

irretrievable commitments of resources to the proposed project.

(ii) The Executive Director, in preparing an environmental assessment, may:

(A) Tier upon the information contained in a previous EIS, as described in 40 CFR 1502.20;

(B) Incorporate by reference reasonably available material, as described in 40 CFR 1502.21; and/or

(C) Adopt a previously completed EIS reasonably related to the project for which the proceeds of the loan sought to be guaranteed under the Program will be used, as described in 40 CFR 1506.3.

(iii) Because of the statute's admonition to the Board to make its decisions as soon as possible after receiving applications, the Board will not:

(A) Publish notice of intent to prepare an environmental assessment, as described in 40 CFR 1501.7;

(B) Conduct scoping, as described in 40 CFR 1501.7; and

(C) Seek comments on the environmental assessment, as described in 40 CFR 1503.1.

(iv) If, on the basis of an environmental assessment, it is determined that an EIS is not required, a FONSI, as described in 40 CFR 1508.13 will be prepared. The FONSI will include the environmental assessment or a summary of it and be available to the public from the Board. The Executive Director shall maintain a record of these decisions, making them available to interested parties upon request. Requests should be directed to the Executive Director Emergency Oil and Gas Guarantee Loan Program, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230. Prior to a final loan guarantee decision, a copy of the NEPA documentation shall be sent to their Board for consideration.

(6) *Responsibilities and procedures for preparation of an environmental impact statement.* (i) If after an environmental assessment has been completed, it is determined that an EIS is necessary, it and other related documentation will be prepared by the Executive Director in accordance with section 102(2)(c) of NEPA, this section, and 40 CFR parts 1500 through 1508. The Executive Director may seek additional information from the applicant in preparing the EIS. Once the document is prepared, it shall be submitted to the Board. If the Board considers a document unsatisfactory, it shall be returned to the Executive Director for revision or supplementation prior to a loan guarantee decision; otherwise the Board will transmit the

document to the Environmental Protection Agency.

(ii)(A) The following procedures, as discussed in 40 CFR parts 1500 through 1508, will be followed in preparing an EIS:

(1) The format and contents of the draft and final EIS shall be as discussed in 40 CFR 1502.

(2) The requirements of 40 CFR 1506.9 for filing of documents with the Environmental Protection Agency shall be followed.

(3) The Executive Director, consulting at his discretion with CEQ, shall examine carefully the basis on which supportive studies have been conducted to assure that such studies are objective and comprehensive in scope and depth.

(4) NEPA requires that the decision making "utilize a systematic, interdisciplinary approach that will ensure the integrated use of the natural and social sciences and the environmental design arts." 42 U.S.C. 4332(A). If such disciplines are not present on the Board staff, appropriate use should be made of personnel of Federal, State, and local agencies, universities, non-profit organizations, or private industry.

(B) Until the Board issues a record of decision as provided in 40 CFR 1502.2 no action concerning the proposal shall be taken which would:

(1) Have an adverse environmental impact; or

(2) Limit the choice of reasonable alternatives.

(3) 40 CFR 1506.10 places certain limitations on the timing of Board decisions on taking "major Federal actions." A loan guarantee shall not be made before the times set forth in 40 CFR 1506.10.

(iii) A public record of decision stating what the decision was; identifying alternatives that were considered, including the environmentally preferable one(s); discussing any national considerations that entered into the decision; and summarizing a monitoring and enforcement program if applicable for mitigating the environmental effects of a proposal; will be prepared. This record of decision will be prepared at the time the decision is made.

[FR Doc. 99-33379 Filed 12-22-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1310-FP-M

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

#### 21 CFR Part 558

#### New Animal Drugs For Use In Animal Feeds; Diclazuril

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the animal drug regulations for medicated feed applications to add an entry stating the maximum Type B level and assay limits for diclazuril Type B and C medicated feeds. The **Federal Register** document that reflected approval of Schering-Plough Animal Health Corp.'s new animal drug application (NADA) for use of diclazuril Type A medicated articles for making Type C medicated broiler feeds failed to provide that entry.

**DATES:** This regulation is effective December 23, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Janis R. Messenheimer, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV-135), Food and Drug Administration, 7500 Standish Pl., Rockville, MD 20855, 301-827-7578.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the **Federal Register** of July 2, 1999 (64 FR 35923), FDA published a final rule that reflected the approval of Schering-Plough Animal Health Corp.'s NADA 141-951. The NADA provides for use of a Type A medicated article containing 0.2 percent of diclazuril (CLINACOX™) to make Type C broiler feeds used for the prevention of coccidiosis. The final rule added 21 CFR 556.175 and 558.198 to reflect the approval, but failed to amend § 558.4 (21 CFR 558.4) to add an entry stating the maximum Type B level and assay limits for diclazuril Type B and C medicated feeds. At this time, § 558.4 is amended in paragraph (d) in the table "Category I" accordingly.

