

Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050, or (202) 482-1560, respectively.

Extension of Preliminary Results

In accordance with section 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department of Commerce ("the Department") may treat a sunset review as extraordinarily complicated if it is a review of a transition order (i.e., an order in effect on January 1, 1995). Because the sunset reviews at issue concern transition orders within the meaning of section 751(c)(6)(C)(i) and (ii) of the Act, the Department has determined that the sunset reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders are extraordinarily complicated:

A-421-805 Aramid Fiber Formed of Poly Para-Phenylene Terephthalamide from the Netherlands

C-475-812 Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel from Italy

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of these reviews until not later than June 19, 2000.

Dated: March 20, 2000.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-7382 Filed 3-24-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

Republic of China ("PRC").¹ Subsequent to the publication of the preliminary results, we identified an inadvertent error in the Preliminary Results of Reviews section of the notice. Therefore, we are correcting and clarifying this inadvertent error.

The error lies in the last sentence of the next-to-last paragraph: "The Department will issue a notice of final results of this sunset review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, no later than June 26, 2000." This sentence should be replaced with: "The Department will issue a notice of final results of these sunset reviews, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, no later than May 26, 2000."

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 27, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Darla D. Brown or Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3207 and (202) 482-1560, respectively.

This correction is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 20, 2000.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-7383 Filed 3-24-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

conclusive court decision in this action, we are amending our final results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 27, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Brinkmann or Jarrod Goldfeder, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4126 or (202) 482-2305, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 19, 1996, the Department published the final results of the third administrative review in Brass Sheet and Strip from the Netherlands (61 FR 1324) (*Brass Final*), covering the period of review (POR) August 1, 1990 through July 31, 1991. On February 12, 1996, the Department received timely allegations from the petitioners and the respondent that the Department had made certain ministerial errors in the *Brass Final* that affected the final dumping margin. Although the Department agreed that certain of the allegations constituted ministerial errors, the Department was unable to issue a determination correcting these errors before the petitioners filed a complaint with the Court challenging the *Brass Final*. Therefore, the Department requested leave from the Court to correct these errors and on August 1, 1996, the Court granted the Department's request. See August 1, 1996 Order, *Hussey Copper, Ltd. v. United States*, Ct. No. 96-02-00578 (CIT dismissed August 7, 1997). Accordingly, on June 19, 1997, the Department published amended final results (62 FR 33395) (*Amended Brass Final*).

In the original *Brass Final*, the U.S. sales database used to calculate the dumping margin included all entries made during the POR, regardless of date of sale. The respondent alleged that in addition to correcting the ministerial errors identified in the Court's August 1, 1996 order, in the *Amended Brass Final* the Department also excluded several purchase price (PP) transactions and one exporter's sales price (ESP) transaction that entered the United States during, but were sold prior to, the POR. Consequently, the respondent claimed that these changes reduced the number of transactions included in the database from 391 to 150 and increased the weighted-average dumping margin to 5.85%. The Department agreed that it should not have omitted these transactions from the U.S. sales database and requested that the Court remand the

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-803, A-570-803]

Bars and Wedges and Hammers and Sledges from the People's Republic of China; Corrected Preliminary Results of Full Sunset Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Correction to Preliminary Results of Full Sunset Reviews: Bars and Wedges and Hammers and Sledges from the People's Republic of China.

SUMMARY: On January 24, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the full sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on bars and wedges and hammers and sledges from the People's

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-421-701]

Brass Sheet and Strip from the Netherlands; Notice of Second Amended Final Results of Administrative Review in Accordance With Final Court Decision

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 29, 1998, the U.S. Court of International Trade (the Court) affirmed the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) remand determination of the final results of the antidumping duty administrative review of brass sheet and strip from the Netherlands. No party has appealed this ruling. As there is now a final and

¹ See *Preliminary Results of Full Sunset Reviews: Bars and Wedges and Hammers and Sledges from the People's Republic of China*, 65 FR 3658 (January 24, 2000).

case in order to reinstate the excluded transactions.

