inspection, provided that the part is not damaged or related to the cause of its removal from the engine.

(3) The inspections specified in this section do not replace or make unnecessary other recommended inspections for these parts or other parts.

### **B.** Parts Requiring Inspection

**Note:** Piece part is defined as any of the listed parts with all the blades removed.

Description	Engine manual	
	Section	Inspection
Hub (Disk), 1st Stage Com- pressor: 5000501–01		
(Hub detail) 5000421–01 (Hub as-	72–33–31	-02, -03
sembly) HP Turbine Disk, First Stage:	72–33–31	-02, -03
804301 5004501–01 856701 5004301–01 x832201 855701 856601	72–52–02 72–52–02 72–52–02 72–52–02 72–52–02 72–52–02 72–52–02 72–52–02	-03 -03 -03 -03 -03 -03 -03"

(b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this AD, and notwithstanding contrary provisions in section 43.16 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 43.16), these mandatory inspections shall be performed only in accordance with the TLS of the PW JT8D–200 Turbofan Engine Manual.

### **Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Engine Certification Office (ECO). Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector (PMI), who may add comments and then send it to the ECO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the ECO.

#### **Ferry Flights**

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

### Continuous Airworthiness Maintenance Program

(e) FAA-certificated air carriers that have an approved continuous airworthiness maintenance program in accordance with the record keeping requirement of § 121.369(c) of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 121.369(c)) of this chapter must maintain records of the mandatory inspections that result from revising the TLS of the PW JT8D– 200 Turbofan Engine Manual, and the air carrier's continuous airworthiness program.

Alternately, certificated air carriers may establish an approved system of record retention that provides a method for preservation and retrieval of the maintenance records that include the inspections resulting from this AD, and include the policy and procedures for implementing this alternate method in the air carrier's maintenance manual required by §121.369(c) of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 121.369(c)); however, the alternate system must be accepted by the appropriate PMI and require the maintenance records be maintained either indefinitely or until the work is repeated. Records of the piece-part inspections are not required under §121.380(a)(2)(vi) of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 121.380(a)(2)(vi)). All other operators must maintain the records of mandatory inspections required by the applicable regulations governing their operations.

**Note 3:** The requirements of this AD have been met when the engine manual changes are made and air carriers have modified their continuous airworthiness maintenance plans to reflect the requirements in the PW JT8D– 200 Turbofan Engine Manual.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on September 30, 1999.

# David A. Downey,

Assistant Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 99–26214 Filed 10–6–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# 40 CFR Part 52

[CA-226-165b; FRL-6448-6]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District and South Coast Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

ACTION. FTOPOSeu Tute.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This action revises Santa Barbara Air Pollution Control District (SBCAPCD) Rule 102, Definitions, to include text that was inadvertently omitted and revises the volatile organic compound (VOC) definition in South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule 102, Definition of Terms.

The intended effect of proposing approval of this action is to incorporate changes to the definitions for clarity and consistency with revised federal and state definitions. EPA is proposing approval of this revision to be incorporated into the California SIP for the attainment of the national ambient

air quality standards (NAAQS) for ozone under title I of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this Federal **Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this administrative change as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by November 8, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office [AIR–4], Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the rules are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

- Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460
- California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.
- Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, 26 Castilian Drive B– 23, Goleta, California 93117
- South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia G. Allen, Rulemaking Office [AIR-4], Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, Telephone: (415) 744–1189.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

This document concerns Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District Rule 102, Definitions, and South Coast Air Quality Management District Rule 102, Definition of Terms. These rules were submitted to EPA on May 13, 1999 by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.* Dated: September 10, 1999.

#### David P. Howekamp,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 99–26069 Filed 10–6–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CO-001-0031; FRL-6453-3]

### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; Colorado; Revisions to Opacity and Sulfur Dioxide Requirements; Supplemental Notice of Proposed Rulemaking; Extension of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking; extension of the comment period.

SUMMARY: On September 2, 1999, EPA proposed to disapprove a revision to the Colorado State Implementation Plan (SIP) regarding exemptions from opacity and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) emission limitations at coal-fired electric utility boilers (64 FR 48127). Specifically, on May 27, 1998, the State submitted revisions to Colorado Regulation No. 1 to provide coal-fired electric utility boilers with certain exemptions from the State's pre-existing limitations on opacity and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions during periods of startup, shutdown, and upset. EPA proposed to disapprove the SIF revision because EPA did not consider it to be consistent with the Clean Air Act (Act) and applicable Federal requirements. The comment period on the proposed disapproval closed October 4, 1999.

On September 17, 1999, EPA received a request to extend the public comment period on the proposed disapproval. In addition, on September 20, 1999, EPA issued an updated policy for SIP provisions that address excess emissions during malfunctions, startup, and shutdown. EPA has reviewed the State's May 27, 1998 SIP submittal in light of the September 20, 1999 policy, and EPA continues to believe that Colorado's SIP submittal is not approvable for all of the reasons outlined in the September 2, 1999 proposed rulemaking. However, in order to provide the public with an

opportunity to comment on this topic, EPA is issuing this supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking. In addition, EPA is extending the public comment period on all of the issues raised in the September 2, 1999 proposed disapproval, in response to the request for extension received on September 17, 1999. Thus, the public will have thirty days from the publication of this document to submit comments both on EPA's September 2, 1999 proposed disapproval of Colorado's SIP submittal and this supplemental notice regarding the proposed disapproval. DATES: Written comments must be received on or before November 8, 1999. ADDRESSES: Mail written comments (in duplicate if possible) to Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P-AR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466. Copies of the State documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection at the Air Pollution Control Division, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South, Denver, Colorado 80222-1530.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vicki Stamper, EPA, Region VIII, (303) 312–6445.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Background

On September 2, 1999, EPA proposed to disapprove a revision to Colorado's SIP that was submitted by the State on May 27, 1998. (See 64 FR 48127–48135.) The SIP submittal consisted of revisions to Colorado Regulation No. 1 to provide exemptions from the existing limitations on opacity and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions for coalfired electric utility boilers during periods of startup, shutdown, and upset. For further details on the State's regulation revision, please refer to Section I. of EPA's September 2, 1999 proposed rulemaking. (See 64 FR 48127–48128.)

The public comment period for EPA's September 2, 1999 proposed rulemaking ended on October 4, 1999. On September 17, 1999, EPA received a request to extend the public comment period.

On September 20, 1999, the Agency issued an update to its existing policy regarding excess emissions during

startup, shutdown, and malfunctions. (See September 20, 1999 Memorandum entitled "State Implementation Plans: Policy Regarding Excess Emissions During Malfunctions, Startup, and Shutdown," from Steven A. Herman, Assistant Administrator for Enforcement and Compliance Assurance, and from Robert Perciasepe, Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation, to the Regional Administrators.) EPA's preexisting policy on excess emissions during startup, shutdown, and malfunctions was stated in two memos dated September 28, 1982 and February 15, 1983, both entitled "Policy on Excess Emissions During Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunctions," from Kathleen M. Bennett, Assistant Administrator for Air, Noise, and Radiation, to the Regional Administrators. In EPA's September 2, 1999 proposal to disapprove Colorado's revisions to Regulation No. 1, EPA identified several issues with the revisions. Among these issues, EPA proposed to find that the revisions were inconsistent with the Act's requirements that SIP emission limits be met on a continuous basis, and based part of its analysis on the 1982 and 1983 Bennett memos. Since the agency has now issued an update to these pre-existing policy statements, EPA is issuing this supplemental notice in order to provide review of Colorado's SIP submittal in light of this updated policy and to provide the public with the opportunity to comment on this topic.

Since EPA received a request to extend the public comment period on the September 2, 1999 proposed disapproval, EPA is also providing an additional thirty days to comment on all of the issues raised in the September 2, 1999 proposed rulemaking. Thus, during this comment period, EPA will accept comments on any issue raised in our September 2, 1999 proposed disapproval as well as on any issue raised in this supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking.

### II. EPA's Review of State's Submittal in Light of EPA's September 20, 1999 Policy Regarding Excess Emissions During Malfunctions, Startup, and Shutdown

EPA's September 20, 1999 policy does not alter the Act's requirement that SIP emission limitations be met continuously. Instead, the September 20, 1999 policy clarifies the types of SIP provisions States may adopt to address startup, shutdown, and malfunction conditions and still ensure continuous compliance with emission limits needed to attain or maintain the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS).