

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 52**

[MD077-3034b; FRL-6418-9]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Control of VOC Emissions From Reinforced Plastics Manufacturing**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maryland for the purpose of establishing reasonably available control technology (RACT) for Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) emissions from reinforced plastic manufacturing. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A description of the state submittal and EPA's evaluation are in the Technical Support Document (TSD) prepared in support of this rulemaking. A copy is available, upon request, from the Regional Office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by September 20, 1999.**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to Walter Wilkie, Chief, Technical Assessment Branch, Mailcode 3AP22, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; Maryland Department of the Environment, 2500 Broening Highway, Baltimore, Maryland, 21224.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Walter Wilkie (215) 814-2150 at the

EPA Region III address above, or by e-mail at wilkie.walter@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, please see the information in the direct final action, with the same title, that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication.

Dated: August 2, 1999.

W. Michael McCabe,
Regional Administrator, Region III.
[FR Doc. 99-21159 Filed 8-18-99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Part 55**

[FRL-6422-9]

Outer Continental Shelf Air Regulations; Consistency Update for California**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA").**ACTION:** Proposed rule—consistency update.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to update a portion of the Outer Continental Shelf ("OCS") Air Regulations. Requirements applying to OCS sources located within 25 miles of states' seaward boundaries must be updated periodically to remain consistent with the requirements of the corresponding onshore area ("COA"), as mandated by section 328(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 ("the Act"). The portion of the OCS air regulations that is being updated pertains to the requirements for OCS sources for which the San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District (San Luis Obispo County APCD) and Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (Ventura County APCD) are the designated COAs. The intended effect of approving the OCS requirements for the above Districts, contained in the Technical Support Document, is to regulate emissions from OCS sources in accordance with the requirements onshore. The changes to the existing requirements discussed below are proposed to be incorporated by reference into the Code of Federal Regulations and are listed in the appendix to the OCS air regulations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 20, 1999.**ADDRESSES:** Comments must be mailed (in duplicate if possible) to: EPA Air Docket (Air-4), Attn.: Docket No. A-93-16 Section XVIII, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Division, Region

9, 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, CA 94105.

DOCKET: Supporting information used in developing the rule and copies of the documents EPA is proposing to incorporate by reference are contained in Docket No. A-93-16 Section XVIII. This docket is available for public inspection and copying Monday-Friday during regular business hours at the following locations:EPA Air Docket (Air-4), Attn.: Docket No. A-93-16 Section XVIII, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Division, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, CA 94105.
EPA Air Docket (LE-131), Attn.: Air Docket No. A-93-16 Section XVIII, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW, Room M-1500, Washington, DC 20460.

A reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christine Vineyard, Air Division (Air-4), U.S. EPA Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, (415) 744-1197.**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****I. Background**

On September 4, 1992, EPA promulgated 40 CFR part 55¹, which established requirements to control air pollution from OCS sources in order to attain and maintain federal and state ambient air quality standards and to comply with the provisions of part C of title I of the Act. Part 55 applies to all OCS sources offshore of the States except those located in the Gulf of Mexico west of 87.5 degrees longitude. Section 328 of the Act requires that for such sources located within 25 miles of a state's seaward boundary, the requirements shall be the same as would be applicable if the sources were located in the COA. Because the OCS requirements are based on onshore requirements, and onshore requirements may change, section 328(a)(1) requires that EPA update the OCS requirements as necessary to maintain consistency with onshore requirements.

Pursuant to § 55.12 of the OCS rule, consistency reviews will occur (1) at least annually; (2) upon receipt of a Notice of Intent under § 55.4; or (3) when a state or local agency submits a rule to EPA to be considered for incorporation by reference in part 55. This proposed action is being taken in

¹ The reader may refer to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, December 5, 1991 (56 FR 63774), and the preamble to the final rule promulgated September 4, 1992 (57 FR 40792) for further background and information on the OCS regulations.

response to the submittal of rules by two local air pollution control agencies. Public comments received in writing within 30 days of publication of this document will be considered by EPA before publishing a final rule.

Section 328(a) of the Act requires that EPA establish requirements to control air pollution from OCS sources located within 25 miles of states' seaward boundaries that are the same as onshore requirements. To comply with this statutory mandate, EPA must incorporate applicable onshore rules into part 55 as they exist onshore. This limits EPA's flexibility in deciding which requirements will be incorporated into part 55 and prevents EPA from making substantive changes to the requirements it incorporates. As a result, EPA may be incorporating rules into part 55 that do not conform to all of EPA's state implementation plan (SIP) guidance or certain requirements of the Act. Consistency updates may result in the inclusion of state or local rules or regulations into part 55, even though the same rules may ultimately be disapproved for inclusion as part of the SIP. Inclusion in the OCS rule does not imply that a rule meets the requirements of the Act for SIP approval, nor does it imply that the rule will be approved by EPA for inclusion in the SIP.

II. EPA Evaluation and Proposed Action

In updating 40 CFR part 55, EPA reviewed the rules submitted for inclusion in part 55 to ensure that they are rationally related to the attainment or maintenance of federal or state ambient air quality standards or part C of title I of the Act, that they are not designed expressly to prevent exploration and development of the OCS and that they are applicable to OCS sources. 40 CFR 55.1. EPA has also evaluated the rules to ensure they are not arbitrary or capricious. 40 CFR 55.12 (e). In addition, EPA has excluded administrative or procedural rules,² and requirements that regulate toxics which are not related to the attainment and maintenance of federal and state ambient air quality standards.

A. After review of the rule submitted by San Luis Obispo County APCD against the criteria set forth above and in 40 CFR part 55, EPA is proposing to make the following rules applicable to

² Each COA which has been delegated the authority to implement and enforce part 55, will use its administrative and procedural rules as onshore. However, in those instances where EPA has not delegated authority to implement and enforce part 55, EPA will use its own administrative and procedural requirements to implement the substantive requirements. 40 CFR 55.14(c)(4).

OCS sources for which the San Luis Obispo County APCD is designated as the COA:

- Rule 105 Definitions (Revised 1/24/96)
- Rule 201 Equipment Not Requiring a Permit (Revised 4/26/95)
- Rule 302 Schedule of Fees (Revised 6/18/97)
- Rule 405 Nitrogen Oxides Emission Standard, Limitations, and Prohibitions (Revised 11/16/93)
- Rule 407 Organic Material Emission Standards (Revised 5/22/96)
- Rule 411 Surface Coating of Metal Parts and Products (Revised 1/28/98)
- Rule 419 Petroleum Pits, Ponds, Sumps, Well Cellars, and Wastewater Separators (Revised 7/12/94)
- Rule 425 Storage of Volatile Organic Compounds (Adopted 7/12/94)
- Rule 427 Marine Tanker Loading (Adopted 4/26/95)
- Rule 429 Oxides of Nitrogen and Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Electric Power Generation Boilers, (Revised 11/12/97)
- Rule 430 Control of Oxides of Nitrogen from Industrial, Institutional, Commercial Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters (Adopted 7/26/95)
- Rule 431 Stationary Internal Combustion Engines (Adopted 11/13/96)
- Rule 601 New Source Performance Standards (Revised 5/28/97)
 1. *The following San Luis Obispo County APCD rule will be removed from part 55:*
 - Rule 104 Action in Areas of High Concentration (Repealed 3/26/97)
 - B. After review of the rules submitted by Ventura County APCD against the criteria set forth above and in 40 CFR part 55, EPA is proposing to make the following rules applicable to OCS sources for which the Ventura County APCD is designated as the COA.
 1. *The following rules were submitted as revisions to existing requirements:*
 - Rule 42 Permit Fees (Revised 6/22/99)
 - Rule 64 Sulfur Content of Fuels (Revised 4/13/99)
 2. *The following new rules were submitted:*
 - Rule 57 Source Test, Emission Monitor, and Call-Back Fees (Adopted 6/22/99)
 3. *The following rule was submitted but will not be incorporated into part 55:*
 - Rule 221 Transportation Conformity (Adopted 6/8/99)

III. Administrative Requirements

A. Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this regulatory

action from Executive Order (E.O.) 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review.

