

TABLE 3.—Continued

[Metropolitan areas with recent design values below the 1-hour ozone NAAQS, but projected to experience exceedances of the 1-hour standard in 2007 with ROTR controls but without Tier 2/Sulfur controls.]

Metropolitan area	1990 population
<b>Recent Design Value Below 85 Percent of NAAQS<sup>a</sup></b>	
Jackson, MS MSA <sup>b</sup> .....	395,396
1 Area Population Subtotal .....	395,396
Total Population .....	23,204,914
Number of Areas .....	27

<sup>a</sup>= Each area is assigned to one of these groups based on the higher of its 1995–97 or 1996–98 design value.  
<sup>b</sup>= These areas are not subject to the ROTR and were modeled accordingly.

EPA believes that the ozone model's predictions of exceedances in the areas listed in Table 3 are information that is relevant to the determination we will make regarding the need for further emission reductions to attain or maintain the NAAQS, provided that the 1-hour standard is restored for these areas. Therefore we are presenting this information for public comment. In the development of the ROTR, we did not rely on presently clean areas such as these as receptor areas for determining whether emissions in upwind states will contribute to nonattainment in downwind states. However, at the time, the 1-hour standard did not apply to such areas so there was a legal as well as an air quality basis for not considering these areas. We invite comment on whether and how we should consider the areas listed in Table 3 for purposes of our section 202(i) determination on the need for additional emission reductions.

EPA has been updating its regional ozone modeling estimates and methods, in part in response to comments on our NPRM and the first supplemental notice. We are currently in the process of updating the docket to include documents that describe this additional ozone modeling. We intend to consider this modeling in taking final action on our May 13 proposal. Anyone who is interested in this updated modeling should review the docket for further information.

**III. Public Comment**

We seek comments on all aspects of this Supplemental Notice, including the continuing need for Tier 2 emission standards for vehicles and reducing sulfur in gasoline to attain and maintain the NAAQS. Please see the Addresses section in this document for how and where to send any comments you may have on the supplemental information provided in today's document.

Dated: October 20, 1999.  
**Carol M. Browner,**  
*Administrator.*  
 [FR Doc. 99-27933 Filed 10-26-99; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Parts 122, 123, 124, 130, and 131**

[FRL-6464-3]

**Proposed Revisions to the Water Quality Planning and Management Regulation, and Revisions to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Program and Federal Antidegradation Policy in Support of Proposed Revisions to the Water Quality Planning and Management Regulation**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.  
**ACTION:** Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** On August 23, 1999, EPA issued two proposed rules to revise, clarify and strengthen the current regulatory requirements for identifying impaired waters and establishing Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) under the Clean Water Act: revisions to the Water Quality Planning and Management Regulation (64 FR 46012); and revisions to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Program and Federal Antidegradation Policy (64 FR 46058) in support of the revisions at 64 FR 46012. These proposed regulatory revisions address issues of fundamental importance to cleaning up our Nation's polluted waters. Listing impaired and threatened waters and establishing TMDLs are fundamental tools for identifying remaining sources of water pollution and achieving water quality goals. Clean-up plans developed consistent

with these regulatory proposals will help to restore the health of thousands of miles of river and shoreline and make millions of lake acres safe for their designated uses.

EPA sought comment on both sets of proposed rules by October 22, 1999. In response to comments from the public requesting additional time to fully analyze the issues and prepare comprehensive comments, EPA extended the original comment period to December 22, 1999 (64 FR 53304, October 1, 1999). Today, in response to Congressional direction in EPA's appropriations bill, EPA is extending the comment period to January 20, 2000.

**DATES:** Comments on these proposals must be submitted on or before January 20, 2000. Comments provided electronically will be considered timely if they are submitted by 11:59 P.M. (Eastern time) January 20, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments on the Proposed Revisions to the Water Quality Planning and Management Regulation to the Comment Clerk for the TMDL Program Rule, Water Docket (W-98-31), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20460.

Send written comments on the Revisions to the NPDES Program and Federal Antidegradation Policy in Support of Proposed Revisions to the Water Quality Planning and Management Regulation to the Comment Clerk, Water Docket (W-99-04), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20460.

