

purports that petitioner undercuts Makita's prices in every case of which Makita is aware.

*Department's Position:* We disagree with petitioner. Regarding the petitioner's argument that Makita would undercut U.S. competitors' prices on PECTs if the order were revoked, the Department generally finds that three years of no dumping is predictive of future behavior. (See *Corrosion-Resistant Steel from Canada*, at 2175. Thus, where there is no evidence to the contrary, the Department will normally determine that continuation of the order is no longer necessary to offset dumping. Further, because Makita has agreed to reinstatement of the order in the event of future dumping, it is inappropriate to presume that the imposition of the order is the only factor preventing dumping. Rather, we considered other factors that might suggest a likelihood of future dumping as discussed in the "*Determination to Revoke the Order in Part*" and "*Likelihood of Future Dumping*" sections in this notice above.

Regarding the petitioner's argument that Makita's widespread undercutting of prices on U.S.-made PECTs and other tools is indicative of Makita's behavior in the absence of an order, the Department reiterates its position enumerated above in Comment 1 of the "*Likelihood of Future Dumping*" section of this notice. We disagree with the petitioner's allegation that the pricing of non-subject merchandise in this case is probative of whether the order continues to be necessary to offset dumping of subject merchandise.

#### Final Results of the Review

We determine that the following weighted-average margin for Makita exists for the period July 1, 1997, through June 30, 1998:

*Manufacturer/producer/exporter:*  
Makita Corporation Incorporated

*Margin (percent):* 0.07 percent (*de minimis*)

#### Effective Date of Revocation

This revocation applies to all entries of subject merchandise that are produced by Makita Japan and that are also exported by Makita Japan, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after July 1, 1998. The Department will order the suspension of liquidation ended for all such entries and will instruct the Customs Service to release any cash deposits or bonds. The Department will further instruct Customs Service to refund with interest any cash deposits on entries made after June 30, 1998.

#### Assessment

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service. For entries of subject merchandise that are produced by Makita Japan and that are also exported by Makita Japan, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the POR (*i.e.*, July 1, 1997–June 30, 1998), the Department will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The Department will order the suspension of liquidation ended for all such entries and will instruct the Customs Service to release any cash deposits or bonds with interest if applicable.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements shall be required for merchandise subject to the order entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this final results of the administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for Makita Japan will be zero, except that for imports of PECTs that are produced by Makita Japan and that are also exported by Makita Japan, cash deposits will no longer be required and the suspension of liquidation will cease for entries made on or after July 1, 1998; (2) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the less-than-fair-value ("LTFV") investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (3) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this review or the LTFV investigation, the cash deposit rate will be 54.5 percent, the "all others" rate made effective by the LTFV investigation. These requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

#### Notification to Importers and Interested Parties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent

assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.105(a). Timely written notification or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of the APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 8, 1999.

**Robert S. LaRussa,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 99–32673 Filed 12–20–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–580–810]

#### Certain Welded ASTM A–312 Stainless Steel Pipe From Korea: Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Extension of Time Limits For Preliminary Results of Administrative Review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 21, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Gilgunn or Maureen Flannery, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0648 or (202) 482–3020, respectively.

#### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, codified at 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

#### Background

On December 30, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the Department) received a request from

Avesta Sheffield Pipe Co.; Damascus Tube Division, Damascus-Bishop Tube Co.; and the United Steelworkers of America (AFL-CIO/CLC), herein referred to as "the domestic industry," for administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded ASTM A-312 stainless steel pipe from Korea. On January 25, 1999, the Department published its initiation of this administrative review covering the period of December 1, 1997 through November 30, 1998 (64 FR 3682).

#### Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Because of the reasons enumerated in the Memoranda from Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa, *Extension of Time Limit for the Administrative Review of Certain Welded ASTM A-312 Stainless Steel Pipe from Korea*, dated December 13, 1999, it is not practical to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limits for the preliminary results to December 20, 1999. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: December 13, 1999.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement III.*

[FR Doc. 99-33075 Filed 12-20-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

I.D. 121599C]

#### Socioeconomic Monitoring Program for the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary

**AGENCY:** National Ocean Service (NOS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995,

Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before February 22, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230 (or via Internet at LEngelme@doc.gov).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Dr. Vernon R. Leeworthy, NOS/Special Projects Office, 1305 East West Highway, SSMC 4, 9th Floor, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 (301-713-3000, ext. 138) or via Internet at Bob.Leeworthy@noaa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

The purpose of this information collection is to add socioeconomic monitoring information to the ecological monitoring information in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS). In 1997, regulations became effective that created a series of "no take zones" in the FKNMS. Monitoring programs are used to test the ecological and socioeconomic impacts of the "no take zones". This year three voluntary data collection efforts will be initiated to support the socioeconomic monitoring program.

The first collection involves a set of four panels on commercial fishing operations, where commercial fishermen will be interviewed to assess financial performance and assess the impacts of Sanctuary regulations. Information on catch, effort, revenues, operating and capital costs will be obtained to do financial performance analysis. Information on socioeconomic factors for developing profiles of the commercial fishermen such as age, sex, education level, household income, marital status, number of family members, race/ethnicity, percent of income derived from fishing, percent of income derived from study area, years of experience in fishing will be gathered to compare panels with the general commercial fishing population. The data would be collected annually.

The second collection will monitor recreational for-hire operations through the use of dive logs for estimating use in the "no take areas" versus other areas for snorkeling, scuba diving and glass-bottom boat rides. Volunteers will collect the logbooks monthly.

The third collection will survey all users of "no take areas". Respondents will be asked to rate both the importance and satisfaction with various natural resource attributes and characteristics (e.g., water clarity, coral cover, number and diversity of sea life, etc.).

## II. Method of Collection

Interviews will generally be used. The user surveys will also include use of a mailed questionnaire. The dive shop logbook collection is a request to keep records.

## III. Data

OMB Number: None

Form Number: None

Type of Review: Regular submission

Affected public: Individuals, business or other for-profit

Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,070

Estimated Time Per Response: 3 hours for commercial fishing panels, 10 hours for dive shops, and 20 minutes for sanctuary users.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 920

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0

## IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and /or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: December 10, 1999.

**Linda Engelmeier,**

*Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 99-33063 Filed 12-20-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-JE