;
- refurbish and upgrade hazard detection, fire protection, and electrical systems;
- refurbish the third gas-turbine electrical generator;
- replace the ten LNG vaporizers within the existing concrete tanks;
- refurbish the third first-stage LNG pump and four cold vapor blowers;
- restage the ten second-stage LNG sendout pumps;
- replace glycol-water heaters for the boiloff gas and fuel gas heater system;
- install nitrogen fire suppressant systems on all LNG storage tank relief vales; and
- decommission the existing 15 million cubic feet per day liquefaction system upon resumption of LNG imports.

Cove Point LNG also seeks authorization to construct and operate the following new facilities at its existing site:

- 850,000-barrel (2.8 billion cubic feet of gas equivalent) double-wall LNG storage tank with spill containment dike:
- 485,000 standard cubic feet per hour nitrogen separation plant to be used for Btu reduction of sendout gas;
- meter station on the 36-inchdiameter transmission pipeline; and
- addition to the administration building.

The location of the project facilities is shown in appendix 1.<sup>2</sup>

In a related application filed under Docket No. CP01–77–000, Cove Point LNG seeks authorization to construct, site and modify the import terminal facilities at the terminal under Section 3(a) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 153 of the Commission's regulations.

Enhancements are also planned for the existing 87-mile-long Cove Point Pipeline, from Calvert County, Maryland to Loudon County, Virginia, pursuant to the Commission's blanket certificate authority and prior notice requirements in Part 157 Subpart F. Cove Point LNG plans to install pig<sup>3</sup> launchers and receivers on the Cove Point Pipeline; smart pig the entire pipeline; replace sections as needed; and uprate the pipeline pressure to 1,250 pounds per square inch under its blanket certificate authority. Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) plans to install: hot taps on the Cove Point Pipeline and Transco's B and C Lines; a meter and regulating station; and 2 miles of 36inch-diameter pipeline under prior notice procedures.

#### **Land Requirements for Construction**

The Cove Point LNG terminal property consists of 1,017 acres on the western shore of the Chesapeake Bay, of which 108 acres are developed for onshore terminal operations. The proposed new onshore facilities would occupy about 6.0 acres within the developed site. Contractor staging and storage would temporarily affect an additional 18.2 acres of land in areas that were used during the initial construction of the facility.

# The EA Process

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us <sup>4</sup> to discover and address concerns the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Cove Point LNG's application was filed with the Commission under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The appendices referenced in this notice are not being printed in the **Federal Register**. Copies are available on the Commission's website at the "RIMS" link or from the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, or call (202) 208–1371. For instructions on connecting to RIMS refer to the last page of this notice. Copies of the appendices were sent to all those receiving this notice in the mail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A pipeline pig is a device used to internally clean or inspect the pipeline. A pig launcher/ receiver is a surface facility where pigs are inserted or retrieved from the pipeline.

<sup>4 &</sup>quot;We", "us", and "our" refer to the environmental staff of the Office of Energy Projects (OEP)

public may have about proposals. We call this "scoping". The main goal of the scoping process is to focus the analysis in the EA on the important environmental issues. By this Notice of Intent, the Commission requests public comments on the scope of the issues it will address in the EA. All comments received are considered during the preparation of the EA. State and local government representatives are encouraged to notify their constituents of this proposed action and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

The EA will discuss impacts that could occur as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed project under these general headings:

- geology and soils
- water resources, fisheries, and wetlands
  - land use
  - cultural resources
  - · vegetation and wildlife
  - endangered and threatened species
  - air quality and noise
  - public safety

We will also evaluate possible alternatives to the proposed project or portions of the project, and make recommendations on how to lessen or avoid impacts on the various resource areas.

Our independent analysis of the issues will be presented in the EA. Depending on the comments received during the scoping process, the EA may be published and mailed to Federal, state, and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, affected landowners, newspapers, libraries, and the Commission's official service list for this proceeding. A comment period will be allotted for review if the EA is published. We will consider all comments on the EA before we make our recommendations to the Commission.

To ensure your comments are considered, please carefully follow the instructions in the public participation section on page 5.