B. Executive Order 12875

Under Executive Order 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute and that creates a mandate upon a State, local or tribal government, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by those governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, Executive Order 12875 requires EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected State, local and tribal governments, the nature of their concerns, copies of any written communications from the governments, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 12875 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of State, local and tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant unfunded mandates." Today's rule does not create a mandate on State, local or tribal governments. The rule does not impose any enforceable duties on these entities. Accordingly, the requirements of section 1(a) of E.O. 12875 do not apply to this rule.

C. Executive Order 13045

Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), applies to any rule that: (1) is determined to be "economically significant" as defined under E.O. 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children, and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency. This rule is not subject to E.O. 13045 because it does not involve decisions intended to mitigate environmental health or safety risks.

D. Executive Order 13084

Under Executive Order 13084, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, EPA may

not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of Indian tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities." Today's rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. Accordingly, the requirements of section 3(b) of E.O. 13084 do not apply to this rule.

E. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and small governmental jurisdictions. This final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not create any new requirements, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the Clean Air Act, preparation of flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. *Union Electric Co., v. U.S.*

EPA, 427 U.S. 246, 255-66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

F. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 55

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedures, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Nitrogen oxides, Outer Continental Shelf, Ozone, Particulate matter, Permits, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides.

Dated: August 6, 1999.

Laura Yoshii,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 55, is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 55—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 55 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Section 328 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*) as amended by Public Law 101-549.

2. Section 55.14 is proposed to be amended by revising paragraphs (e)(3)(ii)(E) and (e)(3)(ii)(H) to read as follows:

§ 55.14 Requirements that apply to OCS sources located within 25 miles of States seaward boundaries, by State.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

(3) * * *

(ii) * * *

(E) *San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District Requirements Applicable to OCS Sources.*

* * * * *

(H) *Ventura County Air Pollution Control District Requirements Applicable to OCS Sources.*

* * * * *

3. Appendix A to CFR Part 55 is proposed to be amended by revising paragraph (b)(5) and (8) under the heading "California" to read as follows:

Appendix A to Part 55—Listing of State and Local Requirements Incorporated by Reference Into Part 55, by State

* * * * *

California

* * * * *

(b) Local requirements.

* * * * *

(5) The following requirements are contained in *San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District Requirements Applicable to OCS Sources*, July 12, 1999:

Rule 103 Conflicts Between District, State and Federal Rules (Adopted 8/6/76)

Rule 104 Action in Areas of High Concentration (Repealed 3/26/97)

Rule 105 Definitions (Adopted 1/24/96)

Rule 106 Standard Conditions (Adopted 8/6/76)

Rule 108 Severability (Adopted 11/13/84)

Rule 113 Continuous Emissions

Monitoring, except F. (Adopted 7/5/77)

Rule 201 Equipment not Requiring a Permit, except A.1.b. (Revised 4/26/95)

Rule 202 Permits, except A.4. and A.8. (Adopted 11/5/91)

Rule 203 Applications, except B. (Adopted 11/5/91)

Rule 204 Requirements, except B.3. and C. (Adopted 8/10/93)

Rule 209 Provision for Sampling and Testing Facilities (Adopted 11/5/91)

Rule 210 Periodic Inspection, Testing and Renewal of Permits to Operate (Adopted 11/5/91)

Rule 213 Calculations, except E.4. and F. (Adopted 8/10/93)

Rule 302 Schedule of Fees (Adopted 6/18/97)

Rule 305 Fees for Major Non-Vehicular Sources (title change—Adopted 9/15/92)

Rule 401 Visible Emissions (Adopted 8/6/76)

Rule 403 Particulate Matter Emissions (Adopted 8/6/76)

Rule 404 Sulfur Compounds Emission Standards, Limitations and Prohibitions (Revised 12/6/76)

