

the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (CALEA), 28 CFR part 100 (published at 62 FR 13307, March 20, 1997).

As such, these rules are appropriately the subject of the periodic review under section 610(a), within ten years of the publication of the Final Rule.

The INS has already conducted the review required by section 610 with respect to 8 CFR part 274a, "Control of Employment of Aliens," in conjunction with a comprehensive restructuring of that rule. The INS Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, which was published on February 2, 1998, at 63 FR 5287, would completely rewrite and clarify the regulations according to Plain Language principles; would amend the existing standards to reduce instances of fraud or confusion in the employment verification process; and would implement amendments made by the Illegal Immigration and Immigration Reform Act (IIRIRA), Pub. L. 104-208, Division C. The proposed rule also announced the section 610 review. Once a Final Rule is published, the section 610 review of these regulations will be complete.

Accordingly, the schedule for periodic review of regulations of the Department of Justice, as required by section 610(a) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, is as follows:

Regulation	Year scheduled for review
8 CFR part 274a, Control of Employment of Aliens (INS).	Pending (proposed rule published in 1998).
28 CFR part 36, Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) with respect to commercial facilities (Civil Rights Division).	2000.
21 CFR part 1309, Domestic Chemical Diversion Control Act of 1993 (DEA).	2004.
28 CFR part 100, Section 109 of the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (FBI).	2006.

Dated: August 12, 1999.

Eleanor D. Acheson,

Assistant Attorney General, Office of Policy Development.

[FR Doc. 99-26109 Filed 10-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-BB-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-NM-296-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; British Aerospace (Jetstream) Model 4101 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain British Aerospace (Jetstream) Model 4101 airplanes. This proposal would require a one-time inspection of the bottom aft roller of the main baggage-bay door structure for cracking or damage to the sub-frame; repetitive operational tests to determine if the counter-balance motor functions properly; and corrective actions, if necessary. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent sub-frame damage, which, if left undetected, could cause rapid decompression of the airplane and consequent injury to passengers and crew.

DATES: Comments must be received by November 8, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-NM-296-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from British Aerospace Regional Aircraft American Support, 13850 McLearen Road, Herndon, Virginia 20171. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2110; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 98-NM-296-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-NM-296-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA), which is the airworthiness authority for the United Kingdom, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain British Aerospace (Jetstream) Model 4101 airplanes. The CAA advises that it has received reports of damage to a sub-frame that supports the bottom aft roller of the main baggage bay door due to failure of the spring in the counter-balance motor. The damage to the sub-frame was caused by high uncontrolled loads applied to the bottom aft roller bracket each time the baggage bay door was allowed to fall onto the stop in the aft track. Such damage, if not corrected, could result in failure of the door structure, which could cause rapid decompression of the airplane and consequent injury to passengers and crew.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

The manufacturer has issued Jetstream Service Bulletin J41-52-060, dated August 31, 1998, which describes procedures for a one-time visual inspection of the bottom door structure for cracking or damage to the sub-frame; repetitive operational tests to determine if the counter-balance motor of the main baggage bay door functions properly; and corrective actions, if necessary. The corrective actions involve repairing any cracked or damaged sub-frame, and replacing the failed motor with a new motor or repairing the motor. Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition. The CAA classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued British airworthiness directive 005-08-98 in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in the United Kingdom.

FAA's Conclusions

This airplane model is manufactured in the United Kingdom and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the CAA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the CAA, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin described previously, except as discussed below.

Differences Between Proposed Rule and Service Bulletin

Operators should note that, although the service bulletin specifies that the manufacturer may be contacted for disposition of certain repair conditions, this proposal would require the repair of those conditions to be accomplished in accordance with a method approved by the FAA, or the Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) (or its delegated agent). In light of the type of repair that would be required to address the identified unsafe

condition, and in consonance with existing bilateral airworthiness agreements, the FAA has determined that, for this proposed AD, a repair approved by either the FAA or the CAA would be acceptable for compliance with this proposed AD.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 43 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD.

It would take approximately 3 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed inspection, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the inspection proposed by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$7,740, or \$180 per airplane.

It would take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the proposed test, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the test proposed by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$2,580, or \$60 per airplane, per test cycle.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

British Aerospace Regional Aircraft

[Formerly Jetstream Aircraft Limited; British Aerospace (Commercial Aircraft) Limited]: Docket 98-NM-296-AD.

Applicability: British Aerospace (Jetstream) Model 4101 airplanes, as listed in Jetstream Service Bulletin J41-52-060, dated August 31, 1998; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent sub-frame damage, which, if left undetected, could cause rapid decompression of the airplane and consequent injury to passengers and crew, accomplish the following:

Visual Inspection

(a) Within 1,500 landings or within 5 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, perform a one-time general visual inspection of the bottom aft roller of the main baggage bay door structure to check for cracking or damage to the sub-frame in accordance with Jetstream Service Bulletin J41-52-060, dated August 31, 1998. If any cracking or damage is found, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by either the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate; or the Civil Aviation Authority (or its delegated agent). For a repair method to be approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, as

required by this paragraph, the Manager's approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

Note 2: For the purposes of this AD, a general visual inspection is defined as "A visual examination of an interior or exterior area, installation, or assembly to detect obvious damage, failure, or irregularity. This level of inspection is made under normally available lighting conditions such as daylight, hangar lighting, flashlight, or drop-light, and may require removal or opening of access panels or doors. Stands, ladders, or platforms may be required to gain proximity to the area being checked."

Operational Test

(b) Within 30 days after the effective date of this AD, perform an operational test to determine if the counter-balance motor of the main baggage bay door functions properly in accordance with Jetstream Service Bulletin J41-52-060, dated August 31, 1998. Repeat the operational test thereafter at intervals not to exceed 5 days. If the motor fails during any operational test, within 10 flights after accomplishing the test, either replace the motor with a new motor or repair in accordance with the service bulletin, and accomplish the actions specified in paragraph (a) of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

Special Flight Permits

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 4: The subject of this AD is addressed in British airworthiness directive 005-08-98.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on October 4, 1999.

D. L. Riggan,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 99-26278 Filed 10-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-195-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A330-301, -321, -322 Series Airplanes, and Model A340-211, -212, -213, -311, -312, and -313 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Airbus Model A330-301, -321, and -322 series airplanes, and Model A340-211, -212, -213, -311, -312, and -313 series airplanes. This proposal would require repetitive replacements of the yaw damper actuator installed on active position with a new or overhauled yaw damper actuator. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent hydraulic leakage from the yaw damper actuator installed on active position due to premature wear of the dynamic seals between the actuator piston and the piston bearing. Hydraulic leakage could lead to complete loss of the green hydraulic circuit, which could result in reduced controllability of the airplane.

DATES: Comments must be received by November 8, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-195-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington

98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2110; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 99-NM-195-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-195-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The Direction Générale de l'Aviation Civile (DGAC), which is the airworthiness authority for France, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on all Airbus Model A330-301, -321, -322 series airplanes, and Model A340-211, -212, -213, -311, -312, and -313 series airplanes. The DGAC advises that it has received several reports of hydraulic fluid leakage from the yaw damper actuator installed on active position. Investigation has revealed that the hydraulic leakage was caused by premature wear of the dynamic seals between the actuator piston and the piston bearing. This condition, if not corrected, could lead to complete loss of the green hydraulic circuit, which could