EPA requests that commenters submit any references cited in their comments. EPA also requests that commenters submit an original and 3 copies of their written comments and enclosures. Commenters that want receipt of their comments acknowledged should include a self-addressed, stamped envelope. All comments must be

postmarked or delivered by hand. No facsimiles (faxes) will be accepted.

EPA will also accept comments electronically. Comments should be addressed to the following Internet address: ow-docket@epa.gov. Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII or WordPerfect file avoiding the use of special characters and any form on encryption. Electronic comments must be identified by the appropriate docket number (W-98-31 for the TMDL rule and W-99-04 for the NPDES Program/Federal Antidegradation Policy rule), and may be filed online at many Federal depository Libraries. No confidential business information (CBI) should be sent via e-mail.

A copy of the supporting documents cited in the proposals are available for review at EPA's Water Docket; Room EB-57 (East Tower Basement), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460. For access to docket materials, call (202) 260-3027 between 9 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. for an appointment. An electronic version of the TMDL proposal is available via the Internet at: <http://www.epa.gov/OWOW/tmdl/index.html>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Hazel Groman, U.S. EPA, Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds (4503F), 401 M St., S.W., Washington, D.C. 20640, (202) 401-4078 for the TMDL rule. Kim Kramer, Office of Wastewater Management, 401 M St., S.W., Washington, D.C. 20640, Mail Code 4203, e-mail: Kramer.Kim@epa.gov, telephone: (202) 260-9541 for information regarding the NPDES provisions, or Susan Gilbertson, Office of Science and Technology, 401 M St., S.W., Washington, D.C. 20640, Mail Code 4305, e-mail: Gilbertson.Sue@epa.gov, telephone (202) 260-7301 for information regarding the water quality standards provisions.

Dated: October 21, 1999.

**J. Charles Fox,**

*Assistant Administrator for Water.*

[FR Doc. 99-28044 Filed 10-26-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

**47 CFR Part 73**

[DA No. 2186, MM Docket No. 99-302, RM-9727]

**Radio Broadcasting Services; Monahans and Gardendale, TX**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document requests comments on a petition filed on behalf of Capstar Royalty II Corporation, requesting the reallocation of Channel 271C from Monahans, Texas, to Gardendale, Texas, and modification of the license for Station KCDQ to specify Gardendale, Texas, as the community of license. The coordinates for Channel 271C at Gardendale are 31-57-55 and 102-46-10. Although Gardendale is located within 320 kilometers of the U.S.-Mexican border, concurrence of the Mexican Government is not required as no change in channel or transmitter site has been requested. In accordance with Section 1.420(i) of the Commission's Rules, we shall not accept competing expressions of interest in the use of Channel 271C at Gardendale.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before December 6, 1999, and reply comments on or before December 21, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC. 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner's counsel, as follows: Gregory L. Masters, E. Joseph Knoll III, Wiley, Rein & Fielding, 1776 K street, NW, Washington, DC 20006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 99-302, adopted October 6, 1999, and released October 15, 1999. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center, Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC. 20036, (202) 857-3800, facsimile (202) 857-3805.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contact.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

**List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73**

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

**John A. Karousos,**

*Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 99-27992 Filed 10-26-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

**47 CFR Part 73**

[DA No. 99-2187, MM Docket No. 99-303, RM-9737]

**Radio Broadcasting Services; Seymour, TX**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document requests comments on a petition filed by Seymour Broadcasting Company proposing the allotment of Channel 254A at Seymour, Texas, as the community's second FM broadcast service. The channel can be allotted to Seymour in compliance with the Commission's Rules provided there is a site restriction 10.1 kilometers (6.3 miles) south of the community. The coordinates for Channel 254A at Seymour are 33-29-57 NL and 99-15-06 WL.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before December 6, 1999, and reply comments on or before December 21, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner's counsel, as follows: Audrey P. Rasmussen, O'Connor & Hannan, L.L.P., 1919 Pennsylvania, Avenue, NW, Suite 800, Washington, DC 20006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.