- Rule 405 Nitrogen Oxides Emission Standards, Limitations and Prohibitions (Adopted 11/16/93)
- Rule 406 Carbon Monoxide Emission Standards, Limitations and Prohibitions (Adopted 11/14/84)
- Rule 407 Organic Material Emission Standards, Limitations and Prohibitions (Adopted 5/22/96)
- Rule 411 Surface Coating of Metal Parts and Products (Adopted 1/28/98)
- Rule 416 Degreasing Operations (Adopted 6/18/79)
- Rule 417 Control of Fugitive Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds (Adopted 2/9/93)
- Rule 419 Petroleum Pits, Ponds, Sumps, Well Cellars, and Wastewater Separators (Revised 7/12/94)
- Rule 422 Refinery Process Turnarounds (Adopted 6/18/79)
- Rule 425 Storage of Volatile Organic Compounds (Adopted 7/12/94)
- Rule 427 Marine Tanker Loading (Adopted 4/26/95)
- Rule 429 Oxides of Nitrogen and Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Electric Power Generation Boilers, (Revised 11/12/97)
- Rule 430 Control of Oxides of Nitrogen from Industrial, Institutional, Commercial Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters (Adopted 7/26/95)
- Rule 431 Stationary Internal Combustion Engines (Adopted 11/13/96)
- Rule 501 General Burning Provisions (Adopted 1/10/89)
- Rule 503 Incinerator Burning, except B.1.a. (Adopted 2/7/89)
- Rule 601 New Source Performance Standards (Adopted 5/28/97)
- * * * * *
- (8) The following requirements are contained in Ventura County Air Pollution Control District Requirements Applicable to OCS Sources:
- Rule 2 Definitions (Adopted 11/10/98)
- Rule 5 Effective Date (Adopted 5/23/72)
- Rule 6 Severability (Adopted 11/21/78)
- Rule 7 Zone Boundaries (Adopted 6/14/77)
- Rule 10 Permits Required (Adopted 6/13/95)
- Rule 11 Definition for Regulation II (Adopted 6/13/95)
- Rule 12 Application for Permits (Adopted 6/13/95)
- Rule 13 Action on Applications for an Authority to Construct (Adopted 6/13/95)
- Rule 14 Action on Applications for a Permit to Operate (Adopted 6/13/95)
- Rule 15.1 Sampling and Testing Facilities (Adopted 10/12/93)
- Rule 16 BACT Certification (Adopted 6/13/95)
- Rule 19 Posting of Permits (Adopted 5/23/72)
- Rule 20 Transfer of Permit (Adopted 5/23/72)
- Rule 23 Exemptions from Permits (Adopted 7/9/96)
- Rule 24 Source Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Emission Statements (Adopted 9/15/92)
- Rule 26 New Source Review (Adopted 10/22/91)
- Rule 26.1 New Source Review—Definitions (Adopted 10/22/91)
- Rule 26.2 New Source Review—Requirements (Adopted 10/22/91)
- Rule 26.3 New Source Review—Exemptions (Adopted 10/22/91)
- Rule 26.6 New Source Review—Calculations (Adopted 10/22/91)
- Rule 26.8 New Source Review—Permit To Operate (Adopted 10/22/91)
- Rule 26.10 New Source Review—PSD (Adopted 10/22/91)
- Rule 28 Revocation of Permits (Adopted 7/18/72)
- Rule 29 Conditions on Permits (Adopted 10/22/91)
- Rule 30 Permit Renewal (Adopted 5/30/89)
- Rule 32 Breakdown Conditions: Emergency Variances, A., B.1., and D. only. (Adopted 2/20/79)
- Rule 33 Part 70 Permits—General (Adopted 10/12/93)
- Rule 33.1 Part 70 Permits—Definitions (Adopted 10/12/93)
- Rule 33.2 Part 70 Permits—Application Contents (Adopted 10/12/93)
- Rule 33.3 Part 70 Permits—Permit Content (Adopted 10/12/93)
- Rule 33.4 Part 70 Permits—Operational Flexibility (Adopted 10/12/93)
- Rule 33.5 Part 70 Permits—Time frames for Applications, Review and Issuance (Adopted 10/12/93)
- Rule 33.6 Part 70 Permits—Permit Term and Permit Reissuance (Adopted 10/12/93)
- Rule 33.7 Part 70 Permits—Notification (Adopted 10/12/93)
- Rule 33.8 Part 70 Permits—Reopening of Permits (Adopted 10/12/93)
- Rule 33.9 Part 70 Permits—Compliance Provisions (Adopted 10/12/93)
