

# Rules and Regulations

**Federal Register**

Vol. 64, No. 195

Friday, October 8, 1999

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

## OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

### 5 CFR Part 870

RIN 3206-AI49

#### Federal Employees' Group Life Insurance Program: Court Orders

**AGENCY:** Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is making final its interim regulations implementing legislation which was enacted July 22, 1998. The legislation requires that certain court orders be followed instead of the otherwise existing statutory order of precedence for payment of benefits under the Federal Employees' Group Life Insurance Program.

**DATES:** Effective October 8, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Karen Leibach, (202) 606-0004.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Federal Employees' Group Life Insurance (FEGLI) law sets an order of precedence for payment of benefits following the death of an insured employee, annuitant, or compensation (5 U.S.C. 8705). First in the order of precedence is a designated beneficiary. Public Law 105-205, 112 Stat. 683, enacted July 22, 1998, requires benefits to be paid in accordance with the terms of a court decree of divorce, annulment, or legal separation, or the terms of any court order or court-approved property settlement agreement relating to a court decree of divorce, annulment, or legal separation, regardless of whether or not the insured individual actually completes a designation complying with the court order, if the court order is received in the appropriate office before the death of the insured individual. To the extent provided in the court order, the court order supersedes any prior

designation by the insured individual. On April 6, 1999, OPM issued interim regulations in the **Federal Register** [64 FR 16601] implementing this legislation.

OPM received no comments on the court order regulations.

OPM received one comment from an agency on a correction we published at the same time as the court order regulations. The correction dealt with the situation in which an individual returns to Federal service following a break in service of at least 180 days. The regulation requires that, if he/she doesn't make a new life insurance election, the employee will get back the same FEGLI coverage he/she had before the break in service. The commentor was concerned about the length of time it often takes for an agency to receive the previous employment records for an individual with prior Federal service. If the employee doesn't remember what coverage he/she had before, the agency would not know what coverage to give the individual until the records arrive. We understand that in this situation the agency may have to make retroactive adjustments in coverage and premiums. However, we believe that most individuals returning to Federal service after a 180-day break will make a new life insurance election, and that election will supersede the prior coverage. It is only in instances in which the employee does not submit an election that an agency would need to reinstate prior coverage. We believe that these returning employees should be treated the same as returning employees with a less than 180-day break in service.

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

I certify that this regulation will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because the regulation will only affect life insurance benefits of Federal employees and retirees.

#### Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Review

This rule has been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget in accordance with Executive Order 12866.

#### List of Subjects in 5 CFR Part 870

Administrative practice and procedure, Government employees, Hostages, Iraq, Kuwait, Lebanon, Life insurance, Retirement.

Office of Personnel Management.

**Janice R. Lachance,**

*Director.*

Accordingly, under the authority of 5 U.S.C. 8716, OPM is adopting its interim regulation under 5 CFR part 870 as published on April 6, 1999 [64 FR 16601], as a final rule without change. [FR Doc. 99-26318 Filed 10-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 25

[Docket No. NM164, Special Conditions No. 25-150-SC]

**Special Conditions: Boeing 747-100, -100B, -100B SUD, -200B, -200C, -200F, and -300 Series Airplanes; High Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final special conditions; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** These special conditions are issued for Boeing Model 747-100, -100B, -100B SUD, -200B, -200C, -200F, and -300 series airplanes modified by Canard Aerospace Corporation. These airplanes will have novel and unusual design features when compared to the state of technology envisioned in the airworthiness standards for transport category airplanes. These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that provided by the existing airworthiness standards.

**DATES:** The effective date of these special conditions is September 30, 1999. Comments must be received on or before November 22, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on these special conditions may be mailed in duplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Transport Airplane Directorate, Attn: Rules Docket (ANM-114), Docket No. NM164, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington, 98055-4056; or delivered in duplicate to the Transport Airplane Directorate at the above address. Comments must be marked: Docket No. NM164. Comments may be inspected in the Rules Docket

weekdays, except Federal holidays, between 7:30 a.m. and 4 p.m.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Connie Beane, FAA, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington, 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2796; facsimile (425) 227-1149.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Comments Invited**

The FAA has determined that good cause exists for making these special conditions effective upon issuance; however, interested persons are invited to submit such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the docket and special conditions number and be submitted in duplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered by the Administrator. These special conditions may be changed in light of the comments received. All comments submitted will be available in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerning this rulemaking will be filed in the docket. Persons wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this request must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. NM164." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

