

Manufacturer/exporter	Period	Margin (percent)
Yieh Hsing .....	5/1/97-4/30/98	1.40
KHC .....	5/1/97-4/30/98	14.08
Yun Din .....	5/1/97-4/30/98	14.08
Yieh Loong .....	5/1/97-4/30/98	14.08

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department shall issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service. For assessment purposes, we have calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates for the merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of sales examined. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Taiwan entered, or withdrawn from the warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of these administrative reviews, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) For the companies named above, the cash deposit rates will be the rates listed above; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation or a previous review, the cash deposit will continue to be the most recent rate published in the final determination or final results for which the manufacturer or exporter received a company-specific rate; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the manufacturer of the merchandise in the final results of these reviews or the LTFV investigation; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous reviews or the original fair value investigation, the cash deposit rate will be 9.7%, the "all others" rate established in the LTFV investigation.

This notice also serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during these review periods. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent

assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with sections 351.305 and 351.306 of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: December 6, 1999.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 99-32228 Filed 12-10-99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-859-801]

#### **Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Antidumping Duty Determination: Certain Cold-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products From Slovakia**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 13, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** LaVonne Jackson, Doug Campau, or Abdelali Elouaradia, Office V, DAS Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3003, 482-1784, or (202) 482-0498, respectively.

**POSTPONEMENT OF PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is postponing the preliminary determination in the antidumping duty

investigation of cold-rolled, flat-rolled, carbon-quality steel products from Slovakia. The deadline for issuing the preliminary determination in this investigation is now December 28, 1999.

On June 21, 1999, the Department initiated an antidumping investigation of cold-rolled, flat-rolled, carbon-quality steel products from Slovakia. *See Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Certain Cold-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products from Argentina, Brazil, the People's Republic of China, Indonesia, Japan, the Russian Federation, Slovakia, South Africa, Taiwan, Thailand, Turkey, and Venezuela*, 64 FR 34194 (June 25, 1999). The notice stated that the Department would issue its preliminary determinations no later than 140 days after the date of initiation (*i.e.*, November 8, 1999). The Department issued preliminary determinations in the cases involving Argentina, Brazil, Japan, the Russian Federation, South Africa, Thailand and Venezuela on November 1, 1999. On November 5, 1999, the Department postponed the deadline for the preliminary determinations for the cases involving Taiwan, Indonesia, China and Turkey until December 8, 1999.

On October 13, 1999, pursuant to section 771(18)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department revoked the non-market economy status of Slovakia. As a result, the Department discontinued the use of its non-market economy methodology in this investigation, and has proceeded using its market economy methodology. On October 19, 1999, in accordance with section 773(c)(1)(B) of the Act, the Department concluded that this case is extraordinarily complicated. Consequently, the Department postponed the date of the preliminary determination in this investigation until December 8, 1999. *See Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Antidumping Duty Determination: Certain Cold-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products from Slovakia*, 64 FR 57482 (October 27, 1999). On November 10, 1999, the Department initiated a below-cost sales investigation, requiring the acquisition and analysis of additional complex data. Consequently, the Department has concluded that additional time is

necessary to issue the preliminary determination. Therefore, in light of the fact that parties to this proceeding have been cooperating, pursuant to section 733(c)(1) of the Act, the Department is postponing the deadline for issuing this determination until December 28, 1999.

This extension is in accordance with section 733(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(2).

Dated: December 6, 1999.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 99-32103 Filed 12-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-812]

#### Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors From Korea

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with 19 CFR 351.216, Micron Technology Inc. ("Micron"), a U.S. producer of dynamic random access memory semiconductors ("DRAMs") and the petitioner in the less-than-fair-value ("LTFV") investigation of DRAMs from Korea, requested a changed circumstances review pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). In response to this request, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is initiating a changed circumstances review on DRAMs from Korea.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 13, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ron Trentham or Tom Futtner, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group II, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6320 or (202) 482-3814, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise stated, all citations to the Act are references to the provisions as of January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made

to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all references to the regulations of the Department are to 19 CFR part 351 (1998).

#### Background

On May 10, 1993, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (58 FR 27250) the antidumping duty order on DRAMs from Korea. On November 12, 1999, Micron submitted a letter stating that LG Semicon Co., Ltd., ("LG Semicon") and Hyundai Electronics Industries Co., Ltd., ("Hyundai"), two Korean DRAMs producers, merged on October 14, 1999, thus creating a new business entity—Hyundai MicroElectronics Co., Ltd. Micron further states that since both DRAM producers are subject to the DRAM antidumping duty order, the newly established entity should receive a blended cash deposit based on the weighed average dumping margins that the Department will establish for each of the respondents in the impending final results of the 1997–1998 (fifth) administrative review of the order.

In its November 12, 1999 letter, the petitioner also requested that the Department issue the final results of the changed circumstances review on an expedited schedule, to coincide with release of the final results of the fifth administrative review of the order.

#### Scope of Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of DRAMs from Korea. Included in the scope are assembled and unassembled DRAMs. Assembled DRAMs include all package types. Unassembled DRAMs include processed wafers, uncut die, and cut die. Processed wafers produced in Korea, but packaged or assembled into memory modules in a third country, are included in the scope; wafers produced in a third country and assembled or packaged in Korea are not included in the scope.

The scope of this review includes memory modules. A memory module is a collection of DRAMs, the sole function of which is memory. Modules include single in-line processing modules ("SIPs"), single in-line memory modules ("SIMMs"), or other collections of DRAMs, whether unmounted or mounted on a circuit board. Modules that contain other parts that are needed to support the function of memory are covered. Only those modules which contain additional items which alter the function of the module to something other than memory, such as video graphics adapter ("VGA") boards and cards, are not included in the scope.

The scope of this review also includes video random access memory semiconductors ("VRAMS"), as well as any future packaging and assembling of DRAMs; and, removable memory modules placed on motherboards, with or without a central processing unit ("CPU"), unless the importer of motherboards certifies with the Customs Service that neither it nor a party related to it or under contract to it will remove the modules from the motherboards after importation. The scope of this review does not include DRAMs or memory modules that are reimported for repair or replacement.

The DRAMS and modules subject to this review are currently classifiable under subheadings 8471.50.0085, 8471.91.8085, 8542.11.0024, 8542.11.8026, 8542.13.8034, 8471.50.4000, 8473.30.1000, 8542.11.0026, 8542.11.8034, 8471.50.8095, 8473.30.4000, 8542.11.0034, 8542.13.8005, 8471.91.0090, 8473.30.8000, 8542.11.8001, 8542.13.8024, 8471.91.4000, 8542.11.0001, 8542.11.8024 and 8542.13.8026 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the scope of this review remains dispositive.

#### Initiation of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Review

In accordance with section 751(b) of the Act, the Department is initiating a changed circumstances review to determine whether Hyundai MicroElectronics Co., Ltd., is the successor-in-interest to LG Semicon and Hyundai for purposes of determining antidumping duty liability. In making such a successor-in-interest determination, the Department typically examines several factors including, but not limited to, changes in: (1) Management; (2) production facilities; (3) supplier relationships; and (4) customer base. See *Brass Sheet and Strip from Canada: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review*, 57 FR 20460 (May 13, 1992) ("Canadian Brass"). While no one or a combination of these factors will necessarily provide a dispositive indication, the Department will generally consider the new company to be the successor to a previous company if its resulting operation is not materially dissimilar to that of its predecessor. See *Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 59 FR 6944 (February 14, 1994) and *Canadian*