- Rule 33.10 Part 70 Permits—General Part 70 Permits (Adopted 10/12/93)
- Rule 34 Acid Deposition Control (Adopted 3/14/95)
- Rule 35 Elective Emission Limits (Adopted 11/12/96)
- Rule 36 New Source Review—Hazardous Air Pollutants (Adopted 10/6/98)
- Appendix II-B.—Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Tables (Adopted 12/86)
- Rule 42 Permit Fees (Adopted 6/22/99)
- Rule 44 Exemption Evaluation Fee (Adopted 9/10/96)
- Rule 45 Plan Fees (Adopted 6/19/90)
- Rule 47 Source Test, Emission Monitor, and Call-Back Fees (Adopted 6/22/99)
- Rule 45.2 Asbestos Removal Fees (Adopted 8/4/92)
- Rule 50 Opacity (Adopted 2/20/79)
- Rule 52 Particulate Matter—Concentration (Adopted 5/23/72)
- Rule 53 Particulate Matter—Process Weight (Adopted 7/18/72)
- Rule 54 Sulfur Compounds (Adopted 6/14/94)
- Rule 56 Open Fires (Adopted 3/29/94)
- Rule 57 Combustion Contaminants—Specific (Adopted 6/14/77)
- Rule 60 New Non-Mobile Equipment—Sulfur Dioxide, Nitrogen Oxides, and Particulate Matter (Adopted 7/8/72)
- Rule 62.7 Asbestos—Demolition and Renovation (Adopted 6/16/92)
- Rule 63 Separation and Combination of Emissions (Adopted 11/21/78)
- Rule 64 Sulfur Content of Fuels (Adopted 4/13/99)
- Rule 67 Vacuum Producing Devices (Adopted 7/5/83)
- Rule 68 Carbon Monoxide (Adopted 6/14/77)
- Rule 71 Crude Oil and Reactive Organic Compound Liquids (Adopted 12/13/94)
- Rule 71.1 Crude Oil Production and Separation (Adopted 6/16/92)
- Rule 71.2 Storage of Reactive Organic Compound Liquids (Adopted 9/26/89)
- Rule 71.3 Transfer of Reactive Organic Compound Liquids (Adopted 6/16/92)
- Rule 71.4 Petroleum Sumps, Pits, Ponds, and Well Cellars (Adopted 6/8/93)
- Rule 71.5 Glycol Dehydrators (Adopted 12/13/94)
- Rule 72 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) (Adopted 9/10/96)
- Rule 74 Specific Source Standards (Adopted 7/6/76)
- Rule 74.1 Abrasive Blasting (Adopted 11/12/91)
- Rule 74.2 Architectural Coatings (Adopted 08/11/92)
- Rule 74.6 Surface Cleaning and Degreasing (Adopted 11/10/98)
- Rule 74.6.1 Cold Cleaning Operations (Adopted 7/9/96)
- Rule 74.6.2 Batch Loaded Vapor Degreasing Operations (Adopted 7/9/96)
- Rule 74.7 Fugitive Emissions of Reactive Organic Compounds at Petroleum Refineries and Chemical Plants (Adopted 1/10/89)
- Rule 74.8 Refinery Vacuum Producing Systems, Waste-water Separators and Process Turnarounds (Adopted 7/5/83)
- Rule 74.9 Stationary Internal Combustion Engines (Adopted 12/21/93)
- Rule 74.10 Components at Crude Oil Production Facilities and Natural Gas Production and Processing Facilities (Adopted 6/16/92)
- Rule 74.11 Natural Gas-Fired Residential Water Heaters-Control of NO_x (Adopted 4/9/85)
- Rule 74.12 Surface Coating of Metal Parts and Products (Adopted 9/10/96)
- Rule 74.15 Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters (5MM BTUs and greater) (Adopted 11/8/94)
- Rule 74.15.1 Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters (1–5MM BTUs) (Adopted 6/13/95)
- Rule 74.16 Oil Field Drilling Operations (Adopted 1/8/91)
- Rule 74.20 Adhesives and Sealants (Adopted 1/14/97)
- Rule 74.23 Stationary Gas Turbines (Adopted 3/14/95)
- Rule 74.24 Marine Coating Operations (Adopted 9/10/96)
- Rule 74.24.1 Pleasure Craft Coating and Commercial Boatyard Operations (Adopted 11/10/98)
- Rule 74.26 Crude Oil Storage Tank Degassing Operations (Adopted 11/8/94)
- Rule 74.27 Gasoline and ROC Liquid Storage Tank Degassing Operations (Adopted 11/8/94)
- Rule 74.28 Asphalt Roofing Operations (Adopted 5/10/94)
- Rule 74.30 Wood Products Coatings (Adopted 9/10/96)
- Rule 75 Circumvention (Adopted 11/27/78)
- Appendix IV-A.—Soap Bubble Tests (Adopted 12/86)

