environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

## Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on May 14, 1999, the staff consulted with the Pennsylvania State official, Mr. David Ney of the Department of Environmental Protection, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated February 12, 1999, as supplemented by letter dated July 8, 1999, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Government Publications Section, State Library of Pennsylvania, (Regional Depository) Education Building, Walnut Street and Commonwealth Avenue, Box 1601, Harrisburg, PA 17150.

Dated at Rockville, MD, this 12th day of August 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

### Bartholomew C. Buckley, Sr.,

Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 99–21731 Filed 8–20–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## Laboratory Testing of Nuclear-Grade Activated Charcoal (ERRATA); Issue

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory

Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of issuance.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has issued the errata for a typographical error that was found in Generic Letter (GL) 99–02. GL 99–02 addresses the laboratory testing of nuclear-grade activated charcoal that is used in the safety-related air-cleaning units of engineered safety feature ventilation systems of nuclear power plants to reduce the potential onsite and offsite consequences of a radiological accident by adsorbing iodine.

The typographical error makes the sentence in which it appears technically incorrect. This sentence appears in two places, namely, in Requested Actions 2 and 3, on page 7 of the generic letter. The affected sentence reads "If the system has a face velocity greater than 10 percent of 0.203 m/s (40 ft/min), then the revised TS [technical specification] should specify the face velocity." This sentence should read "If the system has a face velocity greater than 110 percent of 0.203 m/s (40 ft/min), then the revised TS should specify the face velocity."

**DATES:** The errata was issued on August 23, 1999.

**ADDRESSEES:** Not applicable.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John P. Segala, at 301–415–1858.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The errata is available in the NRC Public Document Room under accession number 9908060152.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of August 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Scott F. Newberry**,

Deputy Director, Division of Reactor Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 99–21797 Filed 8–20–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# Draft Regulatory Guide; Issuance, Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued for public comment a proposed revision of a guide in its Regulatory Guide Series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public such information as methods acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the NRC's regulations, techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

The draft guide, temporarily identified by its task number, DG–1080 (which should be mentioned in all correspondence concerning this draft guide), is a proposed Revision 3 of Regulatory Guide 1.149 and is titled "Nuclear Power Plant Simulation Facilities for Use in Operator Training and License Examinations." This proposed revision is being developed to update the NRC's guidance on the certification of a simulation facility consisting solely of a plant-referenced simulator.

The draft guide has not received complete staff approval and does not represent an official NRC staff position.

Comments may be accompanied by relevant information or supporting data. Written comments may be submitted to the Rules and Directives Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Copies of comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC. Comments will be most helpful if received by October 20, 1999.

You may also provide comments via the NRC's interactive rulemaking website through the NRC home page (http://www.nrc.gov). This site provides the availability to upload comments as files (any format), if your web browser supports that function. For information about the interactive rulemaking website, contact Ms. Carol Gallagher, (301) 415–5905; e-mail *CAG@nrc.gov*. For information about the draft guide and the related documents, contact Mr. F. Collins, (301) 415–3173; e-mail JFC1@NRC.GOV.

Although a time limit is given for comments on this draft guide, comments and suggestions in connection with items for inclusion in guides currently being developed or improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time.

Regulatory guides are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC. Requests for single copies of draft or final guides (which may be reproduced) or for placement on an automatic distribution list for single copies of future draft guides in specific divisions should be made in writing to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Reproduction and

Distribution Services Section; or by fax to (301) 415–2289, or by e-mail to <DISTRIBUTION@NRC.GOV>.
Telephone requests cannot be accommodated. Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them.

(5 U.S.C. 552(a))

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 5th day of August 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Charles E. Ader,

Director, Program Management, Policy Development & Analysis Staff, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 99–21795 Filed 8–20–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

### **POSTAL SERVICE**

## Postal Service Board of Governors; Sunshine Act Meeting

TIMES AND DATES: 1:00 p.m., Monday, August 30, 1999; 8:30 a.m., Tuesday, August 31, 1999.

PLACE: Washington, D.C., at U.S. Postal Service Headquarters, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, S.W., in the Benjamin Franklin Room.

