chemical substances to submit to EPA notice of intent to manufacture or import a new chemical substance 90 days before manufacture or import begins. EPA reviews the information contained in the notice to evaluate the health and environmental effects of the new chemical substance. On the basis of the review, EPA may take further regulatory action under TSCA, if warranted. If EPA takes no action within 90 days, the submitter is free to manufacture or import the new chemical substance without restriction.

TSCA section 5 also authorizes EPA to issue Significant New Use Rules (SNURs). EPA uses this authority to take follow-up action on new or existing chemicals that may present an unreasonable risk to human health or the environment if used in a manner that may result in different and/or higher exposures of a chemical to humans or the environment. Once a use is determined to be a significant new use, persons must submit a notice to EPA 90 days before beginning manufacture, processing, or importation of a chemical substance for that use. Such a notice allows EPA to receive and review information on such a use and, if necessary, regulate the use before it occurs.

Finally, TSCA section 5 also permits applications for exemption from section 5 review under certain circumstances. An applicant must provide information sufficient for EPA to make a determination that the circumstances in question qualify for an exemption. In granting an exemption, EPA may impose appropriate restrictions.

Responses to the collection of information are mandatory (see 40 CFR parts 720, 721, and 723). Respondents may claim all or part of a notice confidential. EPA will disclose information that is covered by a claim of confidentiality only to the extent permitted by, and in accordance with, the procedures in TSCA section 14 and 40 CFR part 2.

V. What Are EPA's Burden and Cost Estimates for This ICR?

Under the PRA, "burden" means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal Agency. For this collection it includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of

collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of this estimate, which is only briefly summarized in this notice. The annual public burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 101.5 hours per response. The following is a summary of the estimates taken from the ICR:

Respondents/affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are manufacturers or importers of new chemical substances, as defined by the TSCA, or manufacturers, processors, or importers of a chemical substance for a use that has been determined a significant new use, as defined by TSCA.

Estimated total number of potential respondents: 432.

Frequency of response: On occasion. Estimated total/average number of responses for each respondent: 5–6 (average).

Estimated total annual burden hours: 241,611 hours.

Estimated total annual burden costs: \$31.665 million.

VI. Are There Changes in the Estimates From the Last Approval?

There is no change in burden from that indicated in the information collection most recently approved by OMB.

VII. What is the Next Step in the Process for This ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the person listed in the "FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT" section.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Information collection requests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: September 2, 1999.

Susan H. Wayland,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.

[FR Doc. 99-23711 Filed 9-10-99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6436-1]

Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality (PSD) Final Determinations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency.

ACTION: Notice of final actions.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this document is to announce that between April 9, 1997, and February 28, 1999, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 2 Office, issued 2 final determinations, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection issued 4 final determinations and the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) issued 2 final determinations pursuant to the Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality (PSD) regulations codified at 40 CFR 52.21. In addition, this notice announces a third EPA Region 2 final determination that occurred after February 28, 1999 on the AES Puerto Rico, L.P. PSD permit issued by EPA.

DATES: The effective dates for the above determinations are delineated in the following chart (See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Frank Jon of the Permitting Section, Air Programs Branch, Division of Environmental Planning and Protection, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 Office, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007–1866, at (212) 637–4085.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the PSD regulations, the EPA Region 2 Office, the NJDEP, and the NYSDEC have made final PSD determinations relative to the sources listed below:

Name	Location	Project	Agency	Final action	Date
American Ref-Fuel Company of Essex County.	Newark, New Jersey	Municipal waste incinerator with a NO _X limit of 164 ppm (3-hour average) revised to 155 ppm (24-hour average)	NJDEP	PSD Permit Revision	June 29, 1997.
Hoffman La Roche— Roche Vitamins.	Belvidere, New Jersey	New combustion turbine (GE Frame 6, MS6001B) rated at 40 MW and heat recovery steam generator with duct burner for supplemental firing of 167 MMBTU/hr. This new cogeneration will replace existing cogeneration (reciprocating engines) and will result in net reduction of 2023 per year (tpy) of NO _X and 701 tpy of SO ² .	NJDEP	PSD Permit Revision	October 8, 1997.
Wyeth Ayerst Pharmaceuticals.	Town of Orangetown, New York.	Modification to an existing PSD facility to allow the firing of natural gas (as the primary fuel) and number 2 distillate oil (as the backup fuel) in their existing two duct burners in the cogen.	NYSDEC	PSD Permit Modification.	December 12, 1997.

