71660

70977, Southwest Station, Washington, D.C. 20024. Telephone: (202) 707–8380. Telefax: (202) 252–3423.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 29, 1999, the President signed into law the Intellectual Property and Communications Omnibus Reform Act. Title I of that legislation, the "Satellite Home Viewer Improvement Act of 1999," amends section 119 of the Copyright Act to, among other things, reduce the royalty fees paid under the satellite carrier statutory license.

In October of 1997, pursuant to the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel process, the Librarian of Congress adjusted the royalty rates of the satellite license to 27 cents per subscriber per month for the retransmission of a network station and 27 cents per subscriber per month for the retransmission of a superstation. 62 FR 55742 (October 28, 1997). The Satellite Home Viewer Improvement Act reduces these rates by 45 percent for a network station and 30 percent for a superstation. 17 U.S.C. 119(c)(4) (A) and (B). Consequently, the new rates are 14.85 cents per subscriber per month for each network station retransmitted by a satellite carrier and 18.9 cents per subscriber per month for each superstation retransmitted by a satellite carrier.

The Satellite Home Viewer Improvement Act also amends the section 119 satellite license to include retransmissions of the Public Broadcasting Service satellite feed, which is not a television broadcast station. The Public Broadcasting Service satellite feed is treated like a network station for purposes of the royalty fee, and therefore incurs the 14.85-cent fee. The section 119 license for the Public Broadcasting Service satellite feed, however, is in effect only until January 1, 2002.

### List of Subjects in 37 CFR Part 258

Copyright, Satellite, Television.

# **Final Regulations**

For the reasons set out in the preamble, chapter II of title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations is to be amended as follows:

# PART 258—ADJUSTMENT OF ROYALTY FEE FOR SECONDARY TRANSMISSIONS BY SATELLITE CARRIERS

1. The authority citation for part 258 reads as follows:

Authority: 17 U.S.C. 119, 702, 802.

2. In § 258.3, add new paragraph (c) to read as follows:

# § 258.3 Royalty fee for secondary transmission of broadcast stations by satellite carriers.

\* \* \* \* \*

- (c) Commencing July 1, 1999, the royalty rate for secondary transmission of broadcast stations for private home viewing by satellite carriers shall be as follows:
- (1) 18.9 cents per subscriber per month for distant superstations.
- (2) 14.85 cents per subscriber per month for distant network stations.
- (3) 14.85 cents per subscriber per month for the Public Broadcasting Service satellite feed.

Dated: December 15, 1999.

### Marybeth Peters,

Register of Copyrights.

Approved by:

#### James H. Billington,

The Librarian of Congress.

[FR Doc. 99–33196 Filed 12–21–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 1410–33–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 038-0193a; FRL-6510-7]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, South Coast Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is taking direct final action on revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions concern rule rescissions from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). This approval action will rescind these rules from the federally approved SIP. The intended effect of approving these rule rescissions is to update and clarify the State Implementation Plan in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). The rule rescissions consist of obsolete rules that have been superseded or removed from the SCAQMD's regulations. EPA is finalizing the approval of these rule rescissions from the California SIP under provisions of the CAA regarding EPA action on SIP submittals, SIPs for national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards and plan requirements for nonattainment areas.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on February 22, 2000 without further notice, unless

EPA receives adverse comments by January 21, 2000. If EPA receives such comment, it will publish a timely withdrawal **Federal Register** informing the public that this rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Written comments must be submitted to Andrew Steckel, Chief, Rulemaking Office at the Region IX office listed below. Copies of the rule rescissions and EPA's evaluation report for each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule rescissions are available for inspection at the following locations:

Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765–4182

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julie A. Rose, Rulemaking Office, AIR-4, Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, Telephone: (415) 744–1184.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Applicability

The rules being rescinded from the California SIP are listed below. The rescissions were submitted by the California Air Resources Board to EPA on the dates listed under each grouping.

South Coast Air Quality Management District (AQMD)

Rule 107, Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds in Organic Materials, Rescission Adopted: 3–9– 92, Submitted to EPA: 9–14–92

Rule 1231, Judicial Review, Rescission Adopted: 2–2–79, Submitted to EPA: 7–25–79

Rule 1311, Power Plants, Rescission Adopted: 6–28–90 Submitted to EPA: 1–28–92

Los Angeles County Air Pollution Control District (APCD)

Rule 51, Nuisance, Rescission Adopted: 5–7–76, Submitted to EPA: 8–2–76

Orange County APCD

Rule 51, Nuisance, Rule 67.1, Fuel Burning Equipment, Rule 68, Fuel Burning Equipment— Oxides of Nitrogen. Rescissions Adopted: 5–7–76 Submitted to EPA: 8–2–76

Riverside County APCD
Rule 51, Nuisance
Rescission Adopted: 5–7–76

# Submitted to EPA: 8–2–76 II. Background

On March 3, 1978, EPA promulgated a list of ozone and total suspended particulate (TSP) nonattainment areas under the provisions of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977 (1977 Act or pre-amended Act), that included the South Coast Air Basin. 43 FR 8964, 40 CFR 81.305.

