

A300-600 series airplanes), both dated October 2, 1998; as applicable, is acceptable for compliance with that paragraph.

Spares

(c) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install in the flight compartment of any airplane a rudder trim switch having P/N 097-023-00.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116.

Note 4: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Incorporation by Reference

(f) The actions shall be done in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A310-27-2084, Revision 01, dated September 29, 1998; Airbus Service Bulletin A300-27-6037, Revision 01, dated September 29, 1998; Airbus Service Bulletin A310-27-2087, Revision 01, dated February 17, 1999; or Airbus Service Bulletin A300-27-6042, Revision 01, dated February 17, 1999; as applicable. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 5: The subject of this AD is addressed in French airworthiness directives 97-111-219(B), dated May 7, 1997, and 1999-012-275(B), dated January 13, 1999.

(g) This amendment becomes effective on January 25, 2000.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on December 9, 1999.

D.L. Riggan,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 99-32508 Filed 12-20-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NE-32-AD; Amendment 39-11465; AD 99-26-06]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Pratt & Whitney JT8D-200 Series Turbofan Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to Pratt & Whitney JT8D-200 series turbofan engines, that requires initial and repetitive fluorescent magnetic particle inspections or fluorescent penetrant inspections of the combustion chamber outer case (CCOC) for cracks, and, if necessary, replacement with serviceable parts. Also, this AD requires a one-time boss material verification, and, if necessary, replacement with serviceable parts. Finally, this AD requires replacement of CCOCs with welded-on bosses with improved, one-piece CCOCs. Installation of the one-piece CCOC constitutes terminating action to the inspection requirements of this AD. This amendment is prompted by a report of an uncontained engine failure caused by fatigue cracks originating at the weld joining the drain boss to the CCOC. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent CCOC cracks, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the airplane.

DATES: Effective February 22, 2000.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of February 22, 2000.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Pratt & Whitney, 400 Main St., East Hartford, CT 06108; telephone (860) 565-8770, fax (860) 565-4503. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher Spinney, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate,

12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299; telephone (781) 238-7175, fax (781) 238-7199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to Pratt & Whitney (PW) JT8D-209, -217, -217A, -217C, and -219 series turbofan engines was published in the **Federal Register** on September 23, 1999 (64 FR 51483). That action proposed to require initial and repetitive fluorescent magnetic particle inspections or fluorescent penetrant inspections of the combustion chamber outer case (CCOC) for cracks, and, if necessary, replacement with serviceable parts. Also, that AD proposed to require a one-time boss material verification, and, if necessary, replacement with serviceable parts. Finally, that AD proposed to require replacement of CCOCs with welded-on bosses with improved, one-piece CCOCs. Installation of the one-piece CCOC would constitute terminating action to the inspection requirements of the AD. That action was prompted by a report of an uncontained engine failure caused by fatigue cracks originating at the weld joining the drain boss to the CCOC. That condition, if not corrected, could result in CCOC cracks, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the airplane.

Comments Received

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

Understated Financial Impact

One commenter states that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has understated the financial impact of the AD by not including the ancillary costs of removing a cracked CCOC. The FAA does not concur. The indirect costs associated with this AD are not directly related to this rule, and, therefore, are not addressed in the economic analysis for this rule. A full cost analysis for each AD, including such indirect costs, is not necessary since the FAA has already performed a cost benefit analysis when adopting the airworthiness requirements to which these engines were originally certificated. A finding that an AD is warranted means that the original design no longer achieves the level of safety specified by those airworthiness requirements, and that other required actions are necessary, such as inspections of existing CCOCs and replacement with a one-piece CCOC. Because the original level of safety was

already determined to be cost beneficial, these additional requirements needed to return the engine to that level of safety do not add any additional regulatory burden, and, therefore, a full cost analysis would be redundant and unnecessary.

SB Publication Date vs. Effective Date of This AD

The same commenter expresses confusion as to how to compute the compliance intervals of this AD; specifically, if the effective date of the AD should be used vs. the publication date of the SB for a compliance baseline. The FAA concurs. For the purpose of this AD, all baseline compliance times should be calculated based upon the effective date of this AD. The FAA has added an explanatory paragraph (c) to this final rule to explicitly address this issue.

On-Wing Rejection of CCOC and Replacement of CCOC

The same commenter states that there is no clear direction as to the time interval between an on-wing rejection and the subsequent removal of the CCOC. The FAA concurs. After an on-wing rejection, the CCOC must be removed prior to further flight. The FAA has added explicit phrasing to each inspection paragraph of this final rule to indicate that there is no operating interval between an on-wing rejection and the subsequent removal of the CCOC.

Concurrence

One commenter supports the rule as proposed.