Name	Location	Project	Agency	Final action	Date
Hess Oil Virgin Islands Corporation (HOVIC).	St. Croix, Virgin Islands	HOVIC owns and operates a petroleum refinery and requested the following facility changes to its PSD permit: 1. Increasing the maximum throughput limit of the fluid catalytic cracking unit (FCCU) complex to 150,000 barrels per day of low sulfur fuel-oil (from 125,000 barrels per day), and increasing the VOC mass emission limits to 12.1 pounds per hour (from 9.6 pounds per hour) and 52.7 tons per year (from 40 tons per year); 2. Increasing the production limit of the sulfuric acid plant to 320 tons per day), and increasing the sulfuric acid mist mass emission limits to 2 pounds per hour (from 1.7 pounds per hour) and 8.8 tons per year (from 7.5 tons per year); 3. Incorporating start-up exemptions from the PSD emission limits, for the FCCU complex, the sulfuric acid plant, and the sulfuric acid plant process heaters; and	EPA	PSD Permit Revision	December 12, 1997

Name	Location	Project	Agency	Final action	Date
Abbott Laboratories	Barceloneta, Puerto Rico	EPA revised the permit conditions with respect to 3 oil-fired boilers (two existing units and one "new," replacement unit), which are not PSD-affected emission units (that is, these existing units did not require a BACT review or air quality impact analyses). The conditions for these boilers were originally incorporated into the Abbott PSD permit to provide contemporaneous decreases for PM emission exceedances from Abbott's PSD-affected cogeneration facility and, in the subject case, to provide contemporaneous decreases for a PSD non-applicability determination. Specifically, the changes from the currently-effective PSD permit with respect to the 3 oil-fired boilers will not cause a significant increase in emissions of any PSD-affected pollutant.	EPA	PSD Permit Administrative Amendment.	April 3, 1998.

Name	Location	Project	Agency	Final action	Date
Union County Resource Recovery Facility.	Rahway, New Jersey	Permit amendment to clarify an increased waste throughput and the acceptance of ID type 27 waste. The facility was designed and permitted at a "nominal capacity" of 1440 tons per day (tpd) of solid waste for three units (i.e., 480 tpd per unit) having a higher heating value (HHV) of 5400 BTU per pound of waste. This corresponds to the design input of 216 MMBTU per hour per unit. Based on operational data, HHV of the waste processed has averaged 5050 BTU per pound of waste. In order to maintain the design heat input of 216 MMBTU per hour per unit, the facility must process a refuse throughput of 513.33 tpd of waste having an average of HHV of 5050 BTU per pound of waste. Based on the facility's original stoker diagram, it operating envelop allows an actual waste throughput for each unit to vary between 432 tpd and 528 tpd (i.e., HHV ranging from 3,800 to 6,000 BTU per pound of waste), for maintaining the design heat input of 216 MMBTU per hour per unit. Therefore, NJDEP is not authorizing a change in the operation of the facility, but rather is clarifying the intent of the original approval.	NJDEP	PSD Permit Administrative Amendment.	April 29, 1998.
New York City Depart- ment of Sanitation— Fresh Kills Gas Flaring Project.	Staten Island, New York	Project consists of landfill gas collection and flaring systems. It includes ten enclosed flares (9 active and one standby). The maximum flaring capacity will be 32,728 cubic feet per minute of landfill gas. This project is subject to PSD for NO _X , SO ₂ , and PM/PM ₁₀ . In addition, the project is subject to nonattainment review for CO and NO _X .	NYSDEC	New PSD Permit	July 6, 1998.

Name	Location	Project	Agency	Final action	Date
AES Puerto Rico, L.P	Guayama, Puerto Rico	A new coal-fired 454 MW steam electric cogeneration facility. The PSD permit was appealed in November 1998. On May 27,1999, EPA's Environmental Appeals Board (EAB) denied the petitions for review.	EPA	New PSD Permit	Permit Issuance: September 18, 1998 PSD Permit Effective Date: May 27, 1999.
Roche Vitamins—Hoff- man La-Roche.	Belvidere, New Jersey	Project consists of four boilers. Boilers No. 1, 2, 3, and 4 having fuel firing capacity of 84.4, 13.4, 15.2 and 11.8 MMBTU/hr, respectively. The PSD permit was revised to change the backup fuel from No. 6 fuel oil to No. 2 fuel oil with 0.05% sulfur in all boilers.	NJDEP	PSD Permit Revision	February 5, 1999.

This document lists only the sources that have received final PSD determinations. Anyone who wishes to review these determinations and related materials should contact the following offices:

EPA Actions

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 Office, Air Programs Branch—25th Floor 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007–1866.

NJDEP Actions

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy, Division of Environmental Quality, Bureau of Engineering and Technology 401 East State Street, Trenton, New Jersey 08625.

NYSDEC Actions

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Division of Air Resources, Source Review and Regional Support Section 50 Wolf Road, Albany, New York 12233–0001

If available pursuant to the Consolidated Permit Regulations (40 CFR part 124), judicial review of these determinations under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act (the Act) may be sought *only* by the filing of a petition for review in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days from the date on which these determinations are published in the **Federal Register**. Under section 307(b)(2) of the Act, these determinations shall not be subject to later judicial review in civil or criminal proceedings for enforcement.

Dated: August 26, 1999.

William J. Muszynski,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 2. [FR Doc. 99–23585 Filed 9–10–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CC Docket No. 92-237; DA 99-1830]

Next Meeting of the North American Numbering Council

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: On September 8, 1999, the Commission released a public notice announcing the September 28 and 29, 1999, meeting and agenda of the North American Numbering Council (NANC). The intended effect of this action is to make the public aware of the NANC's next meeting and its agenda.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeannie Grimes at (202) 418–2320 or jgrimes@fcc.gov. The address is: Network Services Division, Common Carrier Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, The Portals, 445 Twelfth Street, S.W., Suite 6A320, Washington, DC 20554. The fax number is: (202) 418–2345. The TTY number is: (202) 418–0484.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Released: September 8, 1999.

The next meeting of the North American Numbering Council (NANC) will be held on Tuesday, September 28, 1999, from 8:30 a.m., until 5:00 p.m., and on Wednesday, September 29, 1999, from 8:30 a.m., until 12 noon. The meeting will be held at the Federal Communications Commission, Portals II, 445 Twelfth Street, S.W., Room TW– C305, Washington, DC 20554.

This meeting is open to the members of the general public. The FCC will attempt to accommodate as many participants as possible. The public may submit written statements to the NANC, which must be received two business days before the meeting. In addition, oral statements at the meeting by parties or entities not represented on the NANC will be permitted to the extent time permits. Such statements will be limited to five minutes in length by any one party or entity, and requests to make an oral statement must be received two business days before the meeting. Requests to make an oral statement or provide written comments to the NANC should be sent to Jeannie Grimes at the address under for further information CONTACT, stated above.

Proposed Agenda—Tuesday, September 28, 1999

- 1. Approval of August 24–25, 1999, meeting minutes.
- 2. Local Number Portability Administration (LNPA) Working Group Report. Update on wireline wireless integration report.
- 3. Reseller's Code Usage and Forecast Reporting. Discussion and closure on recommendaton for reporting of reseller's data.
- 4. Numbering Resource Optimization (NRO) Working Group Report. Final review of modifications to telephone number reservation process.
- 5. Issue Management Group, Paragraph 165, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket 99–200. Review finalized report.