

(Distribution Code) and the nuclear industry. Assess the degree of coverage of these efforts.

(3) *Structural Definition.* Define a theoretical structure for HACCP or similar methodologies relative to hazardous materials transportation. Determine what types of data are necessary to support any methodology developed. Catalog the sources and types of currently available data. Identify data that might need to be developed or collected. Describe performance measures that could be used in conjunction with such methodologies. Develop models for the application of concepts in the various segments of the hazardous material transportation system. Theoretical examples of its use might include a framework for carrier analysis of undeclared hazardous materials in air transportation and a model of regulated medical waste from the standpoint of a shipper.

(4) *Panel of Experts.* Convene a panel of experts to evaluate and help refine concepts. Analyze significant incidents in recent years where changes or decisions at one or more of a series of control points might have prevented the incidents or mitigated effects. Identify elements of greatest risk in the current hazardous material transportation system where use of HACCP or similar methodologies might be beneficial.

(5) *Pilot Applications.* Seek out industry participants and use the models developed in one or two prototype real-world applications for hazardous materials transportation.

(6) *Guidebook.* Complete a guidebook on methodologies developed in the course of this study. Include a series of examples of how concepts can be applied in various situations. To the extent possible, work with industry in completing a series of actual practical demonstrations based on these examples.

(7) *Implementation Strategy.* Evaluate how HACCP or similar methodologies adapted to hazardous materials transportation can best be employed. Should it be offered as a guideline and used voluntarily? Should it be required by regulation in certain instances? What are the advantages and disadvantages of each approach?

(Note that Task 6 and Task 7 are optional and a decision on whether to proceed with these will be made upon the completion of previous tasks.)

Objectives

The objectives of the exploratory meeting include:

(1) Informing stakeholders on the purpose and the importance of this project to RSPA;

(2) Educating participants about the HACCP concept;

(3) Identifying other risk management tools besides HACCP that might be considered;

(4) Enumerating major issues that need to be resolved before developing an approach;

(5) Developing a framework for Task 2 analysis and the identification of hazards and critical control points from the perspective of each of the major stakeholder segments B industry, government, and the public;

(6) Identifying potential pilot applications; and

(7) Obtaining recommendations for the Panel of Experts.

Meeting Agenda

The November 4 session will cover general information and objectives. The November 5 session will consist of workshops addressing specific topics. The meeting will be structured so that a balanced group of stakeholders are the primary participants. Those wishing to make a short presentation on industry segment or government risk management programs on November 4 or those wishing to participate in the workshops on November 5 should contact Jean Hoff, ICF Consulting, telephone number (703) 934-3045 or via email at jhoff@icfconsulting.com, as far in advance of the meeting as possible. The entire meeting is open for observation without prior arrangement. The latest version of the agenda for this exploratory meeting also may be obtained by contacting Jean Hoff.

Comments on RSPA's overall approach to the area or on specifics that should be considered in conjunction with what is developed at the exploratory meeting are welcome.

Alan I. Roberts,

Associate Administrator for Hazardous Materials Safety.

[FR Doc. 99-26395 Filed 10-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-60-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket No. MC-F-20953]

Gonzalez, Inc., d/b/a Golden State Transportation Company—Merger—Los Rapiidos, Inc., d/b/a Crucero

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board.

ACTION: Notice tentatively approving finance transaction.

SUMMARY: Gonzalez, Inc., d/b/a Golden State Transportation Company (Golden State or applicant), a motor carrier of passengers, has filed an application under 49 U.S.C. 14303 for the acquisition by merger of its affiliate, Los Rapiidos, Inc., d/b/a Crucero (Los Rapiidos), also a motor carrier of passengers. Persons wishing to oppose the application must follow the rules at 49 CFR 1182.5 and 1182.8. The Board has tentatively approved the transaction, and, if no opposing comments are timely filed, this notice will be the final Board action.

DATES: Comments must be filed by November 22, 1999. Applicant may file a reply by December 7, 1999. If no comments are filed by November 22, 1999, this notice is effective on that date.