On July 1, 1987 at 52 FR 24672, EPA replaced the TSP standards with new Particulate Matter (PM) standards applying only to PM up to 10 microns in diameter (PM–10).<sup>1</sup>

On May 26, 1988, EPA notified the Governor of California, pursuant to section 110(a)(2)(H) of the 1977 Act, that the South Coast Air Basin portion of the California SIP was inadequate to attain and maintain the ozone standard and requested that deficiencies in the existing SIP be corrected (EPA's SIP-Call). On November 15, 1990, the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 were enacted. Public Law 101–549, 104 Stat. 2399, codified at 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. South Coast Air Basin is classified as extreme non-attainment for ozone.

On November 15, 1990, PM–10 areas meeting the qualifications of section 107(d)(4)(B) of the Act were designated non-attainment by operation of law and classified as moderate pursuant to section 188(a). The South Coast Air Basin was among the areas designated non-attainment. On February 8, 1993, EPA re-classified the South Coast Air Basin from moderate non-attainment to serious non-attainment for PM–10. (See 58 FR 3334—January 1, 1993).

This **Federal Register** action for SCAQMD excludes the Los Angeles County portion of the Southeast Desert AQMA, otherwise known as the Antelope Valley Region in Los Angeles County, which is now under the jurisdiction of the Antelope Valley Air Pollution Control District as of July 1, 1997.

The State of California submitted the rule rescissions listed above to update the federally enforceable SIP for the

SCAQMD. In addition, some of these rescissions are necessary to remove obsolete rules from the original districts that made up the South Coast Air Basin: Los Angeles County Air Pollution Control District (APCD), Orange County APCD, and Riverside County APCD.<sup>2</sup> The rescissions were adopted and submitted on the dates listed above.

These rules were originally adopted as part of individual districts' efforts to achieve the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for ozone and particulate matter. The following is EPA's evaluation and final action for this rule.

# III. EPA Evaluation and Action

In determining whether to approve removing each rescinded rule from the SIP, EPA must evaluate the recissions for consistency with the requirements of the CAA and EPA regulations, as found in section 110 and part D of the CAA, and 40 CFR part 51 (Requirements for Preparation, Adoption, and Submittal of Implementation Plans). The EPA interpretation of these requirements, which forms the basis for this action, appears in various EPA policy guidance documents. In general the rules which SCAQMD has rescinded are not appropriate for the SIP because they do not control criteria pollutants or have been superseded by other SIP-approved

EPA has evaluated the rule recissions and has determined that recission is consistent with the CAA, EPA regulations, and EPA policy. Therefore, all of the rule recissions listed in section I, Applicability are being approved under section 110(k)(3) of the CAA as meeting the requirements of section 110(a) and part D.

EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register** publication, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the SIP revision should adverse comments be filed. This rule will be effective February 22, 2000 without further notice unless the Agency receives adverse comments by January 21, 2000.

If the EPA receives such comments, then EPA will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this rule should do so at this time. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this rule is effective on February 22, 2000 and no further action will be taken on the proposed rule.

### IV. Administrative Requirements

### A. Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this regulatory action from Executive Order 12866, entitled "Regulatory Planning and Review."

### B. Executive Order 13132

Federalism (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999) revokes and replaces Executive Orders 12612, Federalism and 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership. Executive Order 13132 requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure "meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications." "Policies that have federalism implications" is defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have "substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government." Under Executive Order 13132, EPA may not issue a regulation that has federalism implications, that imposes substantial direct compliance costs, and that is not required by statute, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by State and local governments, or EPA consults with State and local officials early in the process of developing the proposed regulation. EPA also may not issue a regulation that has federalism implications and that preempts State law unless the Agency consults with State and local officials early in the process of developing the proposed regulation.

This rule will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it merely approves a state rule implementing a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>On July 18, 1997, EPA promulgated revised and new standards for PM–10 and PM–2.5 (62 FR 38651). EPA has not yet established specific plan and control requirements for the revised and new standards. This action is part of SCAQMD's efforts to achieve compliance with the 1987 PM–10 standards.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>On July 16, 1975, the Los Angeles County APCD, Orange County APCD, Riverside County APCD, and San Bernardino County APCD were unified into the Southern California APCD. On February 1, 1977, the Southern California APCD became the South Coast Air Quality Management District.

federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 6 of the Executive Order do not apply to this rule.

### C. Executive Order 13045

Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), applies to any rule that: (1) Is determined to be "economically significant" as defined under Executive Order 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children, and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

This rule is not subject to Executive Order 13045 because it does not involve decisions intended to mitigate environmental health or safety risks.

