Second St. over Swartz Creek, Flint, 99001512

Gogebic County

Main Street—Black River Bridge, (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Main St. over Black R., Bessemer Township, 99001514

Planter Road—Jackson Creek Bridge, (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Planter Rd. over Jackson Creek, Wakefield Township, 99001515

Gratiot County

Lincoln Road—Pine River Bridge, (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Lincoln Rd. over Pine R., Seville vicinity, 99001516

Houghton County

County Road C11—Pike River Bridge, (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Cty. Rd. C11 over Pike R., Chassell, 99001517

Iron County

Chicagon Mine Road—Chicagon Creek Bridge, (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Chicagon Mine Rd. over Chicagon Creek, Bates Township, 99001521

Forest Route 157—Tamarack River Bridge, (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Forest Rte. 157 over Tamarack R., Stambaugh Township, 99001520

Mansfield Road—Michigamme River Bridge, (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Mansfield Rd. over Michigamme R., Mansfield Township, 99001519

US 2—Iron River Bridge, (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), US 2 over Iron River, Iron River, 99001518

Kent County

Business Route M-21—Plaster Creek Bridge, (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Bus. Rte. M-21 over Plaster Creek, Wyoming, 99001522

Division Avenue—Plaster Creek Bridge, (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Division Ave. over Plaster Creek, Grand Rapids, 99001523

Downtown Lowell Historic District, (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Roughly along Main St. bet. Hudson and Washington, Lowell, 99001539

Keweenaw County

M 26—Cedar Creek Culvert, (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), M 26 over Cedar Creek, Eagle Harbor Township, 99001526

M26—Silver River Culvert, (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), M 26 over Silver River, Eagle Harbor Township, 99001527

US 41—Fanny Hooe Creek Bridge, (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), US 41 over Fanny Hooe Creek, Grant Township, 99001525

Mackinac County

Mackinac Trail—Carp River Bridge, (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Mackinac Trail over Carp R., St. Ignace Township, 99001528

Marquette County

County Road 557—West Branch Escanaba River Bridge (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Cty. Rd. 557 over West Branch of Escanaba R., Wells Township, 99001529

M 95 (old)—Michigamme River Bridge (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Old M 95 over Michigamme R., Republic Township, 99001531

Truck Line Bridge No. 1 (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Old US 41 over Peshekee R., Michigamme Township, 99001530

Midland County

Ball Road—Little Salt Creek Bridge (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Ball Rd. over Little Salt Creek, Jasper Township, 99001533

North Saginaw Road—Salt River Bridge (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), North Saginaw Rd. over Salt R., Jerome Township, 99001532

Oceana County

US 31—Pentwater River Bridge (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), US 31 over Pentwater R., Weare Township, 99001534

Ottawa County

Fruitport Road—Pettys Bayou Bridge (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Fruitport Rd. over Pettys Bayou, Spring Lake Township, 99001535

Presque Isle County

Ocqueoc Falls Highway—Ocqueoc River Bridge (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Ocqueoc Falls Highway over Ocqueoc R., Ocqueoc, 99001536

Saginaw County

Mower Road—Cole Drain Bridge (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Mower Rd. over Cole Drain, Spaulding Township, 99001537

Schoolcraft County

Ten Curves Road—Manistique River Bridge (Highway Bridges of Michigan MPS), Ten Curves Rd. over Manistique R., Gemfask Township, 99001538

New Jersey

Hunterdon County

Ringoes Historic District, Old York, John Ringo, Wertsville and Boss Rds., and Larison Ln., East Amwell Township, 99001544

New York

Steuben County

Mallory Mill, Pulteney St., Hammondsport, 99001545

Utah

Salt Lake County

Anderson, Alfred C. and Annie L. Olsen, House (Sandy City MPS), 8850 South 60 East, Sandy, 99001556

Anderson, Charles M. and Fannie M. Allsop, House (Sandy City MPS), 498 E. Locust St., Sandy, 99001558

Anderson, Y. Martin and Hannah Nelson, House (Sandy City MPS), 8832 South 90 East, Sandy, 99001549

Christopherson, William, House (Sandy City MPS), 8847 South 360 East, Sandy, 99001554

Mickelson, Hyrum and Mary A. Terry Peterson, House (Sandy City MPS), 8850 South 120 East, Sandy, 99001551

Ostler, John Thomas and Myrtle Bodell, House (Sandy City MPS), 589 East 8800 South, Sandy, 99001552 Pierson, Peter and Ingrid C. Larson, House (Sandy City MPS), 31 East 8680 South, Sandy, 99001548

Van Cam, Louis E. and Florence Jensen, House (Sandy City MPS), 407 East 8800 South, Sandy, 99001555

[FR Doc. 99–30431 Filed 11–22–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Bay-Delta Advisory Council Meeting; Policy Group Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation,

Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Bay-Delta Advisory Council (BDAC) will meet on December 14, 1999 to discuss CALFED governance, the water management strategy, the draft preferred alternative and implementation issues. The Policy Group will meet on December 15, 1999 to discuss CALFED water management strategy and CALFED long-term governance. These meetings are open to the public. Interested persons may make oral statements to BDAC or Policy Group, or may file written statements for consideration.