**Background**

On April 2, 1999, Canard Aerospace Corporation, 13050 Pioneer Trail, Minneapolis, MN 55347, applied for a supplemental type certificate (STC) to modify Boeing Model 747-100, -100B, -100B SUD, -200B, -200C, -200F, and -300 series airplanes approved under Type Certificate No. A20WE. The 747 series airplanes are 231 feet, 4 inches long and 195 feet, 8 inches wide. The height at vertical stabilizer to ground is 63 feet, 5 inches. The passenger load is 374 to 420 passengers, and the range is from 5,290 to 6,600 miles. The modification incorporates the installation of Honeywell Classic Navigator Systems. Each system consists of a Honeywell HT-9100 Navigation Management System, a Super Attitude Heading Reference System, and a Digital to Analog Adapter. These advanced systems use electronics to a far greater

extent than the original Inertial Navigation Systems and may be more susceptible to electrical and magnetic interference. This disruption of signals could result in loss of attitude or present misleading information to the pilot.

**Type Certification Basis**

Under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.101, Canard Aerospace must show that the Boeing Model 747-100, -100B, -100B SUD, -200B, -200C, -200F, and -300 series airplanes, as changed, continue to meet the applicable provisions of the regulations incorporated by reference in Type Certificate No. A20WE, or the applicable regulations in effect on the date of application for the change. The regulations incorporated by reference in the type certificate are commonly referred to as the "original type certification basis." The certification basis for the modified Boeing Model 747-100, -100B, -100B SUD, -200B, -200C, -200F, and -300 series airplanes include 14 CFR part 25, dated February 1, 1965, with Amendments 1 through 40, as amended by Type Certificate Data Sheet (TCDS) A20WE.

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations (i.e., 14 CFR part 25, as amended) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the Boeing Model 747-100, -100B, -100B SUD, -200B, -200C, -200F, and -300 series airplanes because of novel or unusual design features, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

Special conditions, as appropriate, are issued in accordance with 14 CFR 11.49, as required by §§ 11.28 and 11.29, and become part of the type certification basis in accordance with § 21.101(b)(2).

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should Canard Aerospace apply at a later date for design change approval to modify any other model already included on the same type certificate to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would also apply to the other model under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1).

**Novel or Unusual Design Features**

The Boeing Model 747-100, -100B, -100B SUD, -200B, -200C, -200F, and -300 series airplanes will incorporate a new navigation system, which was not available at the time of certification of these airplanes, that performs critical functions. This system may be vulnerable to HIRF external to the airplane.

**Discussion**

There is no specific regulation that addresses protection requirements for electrical and electronic systems from HIRF. Increased power levels from ground-based radio transmitters and the growing use of sensitive electrical and electronic systems to command and control airplanes have made it necessary to provide adequate protection.

To ensure that a level of safety is achieved equivalent to that intended by the regulations incorporated by reference, special conditions are needed for the Boeing Model 747-100, -100B, -100B SUD, -200B, -200C, -200F, and -300 series airplanes, which require that new electrical and electronic systems, such as the Honeywell Navigator System, that perform critical functions be designed and installed to preclude component damage and interruption of function due to both the direct and indirect effects of HIRF.

**High-Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)**

With the trend toward increased power levels from ground-based transmitters, plus the advent of space and satellite communications, coupled with electronic command and control of the airplane, the immunity of critical digital avionics systems to HIRF must be established.

It is not possible to precisely define the HIRF to which the airplane will be exposed in service. There is also uncertainty concerning the effectiveness of airframe shielding for HIRF. Furthermore, coupling of electromagnetic energy to cockpit-installed equipment through the cockpit window apertures is undefined. Based on surveys and analysis of existing HIRF emitters, an adequate level of protection exists when compliance with the HIRF protection special condition is shown with either paragraph 1, or 2 below:

1. A minimum threat of 100 volts per meter root-mean-square (rms) electric field strength from 10 KHz to 18 GHz.

a. The threat must be applied to the system elements and their associated wiring harnesses without the benefit of airframe shielding.

b. Demonstration of this level of protection is established through system tests and analysis.

