affected State, local and tribal governments, the nature of their concerns, copies of any written communications from the governments, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 12875 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of State, local and tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant unfunded mandates."

Today's rule does not create a mandate on State, local or tribal governments. The rule does not impose any enforceable duties on these entities. EPA is proposing approval of New Jersey's 15 Percent Plan which only allocates emission reductions, it does not create any new requirements. Accordingly, the requirements of section 1(a) of Executive Order 12875 do not apply to this rule.

C. Executive Order 13045

Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), applies to any rule that: (1) is determined to be "economically significant" as defined under E.O. 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children, and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

EPA interprets E.Ö. 13045 as applying only to those regulatory actions that are based on health or safety risks, such that the analysis required under section 5–501 of the Order has the potential to influence the regulation. This proposed SIP approval is not subject to E.O. 13045 because it proposes approval of a state program implementing a Federal standard, and it is not economically significant under E.O. 12866.

D. Executive Order 13084

Under Executive Order 13084, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments, or EPA consults with

those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of Indian tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities.'

Today's rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. Accordingly, the requirements of section 3(b) of Executive Order 13084 do not apply to this rule.

E. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and small governmental jurisdictions. This proposed rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not create any new requirements, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the Clean Air Act, preparation of flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. Union Electric Co., v. U.S. EPA, 427 U.S. 246, 255-66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

F. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

ÉPA has determined that the proposed approval action does not include a federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq. Dated: February 19, 1999.

William J. Muszynski,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 2. [FR Doc. 99–4966 Filed 2–26–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 372

[OPPTS-400132B; FRL-6066-1]

RIN 2070-AD09

Persistent Bioaccumulative Toxic (PBT) Chemicals; Amendments to Proposed Addition of a Dioxin and Dioxin-Like Compounds Category; Community Right-to-Know Toxic Chemical Release Reporting; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On January 5, 1999, EPA issued a proposed rule to lower the reporting thresholds for certain

persistent bioaccumulative toxic (PBT) chemicals that are subject to reporting under section 313 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986 (EPCRA) and section 6607 of the Pollution Prevention Act of 1990 (PPA). The proposed rule also included the addition of certain PBT chemicals, amendments to the proposed rule to add a dioxin and dioxin-like compounds category, as well as other related reporting changes. The purpose of this action is to inform interested parties that, in response to several requests, EPA is extending the comment period by 30 days until April 7, 1999. The comment period for the proposed rule was scheduled to close on March 8,

DATES: Written comments, identified by the docket control number OPPTS–400132, must be received by EPA on or before April 7, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted by mail, electronically, or in person. Please follow the detailed instructions for each method as provided in Unit I. of the "SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION" section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daniel R. Bushman, Petitions Coordinator, 202–260–3882, e-mail: bushman.daniel@epamail.epa.gov, for specific information on the proposed rule, or for more information on EPCRA section 313, the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Hotline, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 5101, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, Toll free: 1–800–535–0202, in Virginia and Alaska: 703–412–9877 or Toll free TDD: 1–800–553–7672.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you manufacture, process, or otherwise use any of the chemicals listed under Table 1 in Unit V.C.1. of the January 5, 1999 proposed rule (64 FR 688) (FRL–6032–3). Potentially affected categories and entities may include, but are not limited to:

Category	Examples of Potentially Affected Entities
Industry	Facilities that: incinerate or otherwise treat, store or dispose of hazardous waste or sewage sludge; operate chlor-alkali processes; manufacture chlorinated organic compounds, pesticides, other organic or inorganic chemicals, tires, inner tubes, other rubber products, plastics and material resins, paints, Portland cement, pulp and paper, asphalt coatings, or electrical components; operate cement kilns; operate metallurgical processes such as steel production, smelting, metal recovery furnaces, blast furnaces, coke ovens, metal casting and stamping; operate petroleum bulk terminals; operate petroleum refineries; operate industrial boilers that burn coal, wood, petroleum products; and electric utilities that combust coal and/or oil for distribution of electricity in commerce.
Federal Gov- ernment	Federal facilities that: burn coal, wood, petroleum products; burn wastes; incinerate or otherwise treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste or sewage sludge.

This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be affected. To determine whether your facility would be affected by this action, you should carefully examine the applicability criteria in part 372, subpart B of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed in the preceding "FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT" section.

B. How Can I Get Additional Information or Copies of this Document or Other Support Documents?

1. Electronically. You may obtain electronic copies of this document and the January 5, 1999 proposed rule from the EPA Internet Home Page at http://www.epa.gov/. On the Home Page select "Laws and Regulations" and then look up the entry for this document under

the "Federal Register - Environmental Documents." You can also go directly to the "Federal Register" listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.

2. In person or by phone. If you have any questions or need additional information about this action, please contact the technical person identified in the "FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT" section. In addition, the official record for this document, including the public version, has been established under docket control number OPPTS-400132, (including comments and data submitted electronically as described below). This record includes not only the documents physically contained in the docket, but all of the documents included as references in those documents. A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of any electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as Confidential Business Information (CBI), is available for inspection from noon to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in the TSCA Nonconfidential Information Center, Rm. NE-B607, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. The TSCA Nonconfidential Information Center telephone number is 202-260-7099.

