disagree with Petitioners' claim that because temper was not used as a matching criterion in the investigation it cannot be a relevant criterion in this review. The Department may alter its approach over different segments of a proceeding if the facts so warrant. In fact, it is not uncommon for the Department to modify its approach through different segments of a proceeding as it learns more about the product, the industry, and the selling practices within that industry. Finally, we do not agree that Petitioners' assertions and argument with respect to the alleged "commercial reality" concerns as to temper codes rise to the level of "factual information." Thus, they need not be excluded as "new factual information.'

#### Comment 10

Petitioners argue that the Department should revise Wolverine's interest expense ratio to include the costs that Wolverine incurred during the POR to refinance and restructure its debt.

Wolverine states that the Department does not require that all interest expenses incurred by a company in one year be included in COP in the same year for antidumping analysis purposes, and that the Department has allocated exchange gains and losses associated with long-term debt over the remaining term of that debt, and not to the year in which the exchange gain/loss occurred.

#### Department Position

We agree with Petitioner that Wolverine's interest expense ratio should take these costs into account; however, we also agree with Wolverine that the debt restructuring costs, which were originally excluded from the reported costs, should be amortized over five years, the term of the credit arrangement. Wolverine resubmitted its interest cost incorporating this change and we have accepted it. See also Analysis Memo.

#### Final Results of the Review

As a result of our comparison of EP to NV, we determine that a dumping margin of 0.71 percent exists for Wolverine for the period January 1, 1997 through December 31, 1997. We also determine not to revoke in part the antidumping duty order with respect to imports of subject merchandise from Wolverine.

### **Cash Deposit Instructions**

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from Canada that are

entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Wolverine will be the rate stated above; (2) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (3) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be rate, the "all others" rate established in the LTFV investigation, and (4) for any previously reviewed exporter, the cash deposit rate will be its company-specific rate established for the most recent period. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

#### Assessment Instructions

The Department will determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all entries subject to this review. For assessment purposes, we have calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates for the merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined entries during the POR to the total quantity of sales examined corresponding to such sales. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

#### **Notification of Interested Parties**

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d)(1). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 9, 1999.

#### Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99–22087 Filed 8–24–99; 8:45 am]

## BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## International Trade Administration

[A-570-506]

Porcelain-On-Steel Cooking Ware From the People's Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Extension of time limit for preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("Department") is extending by 120 days the time limit for the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review of the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") covering the period December 1, 1997, through November 30, 1998, because it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 25, 1999.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Russell Morris, at (202) 482–1775,
Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI,
Import Administration, International
Trade Administration, U.S. Department
of Commerce, 14th Street and
Constitution Avenue, N.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of the Act, as amended, by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"), effective January 1, 1995. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all references to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (April 1998).

## **Postponement of Preliminary Results of Review**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to make a

preliminary determination in an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations provide that when it is not practicable to complete the review within the specified time period, the Department may extend this time period by 120 days. Because of the complexities in this administrative review, it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. See Memorandum from Bernard T. Carreau to Robert S. LaRussa, Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Porcelain-On-Steel Cooking Ware from the People's Republic of China, on file in the Central Record Unit, Room B-099, Main Commerce

Accordingly, the Department is extending the deadline for issuing the preliminary results of this review until no later than December 31, 1999. In accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we plan to issue the final results of this administrative review within 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: August 16, 1999.

#### Bernard T. Carreau,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement Group II.

[FR Doc. 99–22085 Filed 8–24–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

Republic of China: Time Limit

# International Trade Administration [A-570-828]

Antidumping Administrative Review of Silicomanganese from the People's

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for preliminary results of review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Silicomanganese from the People's Republic of China. The review covers two manufacturer/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United

States for the period December 1, 1997, through November 30, 1998.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 25, 1999.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Timothy Finn or Jim Terpstra, Group II, Office IV, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–0065, or (202) 482–3965, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Because it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the initial time limit established by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (245 days after the last day of the anniversary month), pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until November 1, 1999. See 19 CFR 351.213 (g)(2) and the Memorandum from Bernard T. Carreau to Robert S. LaRussa, on file in the Central Records Unit located in room B-099 of the main Department of Commerce building.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(3)(A)).

Dated: August 16, 1999.

#### Bernard T. Carreau,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99–22084 Filed 8–24–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-533-810]

#### Stainless Steel Bar from India; Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of preliminary results of new shipper review of stainless steel bar from India.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from Jyoti Steel Industries, Parekh Bright Bars Pvt. Ltd., and Shah Alloys Ltd., the Department of Commerce is conducting a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India. This review covers these companies' sales of stainless steel bar to the United States during the period February 1, 1998 through July 31, 1998.

We have preliminarily determined that, during the period of review, Parekh

Bright Bars Pvt. Ltd. has made sales of subject merchandise below normal value and that Jyoti Steel Industries and Shah Alloys Ltd. have not made sales of subject merchandise below normal value. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results, we will instruct the Customs Service not to assess antidumping duties.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 25, 1999. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephanie Hoffman, James Breeden, or Melani Miller, Office 1, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th

U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–4198, (202) 482–1174, or (202) 482– 0116. respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, all references to the Department of Commerce's ("the Department's") regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (April 1998).

#### **Background**

On August 18 and August 31, 1998, the Department received requests from Jyoti Steel Industries ("Jyoti"), Parekh Bright Bars Pvt. Ltd. ("Parekh"), and Shah Alloys Ltd. ("Shah") to conduct a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India. Our notice initiating the new shipper review of these companies was published in the **Federal Register**, on October 30, 1998 (63 FR 58367). The period covered by this review is February 1, 1998, through July 31, 1998.

## **Scope of Review**

Imports covered by this review are shipments of stainless steel bar ("SSB"). SSB means articles of stainless steel in straight lengths that have been either hot-rolled, forged, turned, cold-drawn, cold-rolled or otherwise cold-finished, or ground, having a uniform solid cross section along their whole length in the shape of circles, segments of circles, ovals, rectangles (including squares), triangles, hexagons, octagons, or other convex polygons. SSB includes cold-finished SSBs that are turned or ground in straight lengths, whether produced from hot-rolled bar or from straightened