ADDRESSES: Send an original and 10 copies of any comments referring to STB Docket No. MC-F-20953 to: Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, NW, Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, send one copy of any comments to applicant's representative: Fritz R. Kahn, Suite 750 West, 1100 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005-3934.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beryl Gordon, (202) 565-1600. [TDD for the hearing impaired: (202) 565-1695.]

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Golden State is a regular-route regional passenger carrier operating principally in the Southwest pursuant to authority granted in Docket No. MC-173837.¹ Los Rapiidos is a regular-route regional passenger carrier operating in the Southwest pursuant to authority granted in Docket No. MC-293638.² According to applicant, the operations of the two bus lines to some extent have duplicated but in larger measure have complemented each other, with Golden State's operations directed at the domestic market and Los Rapiidos specializing in the international cross-border market with Mexico.

Pursuant to a merger agreement, Los Rapiidos will be merged into Golden State, with Golden State being the surviving corporation. Golden State will continue to be managed by its president, Mr. Antonio Gonzalez. By application thereafter to be filed with the Federal Highway Administration, the operating authority held by Los Rapiidos is expected to be transferred to, and be

¹ Golden State is authorized to operate in Arizona, California, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Texas, and Washington.

² Los Rapiidos is authorized to operate in Arizona and California.

integrated into the operating authority of, Golden State.

Golden State and Los Rapidos are controlled by Sistema Internacional de Transporte de Autobuses, Inc. (SITA), a noncarrier which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Greyhound Lines, Inc. (Greyhound).³ Greyhound holds nationwide, motor passenger carrier operating authority under Docket No. MC-1515, and controls eight other motor passenger carriers, one directly⁴ and the others indirectly through its noncarrier subsidiaries.⁵ Greyhound is controlled by Laidlaw Inc., of Burlington, Ontario, Canada (Laidlaw), a noncarrier⁶ that also controls 13 other motor passenger carriers, whose U.S. operations are mostly limited to charter and special operations.⁷

The merger is expected to produce significant benefits for the passengers of the two bus lines, which specialize in

accommodating the needs of travelers to and from Mexico. Through integration of the schedules, passengers will have the choice of more frequent departures, at more opportune times, and with improved cross-border through or interline bus service. The merger will also permit the consolidation of terminals and, where appropriate, their enlargement, thereby making them more convenient and comfortable for the passengers.

It is anticipated that the merger will result in more efficient and cost-effective operations. Golden State plans to consolidate the management of the two companies, thereby eliminating duplicative overhead costs and improving management oversight and control. The merger is also expected to allow more efficient use of the buses and more effective deployment of personnel.

Applicant states that it will incur no debt in its merger of Los Rapidos; therefore, there will be no increase in fixed charges.

Applicant also states that there is no need to attach conditions for the protection of affected employees pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 14303(b) because the employees of Los Rapidos who meet the Golden State employment criteria and needs will be offered employment. Applicant notes that Golden State experienced significant growth before the merger and, thus, will be required to increase its employee base.

Applicant certifies that: (1) The aggregate gross operating revenues for Golden State, Los Rapidos, and the motor passenger carriers that they are affiliated with (subject carriers) exceeded \$2 million during the 12-month period ending December 31, 1998; (2) Each of the subject carriers holds a satisfactory safety fitness rating from the U.S. Department of Transportation (except for affiliates of Laidlaw that are not rated); (3) Each of the subject carriers has sufficient liability insurance; (4) None of the subject carriers is domiciled in Mexico nor owned or controlled by persons of that country; and (5) Approval of the transactions will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or the conservation of energy resources. Additional information may be obtained from the applicant's representative.

Under 49 U.S.C. 14303(b), we must approve and authorize a transaction we find consistent with the public interest, taking into consideration at least: (1) The effect of the transaction on the adequacy of transportation to the public; (2) The total fixed charges that result;

and (3) The interest of affected carrier employees.