# Currently Identified Environmental Issues

We have already identified several environmental issues that we think deserve attention based on a preliminary review of the proposed facilities and the environmental information provided by Cove Point LNG. This preliminary list of issues may be changed based on your comments and our analysis.

• Up to 90 LNG tankers per year would transit the Chesapeake Bay to the terminal by the year 2004.

- A safety exclusion zone, to be established around the offshore pier whenever an LNG tanker is moored, would restrict use around the pier by recreational and commercial fisherman.
- Environmental and technical issues associated with the construction and operation of a new 850,000 barrel LNG storage tank on the site.

## **Public Participation**

You can make a difference by providing us with your specific comments or concerns about the project. By becoming a commentor, your concerns will be addressed in the EA and considered by the Commission. You should focus on the potential environmental effects of the proposal, alternatives to the proposal, and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impact. The more specific your comments, the more useful they will be. Please carefully follow these instructions to ensure that your comments are received in time and properly recorded:

- Send an original and two copies of your letter to:
- David P. Boergers, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St., N.E., Room 1A, Washington, DC 20426.
- Label one copy of the comments for the attention of Gas 1, PJ 11.1.
- Reference Docket No. CP01–76–000.
- Mail your comments so that they will be received in Washington, DC on or before March 23, 2001.

comments may also be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm under the link to the User's Guide. Before you can file comments, you will need to create an account by clicking on "Login to File" and then "New User Account."

## **Public Scoping Meeting and Site Visit**

In addition to asking for written comments, we invite you to attend a public scoping meeting that we will conduct in the project area. The purpose of the scoping meeting is to provide state and local agencies, interested groups, affected landowners, and the general public with an opportunity to learn more about the project and another chance to present us with environmental issues or concerns they believe should be addressed in the EA. A transcript of the meeting will be made so that your comments will be accurately recorded. The location and time is listed below: Thursday, March

15, 2001, 7:00 pm, Holiday Inn, Solomons, MD.

Earlier in the day on March 15, 2001, we will also be conducting a site visit of the Cove Point LNG terminal. Anyone interested in participating in the site visit may contact the FERC's Office of External Affairs identified at the end of this notice for more details. The purpose of the scoping meeting and site visit is limited to environmental issues only. Technical meetings associated with the engineering and cyrogenic design of the facility will be conducted at a later date. Tariff issues and natural gas quality concerns will not be entertained at the scoping meeting and site visit.

#### **Becoming an Intervenor**

In addition to involvement in the EA scoping process, you may want to become an official party to the proceeding known as an "intervenor". Intervenors play a more formal role in the process. Among other things, intervenors have the right to receive copies of case-related Commission documents and filings by other intervenors. Likewise, each intervenor must provide 14 copies of its filings to the Secretary of the Commission and must send a copy of its filings to all other parties on the Commission's service list for this proceeding. If you want to become an intervenor you must file a motion to intervene according to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) (see appendix 2). Only intervenors have the right to seek rehearing of the Commission's decision.

Affected landowners and parties with environmental concerns may be granted intervenor status upon showing good cause by stating that they have a clear and direct interest in this proceeding which would not be adequately represented by any other parties. You do not need intervenor status to have your environmental comments considered.

Additional information about the proposed project is available from the Commission's Office of External Affairs at (202) 208–1088 or on the FERC website (www.ferc.fed.us) using the "RIMS" link to information in this docket number. Click on the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" from the RIMS Menu, and follow the instructions. For assistance with access to RIMS, the RIMS helpline can be reached at (202) 208–2222.

Similarly, the "CIPS" link on the FERC Internet website provides access to the texts of formal documents issued by the Commission, such as orders, notices, and rulemakings. From the FERC Internet website, click on the "CIPS" link, select "Docket #" from the CIPS menu, and follow the instructions. For assistance with access to CIPS, the helpline can be reached at (202) 208–2474

## David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–4510 Filed 2–22–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

(ER-FRL-6615-8)

# Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared January 29, 2001 Through February 02, 2001 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

#### Draft EISs

#### ERP No. D-AFS-L61224-00

Rating LO, Lemhi Pass National Historic Landmark Management Plan, Implementation, Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, Beaverhead County, MT and Salmon-Challis National Forest, Lemhi County, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of objections.