2. A threat external to the airframe of the following field strengths for the frequency ranges indicated.

Frequency	Field Strength (volts per meter)	
	Peak	Average
10 kHz -100 kHz .....	50	50
100 kHz-500 kHz .....	50	50
500 kHz-2 MHz .....	50	50

Frequency	Field Strength (volts per meter)	
	Peak	Average
2 MHz–30 MHz .....	100	100
30 MHz–70 MHz .....	50	50
70 MHz–100 MHz .....	50	50
100 MHz–200 MHz ...	100	100
200 MHz–400 MHz ...	100	100
400 MHz–700 MHz ...	700	50
700 MHz–1 GHz .....	700	100
1 GHz–2 GHz .....	2000	200
2 GHz–4 GHz .....	3000	200
4 GHz–6 GHz .....	3000	200
6 GHz–8 GHz .....	1000	200
8 GHz–12 GHz .....	3000	300
12 GHz–18 GHz .....	2000	200
18 GHz–40 GHz .....	600	200

The field strengths are expressed in terms of peak root-mean-square (rms) values.

### Applicability

As discussed above, these special conditions are applicable to Boeing 747–100, –100B, –100B SUD, –200B, –200C, –200F, and –300 series airplanes modified by Canard Aerospace. Should Canard Aerospace apply at a later date for design change approval to modify any other model included on the same type certificate to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would apply to that model as well under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1).

### Conclusion

This action affects only certain design features on Boeing 747–100, –100B, –100B SUD, –200B, –200C, –200F, and –300 series airplanes modified by Canard Aerospace Corporation. It is not a rule of general applicability and affects only the applicant who applied to the FAA for approval of these features on the airplane.

The substance of the special conditions for this airplane has been subjected to the notice and comment procedure in several prior instances and has been derived without substantive change from those previously issued. It is unlikely that prior public comment would result in a significant change from the substance contained herein. For this reason, and because a delay would significantly affect the certification of the airplane, which is imminent, the FAA has determined that prior public notice and comment are unnecessary and impracticable, and good cause exists for adopting these special conditions immediately. Therefore, these special conditions are being made effective upon issuance. The FAA is requesting comments to allow interested persons to submit views that may not have been submitted in response to the prior opportunities for comment described above.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 25

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701, 44702, 44704.

### The Special Conditions

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the type certification basis for Boeing 747–100, –100B, –100B SUD, –200B, –200C, –200F, and –300 series airplanes modified by Canard Aerospace Corporation.

1. *Protection from Unwanted Effects of High-Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF).* Each electrical and electronic system that performs critical functions must be designed and installed to ensure that the operation and operational capability of these systems to perform critical functions are not adversely affected when the airplane is exposed to high intensity radiated fields.

For the purpose of these special conditions, the following definition applies:

**Critical Functions.** Functions whose failure would contribute to or cause a failure condition that would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane.

Issued in Renton, Washington, September 30, 1999.

**Vi L. Lipski,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, ANM–100.*

[FR Doc. 99–26372 Filed 10–7–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98–NM–318–AD; Amendment 39–11360; AD 99–21–15]

RIN 2120–AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737–100, –200, –300, –400, and –500 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to all Boeing Model 737–100, –200, –300, –400, and –500 series

airplanes, that currently requires removal of the fuel boost pump wiring in the conduits of the wing and center fuel tanks; an inspection to detect damage of the wiring, and corrective action, if necessary; and eventual installation of Teflon sleeving over the electrical cable. This amendment expands the inspection requirement to include airplanes with fewer than 20,000 flight hours; requires additional repetitive inspections for all airplanes; reidentifies the requirement to install Teflon sleeving as a nonterminating action; and removes the requirement to report inspection results. This amendment is prompted by the FAA's determination that Model 737–100 through –500 series airplanes that are not affected by the current AD must also be protected against excessive wire chafing of the fuel boost pump wiring and that all Model 737–100 through –500 series airplanes must be repetitively inspected. The actions specified by this AD are intended to detect and correct chafing and prevent electrical arcing between the fuel boost pump wiring and the surrounding conduit, which could result in arc-through of the conduit, and consequent fire or explosion of the fuel tank.

**DATES:** Effective November 12, 1999.

The incorporation by reference of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–28A1120, Revision 2, dated November 26, 1998, as listed in the regulations, is approved by the Director of the **Federal Register** as of November 12, 1999.

The incorporation by reference of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–28A1120, Revision 1, dated May 28, 1998, as listed in the regulations, was approved previously by the Director of the **Federal Register** as of October 15, 1998 (63 FR 52152, September 30, 1998).

The incorporation by reference of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–28A1120, dated April 24, 1998, as revised by Notices of Status Change NSC 01, dated May 7, 1998, NSC 02, dated May 8, 1998, and NSC 03, dated May 9, 1998; as listed in the regulations; was approved previously by the Director of the **Federal Register** as of June 29, 1998 (63 FR 34271, June 24, 1998).

**ADDRESSES:** The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of