filed with the Commission at the following address: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, David P. Boergers, Secretary, 888 First St. NE, Washington, DC 20426. All comments must include the project name and number, and bear the heading "Preliminary Comments," 'Preliminary Recommendations," "Preliminary Terms and Conditions," or "Preliminary Prescriptions." Any party interested in commenting must do so before May 5, 2000.

j. Locations of the application: A copy of the application is available for inspection and reproduction at the Commission's Public Reference Room, located at 888 First Street, N.E., Room 2A, Washington, DC 20426, or by calling (202) 208–0371. The application may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rimes.htm. (Call (202) 208–2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–6180 Filed 3–13–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6548-3]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Evaluation of PrintSTEP

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit the following proposed Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB): Evaluation of PrintSTEP, EPA ICR Number: 1941.01.

Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before May 15, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons may obtain a copy of the draft ICR by request from the Office of Compliance, by contacting Amy Porter at the contact information provided below. Details of the PrintSTEP evaluation is available on the Internet at http://www.epa.gov/ooaujeag/sectors/pdf/pgm__eval.pdf

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy Porter, 2221A, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington DC, 20460. Phone: (202) 564–2431, Fax: (202) 564– 0027, E-mail: porter.amy@epa.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are those which volunteer to participate in the PrintSTEP pilots including State officials in Missouri, New Hampshire, and Minnesota and printers in those 3 states who participate in the pilots or the evaluation control groups, and members of the communities where participating printers are located.

Title: Proposed Information Collection Request for the Evaluation of PrintSTEP.

Abstract: Information will be collected for evaluation of the PrintSTEP pilot program. The evaluation aims to systematically identify the impacts the program has had on three types of stakeholders: printers, community residents, and the state government agencies administering the program. Specifically, the evaluation will determine the extent to which the 7 goals of the pilot program are met. The goals are: enhanced environmental protection; increased use of pollution prevention practices; simplified regulatory process for printers; improved efficiency of administration for state governments; enhanced public involvement; participants' realize benefits and are motivated to participate in PrintSTEP; and, cost effectiveness for all stakeholders.

This broad set of expected outcomes will require a range of distinct data collection and analysis activities. Data will be gathered from printer's program applications, from telephone interviews, from in-person interviews and possibly from focus groups. Data will be collected before implementation, a short time after program implementation, and at the end of the pilot. Responses to the collection of information are voluntary. Names of persons providing information will be not recorded. More information is available in the final draft of the Evaluation Strategy which can be accessed at http://www.epa.gov/ ooaujeag/sectors/pdf/pgm eval.pdf

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

- (i) evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (ii) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (iii) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (iv) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement

Estimated Recordkeeping and Reporting Hour Burden on Respondents

The PrintSTEP evaluation includes a telephone interview with three types of respondents: (1) Printers who are voluntarily participating in the PrintSTEP program; (2) a comparison group of printers who are not participating in PrintSTEP; and (3) community members who have participated in the public involvement component of PrintSTEP. For both types of printers, written data will be collected on their costs associated with PrintSTEP and/or regulatory activities. For the comparison group of printers, additional written data will be collected on environmental releases. The written information requested is expected to take one hour for the printers participating in PrintSTEP and 2.75 hours for the comparison group printers. Comparison group printers will be asked to submit information on their environmental releases which the pilot participants provide in their PrintSTEP applications. It is anticipated that a total of 320 printers will be interviewed three times during the course of the evaluation and that they will be either an environmental professional, or a manager. It is expected that one interview will be conducted with each of 50 community members.

The telephone portion of the survey for printers is expected to take 15 minutes to complete. The telephone interview with community members is expected to take 15 minutes. The estimates of respondent burden are shown in the table below.

Respondent type	Estimated number of respondents ¹	Time to respond to telephone survey (hrs) ²	Time to complete written response (hrs) ²	Total respond- ent burden (hrs)	Estimated avg. hourly wage of respondent during the survey (\$/hr) ³	Total respondent burden in monetary terms (\$)
Wave 1:						
PrintSTEP printer	160	0.25	1.00	200	25.00	5,000
Comparison printer	160	0.25	2.75	480	25.00	12,000
Wave 2:						
PrintSTEP printer	160	0.25	1.00	200	25.00	5,000
Comparison printer	160	0.25	2.75	480	25.00	12,000
Wave 3:						
PrintSTEP printer	160	0.25	1.00	200	25.00	5,000
Comparison printer	160	0.25	2.75	480	25.00	12,000
Community member	50	0.25	0.00	13	0.00	0
Total for all 3 waves				2053		51,000

¹Based on estimated number of participants provided by pilot states with the breakdown as follows: 60, 60, and 40 participants expected in MO, NH, and MN, respectively.

² Based on preliminary testing of the survey instruments by Abt Associates.

Estimated Recordkeeping and Reporting Cost Burden on Respondents

The PrintSTEP evaluation utilizes telephone interviews and written data collection forms to collect all the data necessary from the respondent. The only cost to the respondents resulting from this survey is their time, which is covered in the section above. There are no other costs to the respondents and this section, therefore, is not applicable.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: February 24, 2000.

Michael M. Stahl,

Director, Office of Compliance.
[FR Doc. 00–5627 Filed 3–13–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6561-2]

Danmark Superfund Site; Notice of Proposed Settlement

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice; request for public

comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement for recovery of past response costs concerning the Danmark Site in Tampa, Hillsborough County, Florida. The settlement requires the 59 settling parties to pay \$516,374.57 to the Hazardous Substance Superfund. The settlement includes a covenant not to sue the settling parties pursuant to section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), for Past Response Costs. EPA will consider public comments on the proposed settlement for thirty (30) days. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. Copies of the settlement are available from: Ms. Paula V. Batchelor, U.S. EPA Region 4, Waste Management Division, 61 Forysth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, 404/562-

Written comments may be submitted to Ms. Batchelor on or before April 13, 2000.

Dated: March 2, 2000. Franklin E. Hill,

Chief, CERCLA Program Services Branch Waste Management Division.

[FR Doc. 00-6347 Filed 3-13-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. AUC-99-28-B (Auction No. 28); DA 99-2958]

Notice and Filing Requirements for Auction of Certain AM, FM, LPTV, and TV Broadcast Construction Permits Scheduled for March 21, 2000; Minimum Opening Bids and Other Procedural Issues

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document announces the auction, procedures, reserve prices and minimum opening bids for the upcoming supplemental Closed Broadcast Auction (Auction No. 28) scheduled to commence on March 21, 2000.

DATES: Auction No. 28 is scheduled for March 21, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jose Ochoa, Auctions and Industry Analysis Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, at (202) 418–0660.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of a Public Notice released December 23, 1999. The complete text of the public notice, including Attachments A through H, is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room CY–A257), 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC. It may

³ Based on Screenprinting and Graphic Imaging Association International's 1999 Wage Survey.