I. Abstract

To make it easier for people to be counted in the Census 2000, the Census Bureau will provide several ways for households to respond. In addition to receiving responses through mailed questionnaires and enumerator operations, the Census Bureau will enable the public to initiate responses on forms available in public places, by telephone, or via the Internet.

While multiple methods of responding increases the opportunities for people to be counted, these methods also increase the likelihood of receiving multiple responses for some housing units. The Census Bureau has devised an automated process to manage this situation, called multiple response resolution.

To evaluate this process, we will conduct a survey of those housing units which were affected by the multiple response resolution process. We will conduct this survey shortly after Census 2000 and ask questions about the residency status of the persons that were listed on the multiple responses for that housing unit on Census Day (April 1, 2000). All information collected will be pertinent to verifying the accuracy of the multiple response resolution process.

The sample will consist of approximately 7,500 housing units with the household being contacted via a personal visit in late October through early November, 2000. We will use the data collected to determine how well the multiple response resolution process functioned.

II. Method of Collection

Specially trained interviewers will administer the survey using a paper questionnaire designed for this evaluation.

III. Data

OMB Number: Forthcoming.
Form Number: Forthcoming.
Type of Review: Regular Submission.
Affected Public: Those persons who
reside at a housing unit for which the
Bureau received more than one
response.

Ëstimated Number of Respondents: 7,500.

Estimated Time Per Response: 12 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,500.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: There is no cost to the respondent other than the time taken to complete the survey.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary. Legal Authority: Title XIII United States Code, Section 141 and 193.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 24, 2000.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–4872 Filed 2–29–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 5-99]

Foreign-Trade Zone 22—Chicago, IL; Application for Subzone Status; Amendment of Application—Clark Refining & Marketing, Inc. (Oil Refining Complex)

Notice is hereby given that the application of the Illinois International Port District, grantee of FTZ 22, requesting authority for special-purpose subzone status for the oil refinery complex of Clark Refining & Marketing, Inc., in Cook County, Illinois (64 FR 6877, 2/11/99), has been amended to add a contiguous parcel (6 acres, 9 tanks, 46,000 barrel capacity) to proposed Site 2, the crude oil tank farm located at 131st and Homan. The additional parcel will be used for final product storage.

The application remains otherwise unchanged.

The comment period is reopened until March 31, 2000.

Dated: February 22, 2000.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.
[FR Doc. 00–4919 Filed 2–29–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

National Institutes of Health; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 am and 5:00 pm in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 99–028. Applicant:
National Institutes of Health, Bethesda,
MD 20892–4094. Instrument: Robot and
Microplate Filler with accessories,
Models Q–BOT and Q-Fill.
Manufacturer: GENETIX, United
Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 64
FR 70213, December 16, 1999.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides a unique multi-tasking robot for selecting recombinant DNA inserts from neural tissue with: (1) a pneumatic picking head for sampling 3500 colonies per hour, (2) replication of plates (96 or 384 wells) to distribute clones to others, (3) ability to create high density arrays of bacteria on nylon filters and (4) a rearraying package. A university-based genomic research project advised February 8, 2000 that (1) these capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 00–4917 Filed 2–29–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Department of Energy; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational,

Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89– 651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 am and 5:00 pm in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 99–033. Applicant: U.S. Department of Energy, Ames, IA 50011–3020. Instrument: UHV Surface Analysis System, Model Multiprobe S. Manufacturer: Omicron Vakuum Physik GmbH, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 65 FR 284, January 4, 2000.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides an ultra-high vacuum chamber with compatible scanning tunneling, scanning electron and scanning Auger microscopes with the following: (1) An operating temperature of 50 to 1500 degrees K, (2) adequate internal and external vibration isolation and (3) a sample manipulator that can be heated or cooled. A university center for advanced microstructural devices and the National Institute of Standards and Technology advise that (1) these capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) they know of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use (comparable case).

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 00–4918 Filed 2–29–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 022500A]

Atlantic Tunas Vessel Permits; Proposed Information Collection; Request for Comments

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before May 1, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5027, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230 (or via Internet at LEngelme@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Christopher Rogers, Highly Migratory Species Management Division (F/SF1), Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910; 301–713–2347.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

Under the provisions of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.), NOAA is responsible for management of the nation's marine fisheries. In addition, NOAA must comply with the United States' obligations under the Atlantic Tunas Convention Act of 1975 (16 U.S.C. 971 et seq.) and the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act as amended (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.). The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) collects information via landings reports, logbooks and surveys to monitor the U.S. catch of Atlantic tunas in relation to applicable quotas and to provide catch and effort information for stock assessment, thereby ensuring that the United States complies with its international obligations to the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas. Permit applications allow NMFS to determine who will be subject to reporting requirements and provides the information needed to send outreach materials and information on the domestic and international regulations to affected fishermen. For example, NMFS periodically distributes compliance guides on size limits, area closures, fishing seasons, and regional quotas for the Atlantic tunas fisheries.

The information obtained through permitting provides NMFS with telephone and mailing lists to obtain data necessary to assess the status of the Atlantic tuna resources and regulatory impacts on the commercial and recreational fishing sectors. Additionally, permit lists provide essential information for domestic management policy and rulemaking. Finally, permit numbers are used to identify vessels whose operators report bluefin tuna landings to NMFS via an automated landings reporting system accessible toll free by touch tone telephone.

II. Method of Collection

Recreational and commercial fishermen who fish for or retain any regulated Atlantic tunas (bigeye, albacore, yellowfin, skipjack and bluefin) are required to obtain a vessel permit. The permit fee is \$25.00 and the permit is valid for the fishing year of issuance. Permit applications can be obtained via mail, fax or can be printed off of the Internet. When paying by credit card, vessel owners may quickly renew permits via an automated system accessible toll free by touch tone telephone or over the Internet. Completed permits may be mailed or faxed back to vessel owners, as requested, or printed directly off the Internet.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0648–0327. Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Regular submission. Affected Public: Individuals (private anglers) and business or other for-profit (charter boat operators and commercial fishermen).

Estimated Number of Respondents: 25,000.

Estimated Time Per Response: 6 minutes for automated telephone renewals, 30 minutes for new permit applications.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 4,500.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$625,000 (including permit fees).

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the