On the basis of the application,⁸ we find that the proposed merger is consistent with the public interest and should be authorized. If any opposing comments are timely filed, this finding will be deemed vacated and, unless a final decision can be made on the record as developed, a procedural schedule will be adopted to reconsider the application. If no opposing comments are filed by the expiration of the comment period, this decision will take effect automatically and will be the final Board action.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at "WWW.STB.DOT.GOV."

This decision will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or the conservation of energy resources.

It is ordered:

1. The proposed merger is approved and authorized, subject to the filing of opposing comments.
2. If timely opposing comments are filed, the findings made in this decision will be deemed as having been vacated.
3. This decision will be effective on November 22, 1999, unless timely opposing comments are filed.
4. A copy of this notice will be served on: (1) The U.S. Department of Transportation, Office of Motor Carriers-HIA 30, 400 Virginia Avenue, S.W., Suite 600, Washington, DC 20004; (2) The U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 10th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20530; and (3) The U.S. Department of Transportation, Office of the General Counsel, 400 7th Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20590.

Decided: October 1, 1999.

By the Board, Chairman Morgan, Vice Chairman Clyburn and Commissioner Burkes.

Vernon A. Williams,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-26204 Filed 10-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-00-P

³ Greyhound's acquisition of indirect control of Golden State was approved by the Board in *Greyhound Lines, Inc.—Control—Gonzalez, Inc., d/b/a Golden State Transportation Company*, STB Docket No. MC-F-20914 (STB served Dec. 19, 1997). Greyhound's acquisition of indirect control of Los Rapidos was approved by the Board in *Greyhound Lines, Inc.—Acquisition of Control—Los Rapidos, Inc.*, STB Docket No. MC-F-20903 (STB served Jan. 15, 1997).

⁴ Greyhound directly controls Continental Panhandle Lines, Inc. (MC-8742), which operates in Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas.

⁵ Greyhound indirectly controls five motor passenger carriers through its wholly owned noncarrier subsidiary, GLI Holding Company. They are: PRB Acquisition, LLC., d/b/a Peoria Rockford Bus Co. (MC-66810), operating in Illinois; Valley Transit Company, Inc. (MC-74), operating in Texas; Carolina Coach Company, Inc. (MC-13300), operating in Delaware, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Virginia, and North Carolina; Texas, New Mexico & Oklahoma Coaches, Inc. (MC-61120), operating in Texas, New Mexico, Colorado, Kansas, and Oklahoma; and Vermont Transit Co., Inc. (MC-45626), operating in Maine, Vermont, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and New York. The remaining two motor passenger carriers are indirectly controlled by Greyhound through SITA. They are: Americanos U.S.A., L.L.C. (MC-309813), operating between the Mexican border crossing points at El Paso, Laredo, and McAllen, TX, to the cities of Albuquerque, NM, Denver, CO, Dallas and Houston, TX, and Chicago, IL; and Autobus Amigos, L.L.C. (MC-340462), operating to and from the Mexican border crossing point at Brownsville, TX, and Houston, TX, and points in Florida.

⁶ See *Laidlaw Inc. and Laidlaw Transit Acquisition Corp.—Merger—Greyhound Lines, Inc.*, STB Docket No. MC-F-20940 (STB served Dec. 17, 1998).

⁷ The carriers are: D-A-R Transit Systems, Inc., d/b/a Galaxy Charters (MC-311766); Greyhound Canada Transportation Corp. (MC-304126); Laidlaw Transit, Inc. (MC-161299); Laidlaw Transit Services (Two), Inc. (MC-163344); Roesch Lines, Inc. (MC-119843); Safe Ride Services, Inc. (MC-246193); Vancom Transportation—Illinois, L.P. (MC-167816); Willett Motor Coach Co. (MC-16073); 1327172 Ontario Limited, Transferee of Gisele Rocky d/b/a Northern Escape Tours (MC-231298); Grey Line of Vancouver Holdings Limited (MC-357855); Voyageur Corp. (MC-360339); Laidlaw Transit Ltd. (MC-102189); and Dave Transportation Services (MC-144040).

⁸ Under 49 CFR 1182.6(c), a procedural schedule will not be issued if we are able to dispose of opposition to the application on the basis of comments and the reply.