

type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in part 5 of the service bulletin described previously.

Operators should note that, although British Aerospace Service Bulletin ATP-30-052, Revision 1, specifies five parts, only Part 5 would be required by this proposed AD. The FAA has initiated separate rulemaking action (reference Rules Docket 99-NM-201-AD and British Aerospace Service Bulletin ATP-30-056, dated June 11, 1999), which would require accomplishment of the actions specified in Service Bulletin ATP-30-056; such accomplishment would then eliminate the need to accomplish parts 1 through 4 of Service Bulletin ATP-30-052, Revision 1.

#### Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 10 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 2 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed inspection, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$1,200, or \$120 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

#### Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket.

A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

#### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

##### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

##### British Aerospace Regional Aircraft

[Formerly Jetstream Aircraft Limited; British Aerospace (Commercial Aircraft) Limited]; Docket 99-NM-344-AD.

**Applicability:** All BAe Model ATP airplanes, certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the engine intake de-icing system, which could result in loss of engine intake de-icing capability, accretion of ice in the intake duct, ice ingestion, and consequent engine flameout, accomplish the following:

##### One-Time Inspection

(a) Within 3 months after the effective date of this AD: Perform a one-time detailed visual inspection to detect incorrect installation or discrepancies (damage, bending, overheating, discoloration) of the circuit breaker and the cable terminations of the circuit breaker of the engine de-ice panel, in accordance with Part 5 of the Accomplishment Instructions of British Aerospace Service Bulletin ATP-30-52, Revision 1, dated June 12, 1998. If any incorrect installation or discrepancy is

detected, prior to further flight, repair it in accordance with the service bulletin.

**Note 2:** For the purposes of this AD, a detailed visual inspection is defined as: "An intensive visual examination of a specific structural area, system, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at intensity deemed appropriate by the inspector. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be used. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required."

#### Alternative Methods of Compliance

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116.

**Note 3:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

#### Special Flight Permits

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 4:** The subject of this AD is addressed in British airworthiness directive 007-01-98.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on December 1, 1999.

**D.L. Riggins,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*  
[FR Doc. 99-31677 Filed 12-6-99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-U**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-73-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 727 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Boeing Model 727 series airplanes. This proposal would require a one-time

detailed visual inspection of the fuselage skin and bonded doubler area above the forward entry doorway to detect fatigue cracking or the existence of certain repairs, and follow-on corrective actions, if necessary. This action also would require a preventive modification or full-sized repair doubler, as applicable. This proposal is prompted by reports of fatigue cracking in the fuselage skin and bonded doublers in the forward and aft corners above the forward entry doorway. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent such fatigue cracking of the fuselage skin and bonded doubler, which could result in reduced structural integrity and consequent loss of cabin pressurization.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by January 21, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-73-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Walter Sippel, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2774; fax (425) 227-1181.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of

the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 99-NM-73-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

##### **Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-73-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

##### **Discussion**

On February 18, 1994, the FAA issued AD 94-05-04, amendment 39-8842 (59 FR 13442, March 22, 1994), applicable to certain Boeing Model 727 series airplanes, to require incorporation of certain structural modifications. That action was prompted by an evaluation by the Model 727 Structures Working Group, comprised of aircraft operators, manufacturers, and the FAA. This Working Group evaluated Boeing service bulletins that must be included as part of the "Aging Airplane Structural Modification Program." The actions specified by that AD are intended to prevent degradation in the structural capabilities of the affected airplanes. Those actions also reflect the FAA's decision that long-term continued operational safety should be assured by actual modification of the airframe rather than repetitive inspections.