# D. Executive Order 13084

Under Executive Order 13084, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly affects or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments. If the mandate is unfunded, EPA must provide to the Office of Management and Budget, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation.

In addition, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected and other representatives of Indian tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities." Today's rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. Accordingly, the requirements of section 3(b) of

Executive Order 13084 do not apply to this rule.

### E. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and small governmental jurisdictions.

This final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not create any new requirements, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the Clean Air Act, preparation of flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. *Union Electric Co.*, v. *U.S. EPA*, 427 U.S. 246, 255–66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

# F. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

ÉPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements

under State or local law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

# G. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This rule is not a "major" rule as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

### H. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12 of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) of 1995 requires Federal agencies to evaluate existing technical standards when developing a new regulation. To comply with NTTAA, EPA must consider and use "voluntary consensus standards" (VCS) if available and applicable when developing programs and policies unless doing so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical.

The EPA believes that VCS are inapplicable to this action. Today's action does not require the public to perform activities conducive to the use of VCS.

# I. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by February 22, 2000. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Oxides of Nitrogen, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: December 7, 1999.

#### David P. Howekamp,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

### PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

# Subpart F—California

2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(6)(xvii) to (6)(xviv), (47)(i)(D), (68)(ii), and (121)(i)(D) to read as follows:

### § 52.220 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \* (6) \* \* \*

(xvii) Los Angeles County Air Pollution Control District.

(A)Previously approved on September 22, 1972 and now deleted without replacement Rule 51.

(xviii) Orange County Air Pollution Control District.

(A) Previously approved on September 22, 1972 and now deleted without replacement Rules 51, 67.1 and

(xviv) Riverside County Air Pollution Control District.

(A) Previously approved on September 22, 1972 and now deleted without replacement Rule 51.

\* \* \* \* \* (47) \* \* \* (i) \* \* \*

(D) Previously approved on May 9, 1980 and now deleted without replacement for implementation in the South Coast Air Quality Management District, Rule 1231. (JR)

\* \* \* \* \* (68) \* \* \*

(ii) Previously approved on January 21, 1981 and now deleted without replacement Rule 1311.

\* \* \* \* \* \* (121) \* \* \*

(i) \* \* \*

(D) Previously approved on October 11, 1983 and now deleted without replacement Rule 107.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 99–32642 Filed 12–21–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[Region VII Tracking No. MO-074-1074a; FRL-6512-2]

### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is announcing a revision to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) which incorporates portions of new Kansas City rules contained in the Kansas City Air Pollution Control Ordinance in Sections 8–2 and 8–5. These Sections pertain to the emission of particulate matter from incinerators. This revision will concurrently remove incinerator SIP provisions contained in Chapter 18 of the 1972 version of the Kansas City Code. This action will unify the local, state, and Federal requirements for Kansas City incinerators.

**DATES:** This direct final rule is effective on February 22, 2000 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by January 21, 2000. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to: Wayne A. Kaiser at the Environmental Protection Agency, Air Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

Copies of the state submittals are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours: Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101; and the Environmental Protection Agency, Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, Air Docket (6102), 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wayne A. Kaiser at the Environmental Protection Agency at (913) 551–7603.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

This section provides additional information by addressing the following questions:

What is an SIP?

What is the Federal approval process for a SIP?

What does Federal approval of a state regulation mean to me?

What is being addressed in this action? Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision been met?

What action is EPA taking?

### What Is a SIP?

Section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) requires states to develop air pollution regulations and control strategies to ensure that state air quality meets the national ambient air quality standards established by EPA. These ambient standards are established under section 109 of the CAA, and they currently address six criteria pollutants. These pollutants are: carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, lead, particulate matter, and sulfur dioxide.

Each state must submit these regulations and control strategies to EPA for approval and incorporation into the

Federally enforceable SIP.

Each Federally approved SIP protects air quality primarily by addressing air pollution at its point of origin. These SIPs can be extensive, containing state regulations or other enforceable documents and supporting information such as emission inventories, monitoring networks, and modeling demonstrations.

# What Is the Federal Approval Process for a SIP?

In order for state regulations to be incorporated into the Federally enforceable SIP, states must formally adopt the regulations and control strategies consistent with state and Federal requirements. This process generally includes a public notice, public hearing, public comment period, and a formal adoption by a state-authorized rulemaking body.

Once a state rule, regulation, or control strategy is adopted, the state submits it to EPA for inclusion into the SIP. EPA must provide public notice and seek additional public comment regarding the proposed Federal action on the state submission. If adverse comments are received, they must be addressed prior to any final Federal action by EPA.

All state regulations and supporting information approved by EPA under section 110 of the CAA are incorporated into the Federally approved SIP. Records of such SIP actions are maintained in the Code of Federal