person (other than an authorized employee of RBS) concerning funding decisions, or from otherwise giving any applicant an unfair competitive advantage.

Dated: November 2, 1999.

Jill Long Thompson,

Under Secretary, Rural Development. [FR Doc. 99–29527 Filed 11–10–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–XY–U

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Utilities Service

Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.; Finding of No Significant Impact

AGENCY: Rural Utilities Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) has made a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) with respect to the potential environmental impacts related to the construction and operation of the Lewisville 345/138 kV Switching Station in the City of Lewisville, Texas. The project is proposed by Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. (Brazos), of Waco, Texas. RUS may provide financing assistance for the project.

RUS has concluded that the environmental impacts from the proposed project would not be significant and the proposed action is not a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. Therefore, the preparation of an environmental impact statement is not required.

FOR FUTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dennis E. Rankin, Environmental Protection Specialist, RUS, Engineering and Environmental Staff, Stop 1571, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250–1571, telephone: (202) 720–1953 or email: drankin@rus.usda.gov.; or David McDaniel, Brazos, P.O. Box 2585, Waco, Texas 76702–2585, telephone: (254) 750–6324 or e-mail: dmcdaniel@brazoselectric.com.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Brazos is planning to construct an 8–10 acre 345/138 kV switching station on a 23 acre site in Denton County, Texas. The proposed site is located in the vicinity of the northwest corner of North Mill Street and Jones Street in the City of Lewisville. Existing transmission facilities are located in the immediate area.

An environmental report (ER) which describes the project further and

discusses the environmental impacts of the proposed project was prepared by Brazos. The ER included input from Federal, State and local agencies. RUS has conducted an independent evaluation of the ER and believes that it accurately assesses the impacts of the proposed project. No adverse impacts are expected with the construction of the project. RUS has reviewed and accepted the document as its Environmental Assessment. The proposed project will have no impact on cultural resources, floodplains, wetlands, important farmlands and federally listed or proposed for listing threatened and endangered species or their critical habitat. The document was made available for public review for 30 days. No public comments were received.

Copies of the FONSI can be obtained from RUS or Brazos at the address provided herein.

Dated: November 4, 1999.

Blaine D. Stockton, Jr.,

Assistant Administrator—Electric Rural Utilities Service.

[FR Doc. 99-29528 Filed 11-10-99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Utilities Service

M&A Electric Power Cooperative; finding of no significant impact

AGENCY: Rural Utilities Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of finding of no significant impact.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) has made a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) with respect to a request from M&A Electric Power Cooperative for assistance from the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) to finance the construction of a 69 kV electric transmission line and electric substation in Wayne County, Missouri.

Quigel, Environmental Protection Specialist, Engineering and Environmental Staff, RUS, Stop 1571, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250–1571, telephone (202) 720–0468, e-mail at bquigel@rus.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The 69 kV electric transmission line will tie into M&A Electric Power Cooperative's existing Patterson Substation located west of Patterson, Missouri, and extend in an easterly direction to the proposed Silva Substation to be located south of Silva, Missouri. The length of the

transmission line is approximately 7 miles. The amount of land required for the Silva Substation will be approximately 4 acres. Approximately 30 acres of land to be used for the transmission line right-of-way will traverse the Wappapello Lake Project which is managed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. M&A Electric Power Cooperative has acquired an equal amount of land with a habitat value equal to that which will be cleared for the right-of-way of the proposed transmission line. This acquired land will be deeded to the Corps of Engineers as mitigation for the transmission line easement across the Wappapello Lake Project. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers was a cooperating agency in the review of the potential environmental impacts associated with this project.2

Copies of the FONSI are available from RUS at the address provided herein or Tony Gott, M&A Electric Power Cooperative, Inc., Highway PP, West, Poplar Bluff, Missouri 63901, (573) 785–9651.

Dated: November 4, 1999.

Blaine D. Stockton, Jr.,

Assistant Administrator—Electric Rural Utilities Service.

[FR Doc. 99–29529 Filed 11–12–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-15-M

CENSUS MONITORING BOARD

Notice of Closed Meeting

November 8, 1999.

SUMMARY: This notice, in compliance with P.L. 105–119, sets forth the meeting date, time, and place for a closed meeting of the U.S. Census Monitoring Board. The meeting will include a discussion with the U.S. Census Bureau's Regional Directors. Unfortunately, due to space limitations, it is not possible to open the meeting to the public. The meeting will, however, remain "on the record" and a transcript of the proceedings will be produced and made available to the public upon request.

Date: Thursday November 18, 1999.

Time: 10:00 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.

Location: U.S. Census Bureau,
Suitland. MD.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Contact Clark Reid, 301–457–5080 Communications Director (Congressional Members) or Estela Mendoza, Communications Director (Presidential Members) 301–457–9900. Fred T. Asbell.

Executive Director, Congressional Members. [FR Doc. 99–29625 Filed 11–10–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–07–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-846]

Brake Rotors From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Second New Shipper Review and Final Results and Partial Rescission of First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On May 6, 1999, the U.S. Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the new shipper review and partial rescission of antidumping duty administrative review of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the People's Republic of China. See Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review and Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Brake Rotors from the People's Republic of China, 64 FR 24322 (May 6, 1999). This review covers seven exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States, which requested the review and responded to the Department's questionnaire, and the non-market economy entity, including three non-responding companies. The period of review is October 10, 1996, through March 31, 1998. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 12, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Brian C. Smith or Terre Keaton, Import
Administration, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution
Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230;
telephone: (202) 482–1766 or (202) 482–
1280, respectively.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all references are made to the Department's regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 (1998).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 14, 1998, the petitioner 1 requested that the Department determine, in the context of this review, whether certain exporters 2 (who had been excluded from the antidumping duty order with respect to exports of brake rotors supplied by producers that furnished the factor data upon which the exclusion was based) had shipped merchandise during the period of review ("POR") manufactured by other producers which would be subject to review. After analyzing the relevant shipment data and conducting verification, the Department is rescinding this review in part with respect to those exporter/producer combinations because they had no shipments during the POR of merchandise subject to the antidumping duty order. Furthermore, the Department is also rescinding this review, in part, with respect to a trading company³ which is subject to the order but which had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR; and a trading company 4 which is subject to the order but which withdrew its request for review.

Six of the seven exporters that requested a review submitted full responses to the antidumping questionnaire were fully cooperative and are entitled to a separate rate. ⁵ For those six exporters, we have determined that U.S. sales have not been made below normal value. The one exporter requesting a new shipper review, Yantai Chen Fu Machinery Co., Ltd. ("Chen Fu"), did not permit the Department to verify its questionnaire response. Because the Department was unable to assure itself that Chen Fu was entitled

to a separate rate, it will continue to consider Chen Fu part of the non-market economy ("NME") entity. Therefore, we have determined that Chen Fu does not qualify as a new shipper and, accordingly, we are rescinding the new shipper review. For the NME entity (*i.e.*, People's Republic of China ("PRC") government-controlled companies, including PRC companies 6 that did not respond to the antidumping questionnaire or did not permit verification), which is covered by the concurrent administrative review, we are basing the final results on "facts available.

We will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess no antidumping duties on entries from the six PRC exporters that cooperated in this review for which the importer-specific assessment rates are zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.50 percent), and to assess duties on entries from the NME entity companies at the PRC-wide rate. Entries from all other companies during this review period (including those for which the Department has rescinded the administrative review) will be assessed at the rates applicable at the time of entry.

Background

Since the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of its second new shipper review and first administrative review of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the PRC the following events have occurred.

On June 18, 1999, the Department published in the Federal Register a notice of postponement of the final results until no later than November 2, 1999 (64 FR 32845). On June 29, 1999, the Department provided the parties to this proceeding an additional amount of time (until July 26, 1999), to submit publicly available information for consideration in the final results. No party submitted any such additional information. On July 28 and August 2, 1999, the Department issued verification outlines to Chen Fu, to Longjing, and to the exporter/producer combinations excluded from antidumping duty order (the latter solely with respect to the question of which producers had supplied the relevant exports). See Notice of Final Determinations of Sales

¹ The petitioner is the Coalition for the Preservation of American Brake Drum and Rotor Aftermarket Manufacturers.

² These exporter/producer combinations are (1) China National Automobile Industry Import & Export Corporation ("CAIEC") and Shandong Laizhou CAPCO Industry ("Laizhou CAPCO"); (2) Shenyang Honbase Machinery Co., Ltd. ("Shengyang Honbase") and Laizhou Luyuan Automobile Fittings Co., Ltd. ("Laizhou Luyuan"); and (3) China National Machinery and Equipment Import & Export (Xinjiang) Co., Ltd. ("Xinjiang") and Zibo Botai Manufacturing Co., Ltd. ("Zibo Botai").

³ This PRC trading company is Southwest Technical Import & Export Corporation ("Southwest").

⁴ This PRC trading company is Beijing Xinchangyuan Automobile Fittings Co., Ltd. ("Xinchangyuan").

⁵ The six exporters are (1) Jilin Provincial Machinery & Equipment Import & Export Corporation ("Jilin"); (2) Longjing Walking Tractor Works Foreign Trade Import & Export Corporation ("Longjing"); (3) Shandong Jiuyang Enterprise Corporation ("Jiuyang"); (4) Xianghe Zichen Casting Co., Ltd. ("Xianghe"); (5) Yantai Import & Export Corporation ("Yantai"); and (6) Yenhere Corporation ("Yenhere").

⁶ These PRC trading companies are Chen Fu (the new shipper) and the following companies for which the petitioner requested reviews, but which did not respond to the Department's questionnaires: (1) Hebei Metals and Minerals Import & Export Corporation ("Hebei"); (2) Qingdao Metals, Minerals & Machinery Import & Export Corporation ("Qingdao"); and (3) Shanxi Machinery and Equipment Import & Export Corporation ("Shanxi").