Since the issuance of AD 94-05-04, the FAA has determined that additional action (specified by Boeing Service Bulletin 727-53-0186, Revision 1, dated May 21, 1992) is required to include certain airplanes that are excluded in the applicability of that AD. Because AD 94-05-04 only requires modification of the airplane structure if no cracking is detected in the fuselage skin and bonded doubler area above the forward entry doorway, some airplanes do not have the full-sized repair doubler installed. In addition, there is no mandatory requirement to inspect airplanes on which the half- or full-sized repair doubler has been installed to repair any crack that exceeds 2.5

inches or is located in the bear strap. Such conditions, if not corrected, could result in a degradation in the structural capabilities of the affected airplanes. In light of this, the FAA has determined that corrective action is required for airplanes on which only a half-sized repair doubler has been accomplished, or on which either a half- or full-size repair doubler is found and any crack exceeds 2.5 inches or is located in the bear strap. Accomplishment of the inspection and corrective actions required by this AD is intended to ensure the structural integrity of such airplanes, and to reduce the extent of crack propagation (i.e., not to exceed 2.5 inches) in the fuselage skin and bonded doublers above the upper area of the doorway. Such action also reflects the FAA's decision that long-term continued operational safety would be better assured by modification of the airframe, rather than by repetitive inspections.

##### **Explanation of Relevant Service Information**

The FAA has reviewed and approved Boeing Service Bulletin 727-53-0186, Revision 1, dated May 21, 1992, which describes procedures for repetitive close (detailed) visual inspections to detect cracking of the fuselage skin and bonded doubler area above the forward entry doorway. Among other things, this service bulletin also describes procedures for the accomplishment of either a preventive modification (fabricating and installing a preventive modification doubler) or a full-sized repair doubler of the upper area of the doorway skin.

##### **Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule**

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin described previously, except as discussed below.

##### **Differences Between the Service Information and the Proposed AD**

Operators should note that the service bulletin recommends a visual inspection for cracks "at 40,000 cycles" for airplanes that have not yet accumulated 40,000 total flight cycles, and an inspection "within 3,000 flight cycles" for airplanes that have accumulated more than 40,000 total flight cycles. The service bulletin also recommends a repetitive inspection interval of 3,000 flight cycles, and accomplishment of either a preventive

modification or a full-sized repair doubler prior to the accumulation of 60,000 total flight cycles. However, paragraph (a) of this proposed AD requires accomplishment of a one-time detailed visual inspection and either the preventive modification or full-sized repair doubler "prior to the accumulation of 60,000 total flight cycles." This proposed AD does not require repetitive inspections prior to modification or repair. The FAA points out that cracks in the fuselage skin and bonded doubler area above the forward entry doorway have not been found to be a safety factor prior to the accumulation of 60,000 total flight cycles. Therefore, the FAA considers that accomplishment of the actions required by paragraph (a) of this proposed AD would provide an adequate level of operational safety.

Operators also should note that the service bulletin specifies three repair options, which include a procedure for installing a half-sized repair doubler in the forward corner or aft area of the doorway skin. However, this proposed AD requires installing a full-sized repair doubler rather than a half-sized repair doubler.

Operators also should note that the service bulletin specifies contacting the manufacturer if any repair was previously accomplished for cracking that exceeded 2.5 inches, or if a crack was repaired in the bear strap. However, this proposed AD requires that such repairs be accomplished in accordance with a method approved by the FAA, or in accordance with data meeting the type certification basis of the airplane approved by a Boeing Company Designated Engineering Representative who has been authorized by the FAA to make such findings.

Operators also should note that, although the service bulletin specifies a "close visual inspection," this proposed AD requires a "detailed visual inspection."

#### Other Relevant Rulemaking

Accomplishment of certain actions required by this proposed AD would constitute terminating action for the requirements specified in paragraph (a) of AD 94-05-04 with respect to the modification specified in Boeing Service Bulletin 727-53-0186, dated April 27, 1989. This service bulletin is one of many service bulletins referenced in Boeing Document D6-54860, Revision G, Appendix A.3, dated March 5, 1993. All other service bulletins referenced in that document still apply.

#### Cost Impact

There are approximately 1,429 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 887 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD.

The FAA estimates that it would take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the inspection of the fuselage skin and bonded doubler area, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$53,220, or \$60 per airplane.

The FAA estimates that it would take approximately 27 work hours per airplane to accomplish the preventive modification or full-sized repair doubler, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$979 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$2,305,313, or \$2,599 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

#### Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

#### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

##### **§ 39.13 [Amended]**

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**Boeing:** Docket 99-NM-73-AD.