# ERP No. D-AFS-L65369-OR

Rating EC2, Mill Creek Timber Sales and Related Activities, To Implement Ecosystem Management Activities, Prospect Ranger District, Rogue River National Forest, Jackson County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns with potential adverse impacts from roads to water quality and habitat quality, including erosion, mass wasting, fragmentation and the increase of non-native species.

## ERP No. D-FHW-D40311-PA

Rating EC2, US Route 15 Improvement Project, from PA-6015, Section G-20 and G-22 Tioga County, Pennsylvania and PIN 6008.22.123 Steuben County, New York, (US Route 15 between PA Route 287 and Presho, New York, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Tioga County, PA and Steuben County, NY. Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding impacts to terrestrial habitat, streams, wetlands, and residences. EPA requested additional information on minimizing and mitigating potential impacts.

#### Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-G65074-TX

Texas Blowdown Reforestation Project, Implementation, National Forests and Grasslands in Texas, Angeline and Sabine National Forests, San Augustine and Shelby Counties, TX.

Summary: EPA had no additional comments.

## ERP No. F-AFS-K65226-00

Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment Project, Implementation, several counties, CA and NV.

Summary: The FEIS only partially addressed our objections regarding the road transportation system and protection to roadless areas. EPA offered qualified support for Modified Alternative B as stated in the FEIS and providing it receives full funding for implementation.

#### ERP No. F-BIA-L65352-WA

Colville Indian Reservation Integrated Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Colville Indian Reservation, Okanogan and Ferry Counties, WA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

# ERP No. F-FHW-F40378-MN

TH-23 Reconstruction, MN-TH-22 in Richmond extending through the Cities of Richmond, Cold Spring and Rockville to I-94, Funding, Stearns County, MN.

Summary: EPA continues to express concern that the action does not provide for a sufficient wetland mitigation plan or a sufficient mitigation plan for lost tree/upland habitat.

## ERP No. F-NPS-E61075-FL

Dry Tortugas National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Monroe County, FL.

Summary: EPA continues to express concerns with implementing the management plan including ecosystem monitoring, the level of mitigation for existing and potential future impacts and enforcement of regulations and visitor use.

# ERP No. F-NPS-K60030-CA

Legislative EIS—Timbisha Shoshone Tribal Homeland, To Establish a Permanent Tribal Land Base and Related Cooperative Activities, The Transfer of Federal Land and Acquisition of Private Land, Death Valley National Park, Saline Valley, CA and Lida Ranch near Lida, NV.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: February 20, 2001.

#### Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01–4528 Filed 2–22–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6615-7]

# **Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability**

#### Responsible Agency

Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed February 12, 2001 Through February 16, 2001 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 010046, DRAFT EIS, BLM, CA,
Northern and Eastern Colorado Desert
Plan (Plan), Implementation,
Comprehensive Framework for
Managing Species and Habitats
(BLM), Joshua Tree National Park
(JTNP) and Chocolate Mountains
Aerial Gunnery Range, California
Desert, Riverside, Imperial and San
Bernardino Counties, CA, Comment
Period Ends: May 26, 2001, Contact:
Dick Crowe (909) 697–5216.

EIS No. 010047, DŘAFT EIS, BLM, UT, CO, NM, Williams, Questar, Kern River Pipeline Project, To Approve a Petroleum Products Pipeline, and one or two Natural Gas Pipelines and To Amend Forest Plan, UT, NM and CO, Comment Period Ends: April 16, 2001, Contact: LaVerne Steah (801) 539–4114.

EIS No. 010048, DRAFT EIS, FHW, AR, Southeast Arkansas I–69 Connector, Transportation Improvement, Funding, Drew, Lincoln, Cleveland and Jefferson Counties, AR, Comment Period Ends: April 23, 2001, Contact: Amy H. Heflin (501) 324–6435.

EIS No. 010049, FINAL EIS, DOE, CA, National Ignition Facility Project Specific Analysis, Construction and Operation at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, Wait Period Ends: March 26, 2001, Contact: Richard Scott (925) 423– 3022.

EIS No. 010050, DRAFT EIS, NPS, CA, Santa Cruz Island Primary Restoration Plan, Implementation, Channel Islando National Park, Santa Cruz