As provided in 21 CFR part 20 and 514.11(e)(2)(ii), a freedom of information summary of safety and effectiveness data and information required to support approval of the application was placed on file in the Dockets Management Branch, Food and Drug Administration, upon publication of the approval.

This rule does not meet the definition of "rule" in 5 U.S.C. 804(3)(A) because it is a rule of "particular applicability." Therefore, it is not subject to the congressional review requirements in 5 U.S.C. 801-808.

**List of Subjects 21 CFR Part 558**

Animal drugs, Animal feeds.  
Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs and redelegated to the Center for Veterinary Medicine, 21 CFR part 558 is amended as follows:

**PART 558—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR USE IN ANIMAL FEEDS**

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 558 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 360b, 371.

2. Section 558.4 is amended by adding an entry alphabetically to the

Category I table in paragraph (d) to read as follows:

**§ 558.4 Requirement of a medicated feed mill license.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) \* \* \*

**CATEGORY I**

Drug	Assay limits percent <sup>1</sup> type A	Type B maximum (200x)	Assay limits percent <sup>1</sup> type B/C <sup>2</sup>
* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *
Diclazuril	90–110	182 g/t (0.02%)	85–115/70–120
* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *

<sup>1</sup> Percent of labeled amount.

<sup>2</sup> Values given represent ranges for either Type B or Type C medicated feeds. For those drug that have two range limits, the first set is for a Type B medicated feed and the second set is for a Type C medicated feed. These values (ranges) have been assigned in order to provide for the possibility of dilution of a Type B medicated feed with lower assay limits to make Type C medicated feed.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: December 14, 1999.

**Claire M. Lathers,**

*Director, Office of New Animal Drug Evaluation, Center for Veterinary Medicine.*

[FR Doc. 99–33281 Filed 12–22–99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4160–01–F**

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE****Office of the Secretary****32 CFR Part 44**

[DoD Directive 1200.7]

**RIN 0790–AF57**

**Screening the Ready Reserve**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule provides guidance governing screening of Reserve component members of the U.S. military departments relative to their civilian employment. The purpose of the screening program is to ensure availability of Ready Reserve members for military mobilization purposes. The intended effect of the screening is to preclude conflicts between Reserve mobilization obligations and Federal civilian employment requirements during times of war or national emergency.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 18, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dan Kohner, (703) 693–7479.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review”**

It has been determined that this is not a significant regulatory action. The rule does not:

1. Have an annual effect to the economy of \$100 million or more, or otherwise have material adverse economic effects.
2. Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency.
3. Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs, or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or,
4. Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive Order.

**Public Law 96–354, “Regulatory Flexibility Act” (5 U.S.C. 601)**

It has been certified that this rule is not subject to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601). The Department of Defense is not subject to the RFA when making rules related to a “military or foreign affairs function of the United States” or to Executive Order 12866 for those regulations that “pertain to a military or foreign affairs function of the United States [other than procurement functions or import-export of non-defense articles].”

**Public Law 96–511, “Paperwork Reduction Act” (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35)**

It has been certified that this part does not impose any reporting or recordkeeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Interagency Report Control Number 0192–DOD–AN remains in effect, with a

current expiration date of September 30, 1998.

**List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 44**

Armed forces reserves.

Accordingly, 32 CFR part 44 is revised to read as follows:

**PART 44—SCREENING THE READY RESERVE**

Sec.

44.1 Purpose.

44.2 Applicability.

44.3 Definitions.

44.4 Policy.

44.5 Responsibilities.

Appendix A to Part 44—Guidance

**Authority:** 10 U.S.C. 10145.

**§ 44.1 Purpose.**

Updates DoD policy and responsibilities for the screening of Ready Reservists under 10 U.S.C. 1003, 1005, and 1209.

**§ 44.2 Applicability.**

This part applies to the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Military Departments (including the Coast Guard, when it is not operating as a Military Service in the Navy by agreement with the Department of Transportation), the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Combatant Commands, the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, the Defense Agencies, the DoD Field Activities and all other organizational entities within the Department of Defense (hereafter referred to collectively as the “DoD Components”). The term “Military Services” as used in this part, refers to the Army, the Navy, the Air Force and the Marine Corps.