

Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Period

- Brazil: Brass Sheet and Strip, A-351-603—1/1/99-12/31/99
 Brazil: Stainless Steel Wire Rod, A-351-819—1/1/99-12/31/99
 Canada: Brass Sheet and Strip, A-122-601—1/1/99-12/31/99
 Canada: Color Picture Tubes, A-122-605—1/1/99-12/31/99
 France: Anhydrous Sodium Metasilicate (ASM), A-427-098—1/1/99-12/31/99
 France: Stainless Steel Wire Rods, A-427-811—1/1/99-12/31/99
 Japan: Color Picture Tubes, A-588-609—1/1/99-12/31/99
 Singapore: Color Picture Tubes, A-559-601—1/1/99-12/31/99
 South Africa: Brazing Copper Wire and Rod, A-791-502—1/1/99-12/31/99
 Spain: Potassium Permanganate, A-469-007—1/1/99-12/31/99
 Taiwan: Stainless Steel Cooking Ware, A-583-603—1/1/99-12/31/99
 The People's Republic of China: Potassium Permanganate, A-570-001—1/1/99-12/31/99
 The Republic of Korea: Brass Sheet and Strip, A-580-603—1/1/99-12/31/99

Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Period

- The Republic of Korea: Color Picture Tubes, A-580-605—1/1/99-12/31/99
 The Republic of Korea: Stainless Steel Cooking Ware, A-580-601—1/1/99-12/31/99

Countervailing Duty Proceedings and Period

- Brazil: Brass Sheet and Strip, C-351-604—1/1/99-12/31/99
 Spain: Stainless Steel Wire Rod, C-469-004—1/1/99-12/31/99
 Taiwan: Stainless Steel Cooling Ware, C-583-604—1/1/99-12/31/99
 The Republic of Korea: Stainless Steel Cooking Ware, C-580-602—1/1/99-12/31/99

Suspension Agreements and Period

- Canada: Potassium Chloride, A-122-701—1/1/99-12/31/99
 Japan: Sodium Azide, A-588-839—1/1/99-12/31/99

In accordance with section 351.213 of the regulations, an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. The Department changed its requirements for requesting reviews for countervailing duty orders. Pursuant to 771(9) of the Act, an interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by the order or suspension agreement for which they are requesting a review (Department of Commerce

Regulations, 62 FR 27295, 27424 (May 19, 1997)). Therefore, for both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify for which individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order it is requesting a review, and the requesting party must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Seven copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Enforcement, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3065 of the main Commerce Building. Further, in accordance with section 351.303(f)(1)(i) of the regulations, a copy of each request must be served on every party on the Department's service list.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of January 2000. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of January 2000, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: January 7, 2000.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Group II, AD/CVD Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 99-873 Filed 1-12-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-580-805]

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Industrial Nitrocellulose From Korea

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 26, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the notice of initiation and preliminary results of its changed circumstances administrative review concerning whether Korea CNC Ltd. ("KCNC") is the successor firm to Daesang Corporation ("Daesang") under the order covering industrial nitrocellulose ("INC") from Korea. We have now completed that review. We have determined that KCNC is the successor firm to Daesang.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 13, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Trentham or Thomas Futner, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-6320 or (202) 482-3814, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**The Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreement Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

Background

In a letter dated August 25, 1999, KCNC advised the Department that on April 1, 1999, China Nitrocellulose Co. ("CNC") purchased Daesang's INC business, including Daesang's only manufacturing and research and development ("R&D") facility for subject merchandise ("the Chonju factory").

KCNC stated that CNC transferred Daesang's INC business to KCNC, which CNC had newly established for that purpose. KCNC requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstances administrative review pursuant to section 751(b) of the Act to determine whether KCNC should properly be considered the successor firm to Daesang. KCNC stated that it operates the Chonju factory without change. Production continues with the same equipment, the same workers, the same raw materials purchased from the same suppliers, and the same production process. KCNC stated that it continues to sell the same products to the same customers to which Daesang previously sold. Further, the organizational and management structure of Daesang's INC business has essentially remained intact, except that KCNC has appointed a new president. All management and employees at the plant manager level and below are the same as when the factory was managed by Daesang, while the managing director was formerly employed by Daesang in another capacity. In addition, KCNC provided a copy of the Closing of the Asset Purchase and Sale Agreement. KCNC also submitted a copy of the relevant schedules to the sales agreement between Daesang and CNC, showing the transfer to KCNC of Daesang's INC assets, contracts, customers, and suppliers.

On October 26, 1999, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 57628) the notice of initiation and preliminary results of its changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review of INC from Korea. We have now completed this changed circumstances review in accordance with section 751(b) of the Act.

On November 26, 1999, KCNC submitted comments with regard to the Department's October 26, 1999, preliminary results. KCNC stated that it believes that the Department's preliminary results are correct in all respects. No comments were filed by the petitioner or any other interested party.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of INC from Korea. INC is a dry, white amorphous synthetic chemical with a nitrogen content between 10.8 and 12.2 percent, and is produced from the reaction of cellulose with nitric acid. INC is used as a film-former in coatings, lacquers, furniture finishes, and printing inks. The scope of this order does not include explosive grade nitrocellulose, which has a

nitrogen content of greater than 12.2 percent.

INC is currently classified under Harmonized Tariff System ("HTS") subheading 3912.20.00. While the HTS item number is provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage.

Successorship

In considering questions involving successorship, the Department examines several factors including, but not limited to, changes in (1) management, (2) production facilities, (3) supplier relationships, and (4) customer base. *See, e.g., Brass Sheet and Strip from Canada; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 57 FR 20460 (1992). While no one or several of these factors will necessarily provide a dispositive indication, the Department will generally consider the new company to be the successor to the previous company if its resulting operation is essentially the same as its predecessor. *See, e.g., Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel; Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 59 FR 6944 (February 14, 1994). Thus, if evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same entity as the former company, the Department will treat the successor company the same as the predecessor for antidumping purposes, *e.g.,* assign the same cash deposit rate or, if appropriate, apply any relevant revocation.

We have examined the information provided by KCNC in its August 25, 1999, letter and determined that KCNC is the successor-in-interest to Daesang. The management and organizational structure of the former Daesang have essentially remained intact under KCNC, and there have been no changes in the production facilities, supplier relationships, or customer base. Therefore, we determine that KCNC has maintained essentially the same management, production facilities, supplier relationships, and customer bases as did Daesang.

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

We determine that KCNC is the successor-in-interest to Daesang for antidumping duty cash deposit purposes. KCNC, therefore, will be assigned Daesang's antidumping duty cash deposit rate of 2.10 percent. This deposit requirement will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise

entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date as provided by section 751(a)(2)(c) of the Act. This deposit rate shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This changed circumstances review and notice are in accordance with section 751(b) of the Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)), and 19 CFR 351.216.

Dated: January 7, 2000.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-874 Filed 1-12-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-816]

Certain Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Taiwan; Final Results of Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On May 15, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the 1992-1994 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings (pipe fittings) from Taiwan (A-583-816). This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise during the period December 23, 1992 through May 31, 1994.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based upon our analysis of the comments received we have not changed the results from those presented in our preliminary results of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 13, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert James at (202) 482-5222, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

APPLICABLE STATUTE AND REGULATIONS: Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act) and to the Department's regulations are in reference to the