# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 217-0192; FRL-6480-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, South Coast Air Quality Management District and Ventura County Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is finalizing the approval of revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) proposed in the Federal Register on September 22, 1999. The revisions concern rules from the following districts: South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) and the Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD). This approval action will incorporate these rules into the federally approved SIP. The intended effect of approving these rules is to regulate emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act. as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). The revised rules control the sulfur content of fuels. Thus, EPA is finalizing the approval of these revisions into the California SIP under provisions of the CAA regarding EPA action on SIP submittals and SIPs for national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This action is effective on January 3, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report for each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are available for inspection at the following locations:

Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Dr., Diamond Bar, CA 91765–4182.

Ventura County APCD, 669 County Square Dr., 2nd Fl., Ventura, CA 93003–5417. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stanley Tong, Rulemaking Office, (AIR–

Stanley Tong, Rulemaking Office, (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, Telephone: (415) 744–1191.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Applicability

The rules being approved into the California SIP include: South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule 431.1, Sulfur Content of Gaseous Fuels and Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD) Rule 64, Sulfur Content of Fuels. These rules were submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to EPA on September 29, 1998 and June 3, 1999 respectively.

#### II. Background

On September 22, 1999 in 64 FR 51278, EPA proposed to approve the following rules into the California SIP: SCAQMD's Rule 431.1, Sulfur Content of Gaseous Fuels and VCAPCD's Rule 64, Sulfur Content of Fuels. Rule 431.1 was adopted by the SCAQMD on June 12, 1998. On September 29, 1998, this rule was submitted by the CARB to EPA. Rule 64 was adopted by the VCAPCD on April 13, 1999. On June 3, 1999, this rule was submitted by the CARB to EPA. VCAPCD Rule 64 was submitted in response to a limited approval/limited disapproval EPA published on January 15, 1999 in 64 FR 2575 for an earlier version of the rule. Both SCAQMD and VCAPCD are in attainment for the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for SO<sub>2</sub>. A detailed discussion of the background for each of the above rules is provided in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) cited above.

EPA has evaluated both of the above rules for consistency with the requirements of the CAA and EPA regulations and EPA interpretation of these requirements as expressed in the various EPA policy guidance documents referenced in the NPRM cited above. EPA has found that the rules meet the applicable EPA requirements. A detailed discussion of the rule provisions and evaluations has been provided in 64 FR 51278 and in the technical support document (TSD) available at EPA's Region IX office (TSD dated 8/23/99).

## III. Response to Public Comments

A 30-day public comment period was provided in 64 FR 51278. EPA received no comments on these rules.

### **IV. EPA Action**

EPA is finalizing action to approve the above rules for inclusion into the California SIP. EPA is approving the submittal under section 110(k)(3) as meeting the requirements of section 110(a) of the CAA. This approval action will incorporate these rules into the federally approved SIP. The intended effect of approving these rules is to regulate emissions of  $SO_2$  in accordance with the requirements of the CAA.

## V. Administrative Requirements

#### A. Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this regulatory action from Executive Order 12866, entitled "Regulatory Planning and Review."

### B. Executive Order 13132

Federalism (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999) revokes and replaces Executive Orders 12612, Federalism and 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership. Executive Order 13132 requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure "meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications." "Policies that have federalism implications" is defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have "substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government." Under Executive Order 13132, EPA may not issue a regulation that has federalism implications, that imposes substantial direct compliance costs, and that is not required by statute, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by State and local governments, or EPA consults with State and local officials early in the process of developing the proposed regulation. EPA also may not issue a regulation that has federalism implications and that preempts State law unless the Agency consults with State and local officials early in the process of developing the proposed regulation.

This final rule will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132. Thus, the requirements of section 6 of the

Executive Order do not apply to this rule.

#### C. Executive Order 13045

Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), applies to any rule that: (1) Is determined to be "economically significant" as defined under Executive Order 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children, and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

This rule is not subject to Executive Order 13045 because it does not involve decisions intended to mitigate environmental health or safety risks.

### D. Executive Order 13084

Under Executive Order 13084, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly affects or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments. If the mandate is unfunded, EPA must provide to the Office of Management and Budget, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation.

In addition, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected and other representatives of Indian tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities." Today's rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. Accordingly, the requirements of section 3(b) of Executive Order 13084 do not apply to this rule.

## E. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and small governmental jurisdictions.

This final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not create any new requirements, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the Clean Air Act, preparation of flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. *Union Electric Co.*, v. *U.S. EPA*, 427 U.S. 246, 255–66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

## F. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no

additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

# G. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This rule is not a "major" rule as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

### H. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12 of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) of 1995 requires Federal agencies to evaluate existing technical standards when developing a new regulation. To comply with NTTAA, EPA must consider and use "voluntary consensus standards" (VCS) if available and applicable when developing programs and policies unless doing so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical.

The EPA believes that VCS are inapplicable to this action. Today's action does not require the public to perform activities conducive to the use of VCS.

## I. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by February 1, 2000. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference,

Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur Oxides.

Dated: November 9, 1999.

#### Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

## PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

#### Subpart F—California

2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(264)(i)(C) and (266)(i)(A)(2).

## § 52.220 Identification of Plan.

(c) \* \* \* (264) \* \* \* (i) \* \* \*

(C) Ventura County Air Pollution Control District.

(1) Rule 64, adopted on April 13, 1999.

\* \* \* \* \* \* (266) \* \* \* (i) \* \* \* (A) \* \* \*

(2) Rule 431.1, adopted on November 4, 1997 and amended on June 12, 1998.

[FR Doc. 99–31212 Filed 12–2–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[AD-FRL-6500-2]

RIN 2060-A137

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Ethylene Oxide Commercial Sterilization and Fumigation Operations

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Interim final rule.

SUMMARY: Today's action suspends the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Ethylene Oxide Commercial Sterilization and Fumigation Operations (EO NESHAP) requirements for chamber exhaust and aeration room vents. The suspension allows affected sources subject to the EO NESHAP to defer compliance with the NESHAP requirements for chamber

exhaust until December 6, 2001 and aeration room vents until December 6, 2000. This suspension does not affect the requirement for sources subject to the EO NESHAP to comply with provisions for sterilizer vents. This action does not change the level of the standards or the intent of the NESHAP promulgated in 1994.

**DATES:** This action is effective December 3, 1999. Comments may be submitted until January 3, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Docket No. A–88–03, category VIII Amendments, contains supporting information used in developing the standards. The docket is located at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460 in room M–1500, Waterside Mall (ground floor), and may be inspected from 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. This docket also contains information considered by the EPA in proposing and promulgating the original EO NESHAP.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information concerning the analysis performed in developing this interim rule, contact David W. Markwordt at the Emission Standards Division (MD–13), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711; telephone number (919) 541–0837, facsimile (919) 541–0942, e-mail address markwordt.david@epa.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Docket

The docket is an organized file of information considered by the EPA in the development of this rulemaking. The docket is a dynamic file because material is added throughout the rulemaking process. The docketing system is intended to allow members of the public and industries involved to readily identify and locate documents so that they can effectively participate in the rulemaking process. Along with the proposed and promulgated standards and their preambles, the contents of the docket will serve as the record in the case of judicial review. (See section 307(d)(7)(A) of the Clean Air Act (Act).) The regulatory text and other materials related to this rulemaking are available for review in the docket or copies may be mailed on request from the Air Docket by calling (202) 260–7548. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying docket materials.

## **Judicial Review**

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act (Act), judicial review of this final action is available only by filing a petition for review in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit within 60 days of today's publication of this interim final rule. Under section 307(b)(2) of the Act, the actions taken in today's notice may not be challenged later in civil or criminal proceedings brought by the EPA to enforce these requirements.

## **Technology Transfer Network**

In addition to being available in the docket, an electronic copy of today's interim final rule is also available through the Technology Transfer Network (TTN). Following signature, a copy of the rule will be posted on the TTN's policy and guidance page for newly proposed or promulgated rules http://www.epa.gov/ttn/oarpg. The TTN provides information and technology exchange in various areas of air pollution control. If more information regarding the TTN is needed, call the TTN HELP line at (919) 541–5384.

### **Regulated Entities**

Regulated categories and entities include:

TABLE 1.—REGULATED CATEGORIES AND ENTITIES

Entity category	Description/SIC code
Industrial  Federal Government State/Local/Tribal Gov	Medical suppliers/ 3841, 3842, Phar- maceuticals/2834, 5122, 2831, 2833. Spice manufactures/ 2099, 5149, 2034, 2035, 2046. Contract Sterilizers/ 7399, 7218, 8091. Not Affected.

This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities regulated by the NESHAP addressed in this interim final rule. If you have questions regarding the applicability of the NESHAP addressed in this interim final rule to a particular entity, consult the person listed in the preceding FOR FURTHER INFORMATION section.

# I. What Is the Background for This Suspension?

On December 6, 1994, we promulgated the EO NESHAP which regulates emissions of ethylene oxide from new and existing commercial sterilization and fumigation operations using 1 ton or more of EO per year (59 FR 62585). The regulated category and entities affected by today's action are the sources described in 40 CFR 63.360. That provision includes commercial operations using ethylene oxide as a