- Rule 100 Analytical Methods (Adopted 7/18/72)
 Rule 101 Sampling and Testing Facilities (Adopted 5/23/72)
 Rule 102 Source Tests (Adopted 11/21/78)
 Rule 103 Continuous Monitoring Systems (Adopted 2/9/99)
 Rule 154 Stage 1 Episode Actions (Adopted 9/17/91)
 Rule 155 Stage 2 Episode Actions (Adopted 9/17/91)
 Rule 156 Stage 3 Episode Actions (Adopted 9/17/91)
 Rule 158 Source Abatement Plans (Adopted 9/17/91)
 Rule 159 Source Abatement Procedures (Adopted 9/17/91)
 Rule 220 General Conformity (Adopted 5/9/95)

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 99-21315 Filed 8-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[MO 080-1080b; FRL-6421-5]

Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; Control of Emissions From Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators (HMIWIs); State of Missouri

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the state of Missouri's section 111(d) plan for controlling emissions from existing HMIWIs. The plan was submitted to fulfill the requirements of sections 111 and 129 of the Clean Air Act. The state plan establishes emission limits and controls for sources constructed on or before June 20, 1996.

In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the state's submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no relevant adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to that rule, no further activity is contemplated, and the direct final rule will become effective. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn, and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties

interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by September 20, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Wayne Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne Kaiser at (913) 551-7603.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

Dated: August 4, 1999.

William Rice,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region VII.

[FR Doc. 99-21310 Filed 8-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[AD-FRL-6419-6]

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Halogenated Solvent Cleaning

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This action proposes amendments to the "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Halogenated Solvent Cleaning" promulgated in the **Federal Register** on December 2, 1994 (59 FR 61801). This action proposes revisions concerning additional compliance options for continuous web cleaning machines, as well as clarifications that apply to steam-heated vapor cleaning machines and to cleaning machines used to clean transformers. Because the proposed revisions ensure that all owners or operators of solvent cleaning machines have appropriate and attainable requirements for their cleaning machines, we do not anticipate receiving adverse comments.

Consequently, these proposed revisions are also being issued as a direct final rule in the "Final Rules" section of today's **Federal Register**. If no significant and timely comments are received, no further action will be taken with respect to this proposal and the direct final rule will become final on the date provided in that action.

DATES: Written comments on these proposed changes must be received by

September 20, 1999. Anyone requesting a public hearing must contact the EPA no later than August 30, 1999. If a hearing is held, it will take place on September 2, 1999 beginning at 10 a.m. Persons interested in attending the hearing should call Ms. Janet Eck at (919) 541-7946 to verify that a hearing will be held.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be submitted (in duplicate, if possible) to: Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (MC-6102), Attention Docket Number A-92-39, Room M-1500, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460. The EPA requests that a separate copy of each public comment be sent to the contact person listed below (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information concerning the standards and the proposed changes, contact Mr. Paul Almodóvar, Coatings and Consumer Products Group, Emission Standards Division (MD-13), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, telephone number (919) 541-0283. For information regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, contact Ms. Acquanetta Delaney, Manufacturing Branch, Office of Compliance (2223A), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone (202) 564-7061.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Comment Submission

Comments may be submitted electronically via electronic mail (E-mail) or on diskette. Electronic comments on this proposed rule may be filed via E-mail at most Federal depository libraries. E-mail submittals should be sent to: "A-and-R-docket@epamail.epa.gov." Electronic comments must be submitted as an American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII) file avoiding the use of special characters or any form of encryption. Comments and data will also be accepted on diskette in WordPerfect, Version 5.1 or 6.1 file format, or ASCII file format. All comments and data for this proposal, whether in paper form or electronic form such as through E-mail or on diskette, must be identified by Docket No. A-92-39. No confidential business information should be submitted through E-Mail.

For additional information, see the direct final rule published in the Final Rules section of today's **Federal Register**.