# The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (1998).

# **Background**

On August 23, 1999, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 45949) the preliminary results of the review of this order. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. We received no comments. In the preliminary results, we determined the weighted-average dumping margin for the period January 1, 1998, through December 31, 1998, to be 60.0 percent. The Department has now completed the administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

# Scope of Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of ASM, a crystallized silicate which is alkaline and readily soluble in water. Applications include waste paper de-inking, ore-flotation, bleach stabilization, clay processing, medium or heavy duty cleaning, and compounding into other detergent formulations. This merchandise is classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedules (HTS) item numbers 2839.11.00 and 2839.19.00. The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

#### Final Results of the Review

Because we received no comments from interested parties, we have determined that no changes to the preliminary results are warranted for purposes of these final results. The weighted-average dumping margin for the period January 1, 1998, through December 31, 1998, is as follows:

Company	Margin (percent)
Rhone-Poulenc, S.A	60.0

The Department will issue appraisement instructions for Rhone-Poulenc merchandise directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit rates will be effective upon publication of these final results for all shipments of

the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Rhone-Poulenc, S.A., will be the rate listed above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) for all other producers and/or exporters of this merchandise, the cash deposit rate shall be 60.0 percent, the "all others" rate established in the LTFV investigation (45 FR 77498, November 24, 1980). This deposit rate, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 19, 1999.

# Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99–31096 Filed 11–29–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

International Trade Administration

[A-583-826]

### Collated Roofing Nails From Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On August 10, 1999, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on collated roofing nails from Taiwan (64 FR 4334). This review covers Dinsen Fastening System, Inc, a manufacturer/ exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States, and the period November 20, 1997, through October 31, 1998. We conducted a verification of Dinsen Fastening System, Inc.'s antidumping duty questionnaire responses and gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. No parties filed comments on the preliminary results. We have revised our margin calculation to correct an error in the verification report that was brought to our attention by the respondent on July 30, 1999. However, the correction did not change the final margin results from the preliminary margin results. The final results are listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 30, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mary Jenkins or Katherine Johnson,
Office 2, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I,
Import Administration, Room B099,
International Trade Administration,
U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th
Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W.,
Washington D.C. 20230; telephone (202)
482–1756, or 482–4929, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

On August 10, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the 1997–1998 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on collated roofing nails from Taiwan (64 FR 43344) (*Preliminary Results*). We conducted verification of Dinsen Fastening System, Inc's, ("Dinsen") antidumping duty questionnaire responses from June 1, 1999, through June 4, 1999, and issued

our report on July 6, 1999 (see Memorandum to the File: Sales and Cost of Production Verification). On July 30, 1999, Dinsen ("the respondent"), informed the Department of an error made with respect to Dinsen's threading cost for one control number in connection with the Department's verification of its sales and cost questionnaire response. While this submission was received too late to be analyzed for the preliminary results, we have considered it for purposes of these final results.

The Department has now completed its administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

### **Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Act are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made

to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 (1998).

#### Scope of the Review

The product covered by this review is collated roofing nails made of steel, having a length of  $^{13}/_{16}$  inch to  $1^{13}/_{16}$  inches (or 20.64 to 46.04 millimeters), a head diameter of 0.330 inch to 0.415 inch (or 8.38 to 10.54 millimeters), and a shank diameter of 0.100 inch to 0.125 inch (or 2.54 to 3.18 millimeters), whether or not galvanized, that are collated with two wires.

Collated roofing nails within the scope of this investigation are classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheading 7317.00.55.06. Although the HTSUS subheading is

provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this review is dispositive.

# **Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

We made the following change since the preliminary results: We deleted the adjustment made to Dinsen's threading cost for one control number to correct the per-kilogram threading cost, as described in Dinsen's July 30, 1999, submission.

# **Interested Party Comments**

Interested parties did not file briefs in this review.

#### **Final Results of the Review**

As a result of this review, we have determined that the following margin exists for the period November 20, 1997, through October 31, 1998:

Manufacturer/exporter	Period	Margin (percent)
Dinsen Fastening System, Inc.	11/20/97–10/31/98	0.02 percent (de minimis).

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Because the respondent was unable to report importer-specific data, as facts available, we have calculated assessment rates based on the identity of the trading company involved in the sales transaction. We have calculated an assessment rate, based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total quantity of those same sales. This rate will be assessed uniformly on all entries of that particular trading company made during the period of review ("POR"). The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties all entries of the subject merchandise during the POR for which the trading-company-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis (i.e., less than 0.50 percent).

Further, the following deposit requirements shall be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise from Taiwan that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the

cash deposit rate for Dinsen will be zero; (2) for previously investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters of this merchandise will continue to be 2.98 percent, the all others rate established in the final determination of the less-than-fair-value investigation (52 FR 61729, November 19, 1997). The deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulation and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221.

Dated: November 19, 1999.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99–31099 Filed 11–29–99; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING$  CODE 3510–DS–P

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>On September 2, 1999, the Department made a final scope ruling that the scope of the antidumping

duty orders on collated roofing nails from Taiwan  $\,$ 

and the People's Republic of China do not include stainless steel collated roofing nails.