Given that the exclusion of transactions from the U.S. sales database used to calculate the dumping margin was neither requested by the parties pursuant to the first remand request nor authorized by the Court in its first remand order, the Court remanded the *Amended Brass Final*. See *Outokumpu Copper Strip, B.V. v. United States*, 15 F. Supp. 2d 806 (CIT 1998). On remand, the Court instructed the Department to recalculate the dumping margins by including in the U.S. sales database (1) all PP transactions of merchandise sold prior to the POR but entered during the POR, and (2) the ESP transaction omitted from the *Amended Brass Final*, and to issue new amended final results.

On September 29, 1998, the Court affirmed the Department's remand results, finding that the Department had complied with the Court's Remand by correcting the two ministerial errors and recalculating the dumping margin for Outokumpu Copper Rolled Products. See *Outokumpu Copper Strip, B.V. v. United States*, 24 F. Supp. 2d 318 (CIT 1998). The Court dismissed the case, given that all issues had been decided. No appeal has been filed in this case.

Amendment to Final Results of Review

Because there is now a final and conclusive decision in the court proceeding, effective as of the publication date of this notice, we are amending the *Amended Brass Final*, and establishing the following revised weighted-average dumping margin for the period August 1, 1990 through July 31, 1991:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Outokumpu Copper Rolled Products AB (OBV)	2.03

As the assessment rate is the same as the weighted-average dumping margin, the Department will instruct the United States Customs Service to assess the revised antidumping duty on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Dated: March 20, 2000.

Richard Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-7492 Filed 3-24-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-421-804]

Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From the Netherlands; Preliminary Results of Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of Full Sunset Review: Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Netherlands.

SUMMARY: On September 1, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on cold-rolled carbon steel flat products from the Netherlands (64 FR 47767) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and adequate substantive responses filed on behalf of domestic and respondent interested parties, the Department determined to conduct a full review. As a result of this review, the Department preliminarily finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the Preliminary Results of Review section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 27, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathryn B. McCormick or Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1930 or (202) 482-1560, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Act are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty*

Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("*Sunset Policy Bulletin*").

Background

On September 1, 1999, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on cold-rolled carbon steel flat products from the Netherlands (64 FR 47767), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. The Department received a notice of intent to participate on behalf of the Bethlehem Steel Corporation, U.S. Steel Group, a unit of USX Corporation, Ispat Inland, Inc., LTV Steel Company, Inc., and National Steel Company (collectively, "domestic interested parties"), within the applicable deadline (September 15, 1999) specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the *Sunset Regulations*. On October 1, 1999, Hoogovens Stal BV ("HSBV") and Hoogovens Steel USA, Inc. ("HS-USA") (together, "Hoogovens") notified the Department that it intended to participate in this review as a respondent interested party. Domestic interested parties claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as U.S. producers of a domestic like product; Hoogovens is an interested party pursuant to section 771(9)(A) of the Act, as a foreign producer and exporter of subject merchandise.

On September 24, 1999, we received a request for an extension to file rebuttal comments from domestic interested parties.¹ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.302(b), the Department extended the deadline for all participants eligible to file rebuttal comments until October 15, 1999.² On October 1, 1999, we received a timely and complete substantive response from domestic interested parties, within the 30-day deadline specified in the *Sunset Regulations* under section 351.218(d)(3)(i), as well as from Hoogovens. On October 15, 1999, we received rebuttal comments from domestic interested parties and Hoogovens. On October 20, 1999, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218 (e)(1)(ii)(A), the Department determined to conduct a full (240-day) sunset review of this order.³

¹ See September 24, 1999, Request for an Extension to File Rebuttal Comments in the Sunset Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders: A-602-803; A-351-817; C-351-818, A-122-822, A-122-823, A-405-802, A-588-826, A-421-804, A-455-802, A-485-803, C-401-401, C-401-804, C-401-805, from Valerie S. Schindler, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, to Jeffrey A. May, Office of Policy.

² See September 30, 1999, Letter from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy to Valerie S. Schindler, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP.

³ See October 20, 1999, Memorandum for Jeffrey A. May, Re: Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat