

request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval as required by the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the National Endowment for the Humanities, Assistant Director, Grants Office, Susan G. Daisey (202-606-8494) or may be requested by email to sdaisey@neh.gov. Comments should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the National Endowment for the Humanities, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 (202-395-7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed above as soon as possible.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) is particularly interested in comments which: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond.

Agency: National Endowment for the Humanities.

Title of Proposal: My History is America's History Website.

OMB Number: 3136-0136.

Frequency of Collection: Continual.

Affected Public: General Public.

Number of Respondents:

Approximately 100,000 per year.

Estimated Time per Respondent:

Approximately one hour per response.

Estimated Total Burden Hours:

350,000.

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: 0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): 0.

Description: This submission requests approval from OMB within sixty days for a three-year extension of this currently approved collection of information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Susan G. Daisey, Assistant Director,

Grants Office, National Endowment for the Humanities, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room 311, Washington, DC 20506, or by email to: sdaisey@neh.gov. Telephone: 202-606-8494.

George Farr,

Acting Deputy Chairman.

[FR Doc. 99-25681 Filed 10-1-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[EA 98-081]

Morrison Knudsen SGT, LLC; Confirmatory Order (Effective Immediately)

I

Morrison Knudsen (MK) is a construction engineering firm with operation at multiple reactor and nuclear materials facilities regulated by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission). MK headquarters is located in Cleveland, Ohio. SGT, LLC (SGT) is an affiliate of MK involved in the steam generator replacement projects for MK.

II

On March 13, 1997, the NRC Office of Investigations (OI) initiated an investigation to determine if a former Corporate Group Welding Engineer (GWE) for MK had been discriminated against for raising safety concerns. In its report issued on February 6, 1998 (OI Case No. 3-97-013), OI concluded that there was sufficient evidence to substantiate that discrimination occurred. Specifically, OI concluded that the GWE's identification of deficiencies in welding procedures by MK and SGT employees at the Point Beach Nuclear Plant was at least a contributing factor in MK's decision to remove him from his position as MK Corporate GWE on January 15, 1997. In addition, in a decision issued on October 28, 1997, a Department of Labor (DOL) Administrative Law Judge (ALJ), in DOL Case No. 97-ERA-34, determined that the removal of the GWE was in retaliation for his engaging in protected activity. Subsequently, on May 21, 1998, the ALJ approved a settlement agreement between the GWE and MK.

On January 27, 1999, a predecisional enforcement conference was held between MK and the NRC staff to discuss the apparent violation of the NRC's employee protection requirements (10 CFR 50.7). MK retained the services of a law firm to

perform an independent investigation. MK submitted the report of this investigation and additional materials to the NRC for review in support of its position that the removal of the GWE was based upon legitimate performance considerations and not upon the GWE having engaged in protected activity. While MK and SGT¹ do not agree that a violation of the Energy Reorganization Act, as amended, or the Commission's regulations occurred, in response to the DOL and OI findings, MK and SGT have agreed to take the actions as described in Section V of this Order.

III

MK, and its affiliate SGT, have agreed to take certain actions to assess the work environment at their corporate headquarters and temporary nuclear reactor and materials job sites. Specifically, MK and SGT have committed to conduct a comprehensive cultural assessment to be performed by an independent consultant and to utilize the results of such an assessment to improve their employee concerns program and to implement a mandatory continuing training program for all supervisors and managers. The training program will have the objectives of reinforcing the importance of maintaining a safety conscious work environment and of assisting managers and supervisors in responding to employees who raise safety concerns in the workplace. MK and SGT agreed to include in such training the requirements of 10 CFR 50.7, including, but not limited to, the definition of protected activity and discrimination, and appropriate responses to the raising of safety concerns by employees. MK and SGT also agreed that such training will be conducted by an independent trainer with expertise in employee concerns programs and employee protection requirements in the nuclear industry.

In addition, MK and SGT also have committed to taking the following corrective action to ensure that employees feel free to raise safety concerns without fear of retaliation: (1) posting this Confirmatory Order and the employee protection requirements of Section 211 of the Energy Reorganization Act, as amended, and NRC Form 3, at all MK and SGT temporary nuclear reactor and materials job sites and at the MK corporate headquarters in Cleveland, Ohio; (2) implementing the recommendations of the independent third party assessment

¹ Both MK and SGT employees were involved in the alleged discrimination against the MK Corporate Group Welding Engineer.

to improve the MK and SGT employee concerns program; (3) conducting periodic updates of an employee cultural survey developed by an independent contractor to ensure that MK and SGT employees feel free to raise safety concerns without fear of retaliation; and (4) expanding the current MK and SGT exit surveys to include safety conscious work environment issues and to conduct exit surveys of their permanent and contract employees to ensure that such employees feel free to raise safety concerns while employed by MK or SGT.

IV

Since MK and SGT have committed to taking comprehensive corrective actions as set forth below, and since MK and SGT have committed to monitor the work environment and to promote an atmosphere conducive to the raising of safety concerns by employees without fear of retaliation by implementing this Confirmatory Order, the NRC staff has determined that its concerns regarding employee protection at MK corporate headquarters and at MK and SGT temporary nuclear reactor and materials job sites can be resolved through NRC's confirmation of MK and SGT commitments as outlined in this Order. Accordingly, the staff is exercising its enforcement discretion pursuant to Section VII.B.6 of the NRC Enforcement Policy and will not issue a Notice of Violation or a civil penalty in this case.

By letter dated July 9, 1999, MK and SGT consented to issuance of this Order with the commitments described in Section V below. By letter dated August 9, 1999, MK and SGT waived any right to a hearing on this Order. MK and SGT further consented to the immediate effectiveness of this Order.

I find that MK and SGT's commitments, as set forth in Section V below, are acceptable and necessary and conclude that with these commitments, the public health and safety are reasonably assured. In view of the foregoing, I have determined that public health and safety require that these commitments be confirmed by this Order. Based on the above, and MK and SGT's consent, this Order is immediately effective upon issuance.

V

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 103, 161b, 161i, 161o, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202 and 10 C.F.R. Part 50, *It is hereby ordered*, effective immediately, that:

1. MK and SGT shall hire an independent consultant to conduct audits, to review the MK and SGT Employees Concerns Program (ECP), and to conduct training for MK and SGT supervisors and managers as discussed below in Condition #2 of this Order. MK and SGT will hire this independent consultant, with experience in ECPs, to also conduct an independent evaluation of MK's and SGT's ECP to be completed by March, 2000. MK and SGT shall inform the NRC by November 1, 1999, as to the identity of its independent consultant. MK and SGT shall either implement the recommendations outlined by the consultant to ensure a safety conscious work environment exists at MK and SGT corporate and temporary nuclear reactor and materials job sites or explain to the NRC why it cannot implement such recommendations outlined by the consultant. MK and SGT shall provide the report of recommendations of their independent consultant by March, 2000 to the NRC Branch Chief, Quality Assurance, Vendor Inspection, Maintenance and Allegations Branch, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation at U.S. NRC, Mailstop O-9A1, Washington DC 20555.

2. MK and SGT will conduct mandatory continuing training programs on an annual basis beginning in the calendar year 2000 for all MK and SGT supervisors and managers at their corporate and temporary nuclear reactor and materials job sites. All temporary craft and permanent MK and SGT employees shall receive initial employee protection training as part of their access program or orientation when they begin work at an MK or SGT job site. The independent consultant, as outlined in Condition #1 of this Order, will approve this training. The training program for supervisors and managers should be conducted by an independent trainer as approved by the independent consultant, if the consultant does not conduct such training, and include:

(A) Annual training on the requirements of 10 CFR 50.7, or similar regulations, through at least calendar year 2002, including, but not limited to, what constitutes protected activity and what constitutes discrimination, and appropriate responses to the raising of safety concerns by employees. Such training shall stress the freedom of employees in the nuclear industry to raise safety concerns without fear of retaliation by their supervisors or managers.

(B) Scheduled training on building positive relationships and conflict resolution. The training program will have the objective of reinforcing the

importance of maintaining a safety conscious work environment and assisting managers and supervisors in dealing with conflicts in the work place in the context of a safety conscious work environment at MK and SGT and at their temporary nuclear reactor and materials job sites.

3. MK and SGT will integrate, into their overall program for enhancing the work environment and safety culture at their corporate headquarters and their temporary nuclear reactor and materials job sites, a cultural assessment survey (*i.e.* questionnaire) developed by the independent consultant. The time frame for integration of cultural assessments into the ECP shall be submitted, to the NRC Branch Chief mentioned in Condition #1 of this Order, by the MK and SGT independent consultant. MK and SGT agree to conduct at least three additional annual assessments. These audits should be geared toward ensuring that employees are aware of the provisions of 10 CFR 50.7, or similar regulations, are willing to come forward and report safety concerns when appropriate, and know how to implement the ECP (*e.g.* that the existence of the safety concerns hotline is well known to all employees). MK and SGT also agree to conduct audits at their temporary nuclear reactor and materials job sites soon after the initial staffing of the sites and periodically afterwards as warranted. Lastly, MK and SGT also agree to expand their exit survey to include safety conscious work environment issues and to conduct exit surveys of their permanent corporate employees and contract employees so as to ensure that all employees feel free to raise safety concerns without fear of retaliation. The questionnaires, audits, surveys, and the resulting analysis reports of these ECP documents will be submitted to the NRC for review for a period of three years from the date of this Order by sending the materials to the NRC contact stated in Condition #1 of this Order. MK and SGT will provide information to the NRC pertaining to any follow-up actions to address issues raised by the survey and audit results.

4. Following the issuance of this Confirmatory Order, MK and SGT will issue and post this Confirmatory Order, Section 211 of the Energy Reorganization Act, as amended, and NRC Form 3, to inform all of its employees of this Confirmatory Order, as well as their right to raise safety concerns to management and to the NRC without fear of retaliation. These publications shall also be posted at all temporary nuclear reactor and materials job sites and at the companies' corporate headquarters.

The Director, Office of Enforcement may relax or rescind, in writing, any of the above conditions upon a showing by MK and SGT of good cause.

VI

Any person adversely affected by this Confirmatory Order, other than MK or SGT, may request a hearing within 20 days of its issuance. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the time to request a hearing. A request for extension of time must be made in writing to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and include a statement of good cause for the extension. Any request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff, Washington, D.C. 20555. Copies of the hearing request shall also be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington D.C. 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Materials Litigation and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region III, 801 Warrenville Road, Lisle, IL 60532-4351, and to MK and SGT. If such a person requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If the hearing is requested by a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Confirmatory Order shall be sustained.

In the absence of any request for hearing, or written approval of an extension of time in which to request a hearing, the provisions specified in Section V above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceeding. If an extension of time requesting a hearing has been approved, the provisions specified in Section V shall be final when the extension expires if a hearing request has not been received. An answer or a request for a hearing shall not stay the immediate effectiveness of this order.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 24th day of September, 1999.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Frank Miraglia,

Deputy Executive Director for Reactor Programs.

[FR Doc. 99-25719 Filed 10-1-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-336 and 50-423]

Northeast Nuclear Energy Company, et al.; Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3; Issuance of Final Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), has issued a Final Director's Decision with regard to two related Petitions, both dated April 14, 1999, submitted by Mr. Scott Cullen, on behalf of Standing for Truth About Radiation, the Nuclear Information Resource Service, New York State Senator Ken LaValle, and New York State Assembly members Fred Thiele and Patricia Acampora (the Petitioners), requesting action under Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section 2.206 (10 CFR 2.206). The Petitions pertain to the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3, operated by Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (NNECO, or the licensee).

In the first Petition, the Petitioners requested that (1) the NRC immediately suspend NNECO's licenses to operate the Millstone Nuclear Power Station until there are reasonable assurances that adequate protective measures for Fishers Island, New York, can and will be taken in the event of a radiological emergency at Millstone, (2) the operating licenses should be suspended until such time as "a range of protective actions have been developed for the plume exposure pathway EPZ [emergency planning zone] for emergency workers and the public", and (3) these matters be the subject of a public hearing, with full opportunity for public comment. The basis for the Petitioners' requests is that the Millstone Nuclear Power Station is not in full compliance with the law. Specifically, the Petitioners contend that the site is in violation of 10 CFR 50.54(q) and 10 CFR 50.47 with regard to emergency planning requirements because Fishers Island, New York, which is located within the 10-mile EPZ for Millstone, has no functional emergency plan.

In the second Petition, the Petitioners requested that the NRC institute a proceeding, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, to suspend the operating licenses for the Millstone Nuclear Power Station until the facility is in full compliance with the law. Specifically, the Petitioners maintain that all of the regulatory listed factors, that is, "demography, topography, land characteristics, access routes, and jurisdictional boundaries," were ignored in establishing the 10-mile plume exposure pathway EPZ (10-mile EPZ) for emergency planning at the Millstone Nuclear Power Station and, as such, constitute a violation of 10 CFR 50.54(q) and 10 CFR 50.47.

By letter dated May 14, 1999, the NRC informed the Petitioners that their request for the immediate suspension of the operating licenses for the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3 (first Petition, Request 1), was denied. In that letter, the NRC also informed the Petitioners that their request for an informal public hearing (first Petition, Request 3) was denied. The NRC also told the Petitioners in the May 14, 1999, letter that their request, in the second Petition, to initiate a proceeding pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202 to suspend the operating licenses for Millstone did not satisfy the criteria for consideration as a 10 CFR 2.206 Petition. The reasons for these decisions were explained in the May 14, 1999, letter and in the "Final Director's Decision Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206" (DD-99-12).

As noted in the May 14, 1999, letter, the NRC stated that the areas identified in the Petitions related to the adequacy of evacuation and protective measures planning for Fishers Island, New York, would be evaluated within a reasonable time. The staff has completed its review of this area with the assistance of the Federal Emergency Management Agency. For the reasons given in the Final Director's Decision, DD-99-12, dated September 28, 1999, Request 2 of the first Petition is denied.

Additional information is contained in the "Final Director's Decision Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206" (DD-99-12), the complete text of which follows this notice and which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document rooms located at the Learning Resources Center, Three Rivers Community-Technical College, 574 New London Turnpike, Norwich, Connecticut, and at the Waterford Library, 49 Rope Ferry Road, Waterford, Connecticut.

As provided in 10 CFR 2.206(c), a copy of this Final Director's Decision will be filed with the Secretary of the