**STATUS:** August 30 (Closed); August 31 (Open).

### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Monday, August 30-1:00 p.m. (Closed)

- 1. Postal Rate Commission Opinion and Recommended Decision in Docket No. MC99–4, Bulk Parcel Return Service (BPRS).
- 2. Filing with the Postal Rate Commission for an Experimental Periodical Ride-Along Rate.
  - 3. Rate Case Briefing.
  - 4. Financial Performance.
- 5. Annual Performance Plan— Government Performance and Results
  - 6. Personnel Matters.

Tuesday, August 31—8:30 a.m. (Open)

- 1. Minutes of the Previous Meeting, August 2–3, 1999.
- 2. Remarks of the Postmaster General/Chief Executive Officer.
- Postal Rate Commission FY 2000 Budget.
  - 4. Capital Investments.
  - a. Brooklyn, New York, General Post Office Lease.
  - Bronx, New York, P&DC Exterior Renovations.
  - c. Los Angeles, California, Bulk Mail Center Expansion.
- 5. Tentative Agenda for the October 4–5, 1999, meeting in Kansas City, Missouri.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Thomas J. Koerber, Secretary of the Board, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20260–1000. Telephone (202) 268–4800.

### Thomas J. Koerber,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–21923 Filed 8–19–99; 1:50 p.m.] BILLING CODE 7710–12–M

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Control No. 3235-0080

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549

Extension

Rule 11Ac1-1 SEC File No. 270-404 OMB Control No. 3235-0461 Rule 12d2-1 SEC File No. 270-98 OMB Control No. 3235-0081 Rule 12d2-2 SEC File No. 270-86 OMB

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget requests for extension of the previously approved collections of information discussed below.

Rule 11Ac1-1, Dissemination of Quotations, contains two related collections of information necessary to disseminate market makers' published quotations to buy and sell securities to the public. The first collection of information is found in Rule 11Ac1-1(c), 17 CFR 240.11Ac1-1(c). This reporting requirement obligated each "responsible broker or dealer," as defined under the rule, to communicate to its exchange or association its best bids, best offers, and quotation sizes for any subject security, as defined under the rule. The second collection of information is found in Rule 11Ac1-1(b), 17 CFR 240.11Ac1-1(b). This reporting requirement obligates each exchange and association to make available to quotation vendors for dissemination to the public the best bid, best offer, and aggregate quotation size for each subject security. 1 Brokers,

dealers, other market participants, and members of the public rely on published quotation information to determine the best price and market for execution of customer orders.

It is anticipated that 721 respondents, consisting of 180 exchange specialists and 541 OTC market makers, will make 246,788,000 total annual responses pursuant to Rule 11Ac1–1, resulting in an annual aggregate burden of approximately 205,356 hours.

Rule 11Ac1–1 does not impose a retention period for any recordkeeping requirements. Compliance with the rule is mandatory and the information collected is made available to the public. Please note that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

Rule 12d2–1 provides the procedures by which a national securities exchange may suspend from trading a security that is listed and registered on the exchange. Under Rule 12d2–1, an exchange is permitted to suspend from trading a listed security in accordance with its rules, and must promptly notify the Commission of any such suspension, along with the effective date and the reasons for the suspension.

Any such suspension may be continued until such time as the Commission may determine that the suspension is designed to evade the provisions of Section 12(d) of the Act and Rule 12d2–1 thereunder.<sup>2</sup> During the continuance of such suspension under Rule 12d2–1, the exchange is required to notify the Commission promptly of any change in the reasons for the suspension. Upon the restoration to trading of any security suspended under Rule 12d2–1, the exchange must notify the Commission promptly of the effective date of such restoration.

Notices of suspension of trading serve a number of purposes. First, they inform the Commission that an exchange has suspended from trading a listed security or reintroduced into trading a previously suspended security. They also provide the Commission with information necessary for it to verify that the suspension has been effected in accordance with the rules of the exchange, and to determine whether the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A third requirement under Rule 11Ac1–1, as amended at 17 CFR 250.11Ac1–1(c)(5), gives electronic communications networks ("ECNs") the option of reporting to an exchange or association for public dissemination, on behalf of their OTC market maker or exchange specialist customers, the best priced orders and the full size for such orders entered by market makers, to satisfy such market

makers' reporting obligation under Rule 11Ac1–1(c). Because this reporting requirement is an alternative method of meeting the market makers' reporting obligation, and because it is directed to nine or fewer persons (ECNs), this collection of information is not subject to OMB review under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Rule 12d2–2 prescribes the circumstances under which a security may be delisted, and sets forth the procedures for taking such action.