By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–3705 Filed 2–15–00; 8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731-TA-278-280 (Review) and 731-TA-347-348 (Reveiw)]

Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings From Brazil, Japan, Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand ¹

Determinations

On the basis of the record 2 developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Brazil, Taiwan, and Thailand would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. 3 The Commission further determines that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Japan and Korea would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. 4

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on January 4, 1999 (64 FR 369) and determined on April 8, 1999, that it would conduct full reviews (64 FR 19196, April 19, 1999). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on June 16, 1999 (64

FR 32255). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on December 2, 1999, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on February 8, 2000. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3274 (February 2000), entitled Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings from Brazil, Japan, Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand: Investigations Nos. 731–TA–278–280 (Review) and 731–TA–347–348 (Review).

Issued: February 8, 2000. By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–3711 Filed 2–15–00; 8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-571 (Review)]

Professional Electric Cutting Tools From Japan

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determination to conduct a full five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on professional electric cutting tools from Japan.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on professional electric cutting tools from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(5)(B); a schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 3, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Carpenter (202–205–3172), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 3, 2000, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (64 FR 59206, November 2, 1999) was adequate but that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate.¹ The Commission also found that other circumstances warranted conducting a full review.²

A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: February 9, 2000. By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–3707 Filed 2–15–00; 8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731-TA-470-472 and 671-673 (Review)]

Silicon Metal From Argentina, Brazil, and China and Silicomanganese From Brazil, China, and Ukraine

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determinations to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on silicon metal from Argentina, Brazil, and China; the antidumping duty orders on

¹ The investigation numbers are as follows: Brazil is 731–TA–278 (Review), Japan is 731–TA–347 (Review), Korea is 731–TA–279 (Review), Taiwan is 731–TA–280 (Review), and Thailand is 731–TA–348 (Review).

² The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

³ Chairman Lynn M. Bragg dissenting with respect to Brazil and Taiwan, Commissioner Stephen Koplan dissenting with respect to Taiwan, and Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun not participating.

⁴Commissioner Thelma J. Askey dissenting and Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun not participating.

¹Commissioner Askey did not make a determination as to whether the respondent interested party group response was adequate in this review.

 $^{^{2}\,\}mathrm{Chairman}$ Bragg and Commissioner Koplan dissenting.

silicomanganese from Brazil and China; and the suspended investigation on silicomanganese from Ukraine.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. $\S 1675(c)(5)$) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on silicon metal from Argentina, Brazil, and China and the antidumping duty orders on silicomanganese from Brazil and China; and termination of the suspended investigation on silicomanganese from Ukraine would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(5)(B); a schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 3, 2000. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

George Deyman (202-205-3197), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 3, 2000, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission, in consultation with the Department of Commerce, grouped these reviews because they involve similar domestic like products. See 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(5)(D); 63 F.R. 29372, 29374 (May 29, 1998). With regard to silicon metal from Argentina and Brazil and silicomanganese from Brazil and Ukraine, the Commission found that both the domestic interested party group responses and the respondent interested party group responses to its notice of

institution ¹ were adequate and voted to conduct full reviews. With regard to both silicon metal and silicomanganese from China, the Commission found that the domestic interested party group responses were adequate and the respondent interested party group responses were inadequate. The Commission also found that other circumstances warranted conducting full reviews.

A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: February 9, 2000. By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–3706 Filed 2–15–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-464 (Review)]

Sparklers From China

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of a full five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on sparklers from China.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on sparklers from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207). Recent amendments to the Rules of Practice and Procedure pertinent to five-year reviews, including the text of subpart F of part 207, are published at 63 FR 30599, June 5, 1998, and may be

downloaded from the Commission's World Wide Web site at http://www.usitc.gov/rules.htm.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 8, 2000. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Ruggles (202-205-3187), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 1, 1999, the Commission determined that responses to its notice of institution of the subject five-year review were such that a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act should proceed (64 FR 55960, October 15, 1999). A record of the Commissioners' votes and the Commission's statement on adequacy are available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

Participation in the Review and Public Service List

Persons, including industrial users of the subject merchandise and, if the merchandise is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations, wishing to participate in this review as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in section 201.11 of the Commission's rules, by 45 days after publication of this notice. A party that filed a notice of appearance following publication of the Commission's notice of institution of the review need not file an additional notice of appearance. The Secretary will maintain a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the review.

Limited Disclosure of Business Proprietary Information (BPI) Under an Administrative Protective Order (APO) and BPI Service List

Pursuant to section 207.7(a) of the Commission's rules, the Secretary will make BPI gathered in this review available to authorized applicants under the APO issued in the review, provided that the application is made by 45 days

¹ The notice of institution for all of the subject reviews was published in the **Federal Register** on November 2, 1999 (64 FR 59209, November 2, 1999).