C. How and to Whom Do I Submit Comments?

You may submit comments through the mail, in person, or electronically. Be sure to identify the appropriate docket control number (i.e., "OPPTS-400132") in your correspondence.

- 1. By mail. Submit written comments to: Document Control Office (7407), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460.
- 2. In person or by courier. Deliver written comments to: Document Control Office in Rm. G–099, Waterside Mall, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC, telephone: 202–260–7093.
- 3. Electronically. Submit your comments and/or data electronically by e-mail to: "oppt.ncic@epa.gov." Please note that you should not submit any information electronically that you consider to be CBI. Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Comment and data will also be accepted on standard computer disks in WordPerfect 5.1/6.1 or ASCII file format. All comments and data in electronic form must be identified by the docket control number OPPTS-400132. Electronic comments on this notice may also be

filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries.

D. How Should I Handle CBI Information that I Want to Submit to the Agency?

You may claim information that you submit in response to this document as CBI by marking any part or all of that information as CBI. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the comment that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential will be included in the public docket by EPA without prior notice. If you have any questions about CBI or the procedures for claiming CBI, please consult with the technical person identified in the "FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT" section.

II. Background Information

A. What Does this Notice Do and What Action Does this Notice Affect?

This notice extends the comment period for EPA's January 5, 1999 proposed rule (64 FR 688) to lower the reporting thresholds for certain PBT chemicals that are subject to reporting under EPCRA section 313 and PPA section 6607. The January 5, 1999 proposed rule also proposed to lower reporting thresholds for dioxin and dioxin-like compounds, which were previously proposed for addition to the EPCRA section 313 list of toxic chemicals. EPA proposed these actions pursuant to its authority under EPCRA section 313(f)(2) to revise reporting thresholds. In addition, EPA proposed to add certain PBT chemicals to the list of chemicals subject to the reporting under EPCRA section 313 and PPA section 6607 and to establish lower reporting thresholds for these chemicals. EPA proposed to add these chemicals to the EPCRA section 313 list pursuant to its authority to add chemicals and chemical categories that meet the EPCRA section 313(d)(2) toxicity criteria. The proposed additions of these chemicals are based on their carcinogenicity or other chronic human health effects and/or their adverse effects on the environment. As part of the proposed rule, EPA amended its proposal published in the **Federal Register** of May 7, 1997 (62 FR 24887) (FRL-5590-1), to add a category of dioxin and dioxin-like compounds to the EPCRA section 313 list of toxic chemicals by proposing to exclude the co-planar polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) from the category and by proposing to add an activity qualifier to

the category. EPA also proposed to require that separate reports be filed for tetraethyl lead and tetramethyl lead which are listed under the lead compounds category. EPA's proposed actions also included modifications to certain reporting exemptions and requirements for those toxic chemicals that would be subject to the lower reporting thresholds.

In addition, today's action also extends the comment period for the Notice of Availability and Clarification that was published on February 23, 1999 (64 FR 8766) (FRL–6061–7). The February 23, 1999 action made available an additional document concerning the economics analysis for one of the reporting threshold options discussed in the January 5, 1999 proposed rule. The action also made clarifications to the discussion in the proposed rule concerning the reporting limitation for certain metals when contained in alloys.

B. Why and for How Long is EPA Extending the Comment Period?

EPA has received requests from a number of groups to extend the comment period for the January 5, 1999 proposed rule. These groups include the American Cyanamid Company, American Forest & Paper Association, American Portland Cement Alliance, Chemical Manufacturers Association, Chlorine Chemistry Council, Eastman Chemical Company, National Mining Association, and the Pentachlorophenol Task Force. These groups have requested additional time to review relevant information and prepare comments on the proposed rule. EPA has considered these comments and has determined that extending the comment period is an appropriate action that will not cause a significant delay in the evaluation of the proposed rule. Therefore, EPA is extending the comment period on the January 5, 1999 proposed rule and the February 23, 1999 action by 30 days until April 7, 1999. All comments should be submitted following the detailed instructions as provided in Unit I. of the "SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION" section of this document. All comments must be received by April 7, 1999.

III. Do Any of the Regulatory Assessment Requirements Apply to this Action?

No. As indicated previously, this action merely announces the extension of the comment period for the proposed rule. This action does not impose any new requirements. As such, this action does not require review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866, entitled

Regulatory Planning and Review (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501 et sea., or Executive Order 13045, entitled Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997). This action does not impose any enforceable duty, contain any unfunded mandate, or impose any significant or unique impact on small governments as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4). Nor does it require prior consultation with State, local, and Tribal government officials as specified by Executive Order 12875, entitled Enhancing Intergovernmental Partnerships (58 FR 58093. October 28, 1993) and Executive Order 13084, entitled Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments (63 FR 27655, May 19, 1998), or special consideration of environmental justice related issues under Executive Order 12898, entitled Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). This action does not involve any technical standards that would require Agency consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (NTTAA), Pub. L. 104-113, section 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note). In addition, since this action is not subject to noticeand-comment requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute, it is not subject to the regulatory flexibility provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). EPA's compliance with these statutes and Executive Orders for the underlying proposed rule, is discussed in the preamble to the proposed rule (see 64 FR 688, January 5. 1999).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 372

Environmental protection, Community right-to-know, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Toxic chemicals.

Dated: February 23, 1999.

Susan H. Wayland,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.

[FR Doc. 99–4971 Filed 2–26–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F