*Applicability:* All Model 727 series airplanes, certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

*Compliance:* Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent fatigue cracking of the fuselage skin and bonded doubler area above the forward entry doorway, which could result in reduced structural integrity and consequent loss of cabin pressurization, accomplish the following:

#### Detailed Visual Inspection

(a) Prior to the accumulation of 60,000 total flight cycles, or within 3,000 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later: Perform a one-time detailed visual inspection of the fuselage skin and bonded doubler area above the forward entry doorway to detect fatigue cracking or the existence of a previous repair, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 727-53-0186, Revision 1, dated May 21, 1992.

#### Corrective Action

(1) If no crack or repair is detected, prior to further flight, perform the preventive modification in accordance with the service bulletin. No further action is required by this AD.

(2) If any crack but no repair is detected, prior to further flight, accomplish the actions required by paragraph (a)(2)(i), (a)(2)(ii), or (a)(2)(iii), as applicable.

(i) If any crack is less than or equal to 2.5 inches, perform the full-sized repair doubler in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin

727-53-0186, Revision 1, dated May 21, 1992. Accomplishment of this action constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

(ii) If any crack exceeds 2.5 inches, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate; or in accordance with data meeting the type certification basis of the airplane approved by a Boeing Company Designated Engineering Representative (DER) who has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make such findings. For a repair method to be approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO, or the Boeing DER, as required by this paragraph, the approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(iii) If any crack in the bear strap is detected, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate; or in accordance with data meeting the type certification basis of the airplane approved by a Boeing Company DER who has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make such findings. For a repair method to be approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO, or the Boeing DER, as required by this paragraph, the approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(3) If any repair is found, accomplish paragraph (a)(3)(i), (a)(3)(ii), or (a)(3)(iii), of this AD, as applicable.

(i) If a full-sized repair doubler is found, as specified by Boeing Service Bulletin 727-53-0186, dated April 27, 1989, or Revision 1, dated May 21, 1992, and any crack is less than or equal to 2.5 inches, no further action is required by this AD.

(ii) If a half-sized repair doubler is found, as specified by Boeing Service Bulletin 727-53-0186, dated April 27, 1989, or Revision 1, dated May 21, 1992, and any crack is less than or equal to 2.5 inches and is not in the bear strap: Prior to further flight, perform the full-sized repair doubler in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 727-53-0186, Revision 1, dated May 21, 1992. No further action is required by this AD.

(iii) If a half-sized or full-sized repair doubler is found, as specified by the service bulletin, and any crack exceeds 2.5 inches or is located in the bear strap: Prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate; or in accordance with data meeting the type certification basis of the airplane approved by a Boeing Company DER who has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make such findings. For a repair method to be approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO, or the Boeing DER, as required by this paragraph, the approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

**Note 2:** For the purposes of this AD, a detailed visual inspection is defined as: "An intensive visual examination of a specific structural area, system, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at an intensity deemed appropriate

by the inspector. Inspection aids such as mirrors, magnifying lenses, etc. may be used. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required."

#### Terminating Action for AD 94-05-04

(b) Accomplishment of the requirements of this AD constitutes terminating action for the requirements of paragraph (a) of AD 94-05-04, amendment 39-8842 (which are required to be accomplished in accordance with Appendices A.3, B.3, and C.3 of Boeing Document Number D6-54860, "Aging Airplane Service Bulletin Structural Modification and Inspection Program—Model 727," Revision G, dated March 5, 1993), with respect to the modification specified in Boeing Service Bulletin 727-53-0186, dated April 27, 1989. All other service bulletins referenced in Boeing Document Number D6-54860 still apply.

#### Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

**Note 3:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

#### Special Flight Permits

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**D.L. Riffin,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 99-31680 Filed 12-6-99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-U**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-339-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A319, A320, and A321 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Airbus Model A319, A320, and A321 series airplanes. This proposal would require modification of the

forward and aft evacuation slide systems by replacing the Velcro restraints for the support logs with frangible link restraints. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent the ingestion of sill support-log material into the aspirator of the escape slide, which could result in failure of the escape slide to inflate.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by January 6, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-339-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2110; fax (425) 227-1149.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this