DATES: The BDAC meeting will be held from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, December 14, 1999. The Policy Group will be held from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, December 15, 1999.

ADDRESSES: The BDAC will meet at the Sterling Hotel, 1300 H Street, Sacramento, CA (916) 448–1300. The Policy Group will meet at Sacramento Convention Center, 1400 J Street, Rooms 302 and 303, Sacramento, CA, (916) 264–5291.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For the Bay-Delta Advisory Council Meeting, Eugenia Laychak, CALFED Bay-Delta Program, at (916) 657–2666. For the Policy Group Meeting, Mary Selkirk, CALFED Bay-Delta Program, at (916) 657–2666. If reasonable accommodation is needed due to a disability, please contact the Equal Employment Opportunity Office at (916) 653–6952 or TDD (916) 653–6934 at least one week prior to the meeting.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary (Bay-Delta system) is a critically important part of California's natural environment and economy. In recognition of the serious problems facing the region and the complex resource management decisions that must be made, the state of California and the Federal government are working

together to stabilize, protect, restore, and enhance the Bay-Delta system. The State and Federal agencies with management and regulatory responsibilities in the Bay-Delta system are working together as CALFED to provide policy direction and oversight for the process.

One area of Bay-Delta management includes the establishment of a joint State-Federal process to develop longterm solutions to problems in the Bay-Delta system related to fish and wildlife, water supply reliability, natural disasters, and water quality. The intent is to develop a comprehensive and balanced plan which addresses all of the resource problems. This effort, the CALFED Bay-Delta Program (Program), is being carried out under the policy direction of CALFED. The Program is exploring and developing a long-term solution for a cooperative planning process that will determine the most appropriate strategy and actions necessary to improve water quality, restore health to the Bay-Delta ecosystem, provide for a variety of beneficial uses, and minimize Bay-Delta system vulnerability. A group of citizen advisors representing California's agricultural, environmental, urban, business, fishing, and other interests who have a stake in finding long-term solutions for the problems affecting the Bay-Delta system has been chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA). The BDAC provides advice to CALFED on the program mission, problems to be addressed, and objectives for the Program. BDAC provides a forum to help ensure public participation, and will review reports and other materials prepared by CALFED staff.

Minutes of the meeting will be maintained by the Program, Suite 1155, 1416 Ninth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, and will be available for public inspection during regular business hours, Monday through Friday within 30 days following the meeting.

Dated: November 17, 1999.

Lester A. Snow,

Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region. [FR Doc. 99–30446 Filed 11–22–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–94–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

November 17, 1999.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public

information collection requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of each individual ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation for BLS, ETA, PWBA, and OASAM contact Karin Kurz ((202) 219-5096 ext. 159 or by E-mail to Kurz-Karin@dol.gov). To obtain documentation for ESA MSHA, OHSA, and VETS contact Darrin King ((202) 219-5096 ext. 151 or by E-Mail to King-Darrin@dol.gov). Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for BLS, DM, ESA, ETA, MSHA, OSHA, PWBA, or VETS, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395-7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Title: ETA Summaries Unemployment Insurance Trust Fund Activities.

OMB Number: 1205-0154.

Frequency: Monthly.

Affected Public: State, Local, or Tribal govt.

Number of Respondents: 53.

Estimated Time Per respondent: 30 to 60 minutes.

Total Burden Hours: 2226.

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0

Description: Collection of State financial activity operating the Unemployment Insurance program. Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 99–30466 Filed 11–22–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-36,471 and NAFTA-3084]

Fort James Corporation Packaging Division Portland, OR; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter of August 13, 1999, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determinations for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA–TAA) for the workers and former workers of the subject firm.

Workers of the subject firm are engaged in employment related to the production of paper food packaging, primarily frozen food packaging.

On July 30, 1999, the workers were denied TAA because the "contributed importantly" test of Section 222(3) of the Group Eligibility Requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. A survey of the subject firm's major customers revealed that none of the customers increased import purchases of food packaging while reducing purchases from the subject firm.

On that same date, the same worker group was denied eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA based on the finding that criteria (3) and (4) of the worker group eligibility requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended were not met. There was no shift in production from the worker's firm to Mexico or Canada. Other investigation findings showed that there were no company or customer imports of food packaging from Mexico or Canada.

The Department has obtained new information from one of the subject firm's major declining customers showing increased import purchases of frozen food packaging from Canada while reducing purchases from Fort James.

Conclusion

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reconsideration, it is concluded that workers of Fort James