New Revision to Service Bulletin (SB)

Since publication of the NPRM, PW has issued Revision 2 to SB No. 6291, dated August 27, 1999. The original version of PW SB No. 6291, dated May 20, 1997, Revision 1, dated July 9, 1997, or Revision 2, dated August 27, 1999, are all acceptable for performing the terminating action of installing a one-piece machined CCOC assembly, part number (P/N) 815556, as stated in paragraph (d) of this final rule.

Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as with the changes described previously. The FAA has determined that these changes will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

Economic Analysis

There are approximately 2,624 engines of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 1,280 engines installed on aircraft of U.S. registry will be affected by this proposed AD, that it will take approximately 2.5 work hours per engine to accomplish the proposed inspections and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts will cost approximately \$42,320 per engine. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$54,361,600.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order (E.O.) 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under E.O. 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

99-26-06 Pratt & Whitney: Amendment 39-11465. Docket 99-NE-32-AD.

Applicability: Pratt & Whitney (PW) JT8D-209, -217, -217A, -217C, and -219 series turbofan engines with combustion chamber outer case (CCOC), part numbers (P/Ns) 5000238-01, 797707, 807684, and 815830 installed. These engines are installed on but not limited to McDonnell Douglas MD-80 series airplanes.

Note 1: This airworthiness directive (AD) applies to each engine identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For engines that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (f) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent CCOC cracks, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the airplane, accomplish the following:

Inspections

(a) Perform initial and repetitive fluorescent magnetic particle inspections (FMPI) or fluorescent penetrant inspections (FPI) of drain bosses and Ps4 bosses of the CCOC for cracks, and, if necessary, replace with serviceable parts prior to further flight, in accordance with the procedures and intervals specified in paragraph 1.A. of the Accomplishment Instructions of PW Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) No. A6359, Revision 1, dated July 30, 1999.

(b) For CCOCs listed by serial number (S/N) in Table 3 of PW ASB No. A6359, Revision 1, dated July 30, 1999, inspect for proper Ps4 and drain boss material, and, if necessary, replace with serviceable parts prior to further flight, in accordance with the procedures and intervals specified in paragraph 1.B. of the Accomplishment Instructions of PW ASB No. A6359, Revision 1, dated July 30, 1999.

Effective Date for Computing Compliance Intervals

(c) For the purpose of this AD, use the effective date of this AD for computing compliance intervals whenever PW ASB No. A6359, Revision 1, dated July 30, 1999, refers to the publication date of the ASB.

Terminating Action

(d) At the next part accessibility after the effective date of this AD when the CCOC has accumulated cycles-in-service greater than the initial inspection threshold specified in

table 1 of PW ASB A6359, Revision 1, dated July 30, 1999, replace the CCOC with a one-piece machined CCOC assembly, part number (P/N) 815556, in accordance with PW Service Bulletin (SB) No. 6291, dated May 20, 1997, or Revision 1 dated July 9, 1997, or Revision 2, dated August 27, 1999. Installation of an improved, one-piece CCOC, P/N 815556, constitutes terminating action to the inspections required by this AD.

Definition

(e) For the purpose of this AD, part accessibility is defined as an engine disassembly in which the CCOC is removed from the engine.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(f) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office. Operators shall submit their request through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Engine Certification Office.

(g) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the aircraft to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Incorporation by Reference

(h) The actions required by this AD shall be done in accordance with PW ASB No. A6359, Revision 1, dated July 30, 1999, and PW SB No. 6291, dated May 20, 1997, Revision 1, dated July 9, 1997, and Revision 2, dated August 27, 1999. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Pratt & Whitney, 400 Main St., East Hartford, CT 06108; telephone (860) 565-8770, fax (860) 565-4503. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

(i) This amendment becomes effective on February 22, 2000.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on December 8, 1999.

David A. Downey,

Assistant Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 99-32506 Filed 12-20-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-NM-248-AD; Amendment 39-11475; AD 99-26-15]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300 B2 and B4 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Airbus Model A300 B2 and B4 series airplanes, that requires repetitive inspections to detect cracking of the inner skin panel of the longitudinal lap joint; and repair, or modification and new repetitive inspections, if necessary. This amendment is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by this AD are intended to detect and correct stress corrosion cracking of the inner skin panel of the longitudinal lap joint, which could result in rapid depressurization of the airplane.

DATES: Effective January 25, 2000.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of January 25, 2000.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2110; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Airbus Model A300 B2 and B4 series airplanes was published in the **Federal Register** on October 21, 1999 (64 FR 56712). That

action proposed to require repetitive inspections to detect cracking of the inner skin panel of the longitudinal lap joint; and repair, or modification and new repetitive inspections, if necessary.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were submitted in response to the proposal or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

Conclusion

The FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 3 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 4 work hours per airplane to accomplish the required eddy current inspection (either internal or external), and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$720, or \$240 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.