#### Repetitive Inspections

(b) If, during the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, the wear on each doubler measures less than 0.045 inch, repeat the inspection and measurement required by paragraph (a) of this AD thereafter at intervals not to exceed 60 days, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 777–53A0018, Revision 1, dated February 11, 1999; until paragraph (g) of this AD has been accomplished.

(c) If, during the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, the wear on either doubler measures greater than or equal to 0.045 inch, but does not penetrate into or through the APU firewall: Repeat the inspection and measurement required by paragraph (a) of this AD thereafter at intervals not to exceed 30 days, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 777–53A0018, Revision 1, dated February 11, 1999; until paragraph (g) of this AD has been accomplished.

#### **Corrective Actions**

- (d) If, during the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, any wear penetrates through either doubler and into or through the APU firewall: Within 20 days after detection of the wear, accomplish either paragraph (d)(1) or (d)(2) of this AD in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 777–53A0018, Revision 1, dated February 11, 1999.
- (1) Install a temporary stainless steel patch on both doublers, and within 4,000 flight cycles after installation of the temporary patch, accomplish the requirements of paragraph (e) of this AD.

(2) Accomplish the requirements of paragraph (e) of this AD.

- (e) For airplanes on which wear is detected that penetrates through either doubler and into or through the APU firewall:
  Accomplish the requirements of paragraphs (e)(1) and (e)(2) of this AD at the time specified in paragraph (d) of this AD, as applicable.
- (1) Repair the damage to the APU firewall in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.
- (2) Replace both existing wear plate doublers of the APU firewall with new stainless steel wear plate doublers in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 777–53A0018, Revision 1, dated February 11, 1999. Such replacement constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this AD.

#### **One-Time Inspection**

(f) For airplanes having L/N 001 through 037 inclusive that have been modified prior to the effective date of this AD in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 777–53A0018, dated June 29, 1998: Within 4 years after the effective date of this AD, perform a one-time visual inspection to detect improper clearance between the safety spring wear plate doublers and the APU firewall, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 777–53A0018, Revision 1, dated February 11, 1999.

(1) If the doublers are not in contact with the chemically milled pocket of the APU firewall, no further action is required by this paragraph.

(2) If the doublers are in contact with the chemically milled pocket of the APU firewall, prior to further flight, install shims between the safety spring wear plate doublers and the APU firewall, in accordance with Part 6 of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin.

# **Optional Terminating Action**

(g) Replacement of the existing wear plate doublers of the APU firewall with new stainless steel wear plate doublers, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 777–53A0018, Revision 1, dated February 11, 1999, constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this AD.

#### **Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(h) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

**Note 3:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

#### **Special Flight Permits**

(i) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

#### **Incorporation by Reference**

(j) Except as provided by paragraph (e)(1) of this AD, the actions shall be done in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 777–53A0018, Revision 1, dated February 11, 1999. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(k) This amendment becomes effective on September 17, 1999.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 4, 1999.

#### D. L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 99–20501 Filed 8–12–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-CE-20-AD; Amendment 39-11250; AD 99-17-01]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Pilatus Aircraft Ltd. Models PC-12 and PC-12/ 45 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to certain Pilatus Aircraft Ltd. (Pilatus) Models PC-12 and PC-12/45 airplanes. This AD requires replacing all flap drive shafts with flap drive shafts of improved design, installing additional gaskets on the power drive unit, and modifying the attachment and supporting hardware. This AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Switzerland. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent the flap drive shafts from corroding to the point where the flexible shafts in the flap drive system rupture, which could result in the inability to utilize the flap system with reduced airplane control.

DATES: Effective October 1, 1999.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of October 1, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Service information that applies to this AD may be obtained from Pilatus Aircraft Ltd., Customer Liaison Manager, CH–6371 Stans, Switzerland; telephone: +41 41 619 63 19; facsimile: +41 41 610 33 51. This information may also be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99–CE–20–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Roman T. Gabrys, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 426–6932; facsimile: (816) 426–2169.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Events Leading to the Issuance of This AD**

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an AD that would apply to certain Pilatus Models PC-12 and PC-12/45 airplanes was published in the Federal Register as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) on June 14, 1999 (64 FR 31756). The NPRM proposed to require replacing all flap drive shafts with flap drive shafts of improved design, installing additional gaskets on the power drive unit, and modifying the attachment and supporting hardware. Accomplishment of the proposed action as specified in the NPRM would be required in accordance with Pilatus Service Bulletin No. 27–003, dated March 8, 1999.

The NPRM was the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Switzerland.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were received on the proposed rule or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

#### The FAA's Determination

After careful review of all available information related to the subject presented above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed except for minor editorial corrections. The FAA has determined that these minor corrections will not change the meaning of the AD and will not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed.

#### **Compliance Time of This AD**

The unsafe condition specified by this AD is caused by corrosion. Corrosion can occur regardless of whether the aircraft is being operated. For example, corrosion could develop on one of the affected airplanes at a certain time; then, if allowed to go undetected, the corrosion could develop into a more serious problem even if the airplane is in storage. Therefore, to assure that the unsafe condition specified in this AD does not go undetected for a long period of time, the compliance is presented in calendar time instead of hours time-inservice (TIS).

# **Cost Impact**

The FAA estimates that 69 airplanes in the U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 19 workhours per airplane to accomplish this action, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60

an hour. Parts will be provided by the manufacturer to the owners/operators of the affected aircraft free-of-charge. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of this AD on US operators is estimated to be \$78,660, or \$1,140 per airplane.

#### **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) Is not a 'significant regulatory action' under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a 'significant rule'' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the final evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

# **Adoption of the Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

**99–17–01 Pilatus Aircraft Ltd.:** Amendment 39–11250; Docket No. 99–CE–20–AD.

Applicability: Models PC-12 and PC-12/45 airplanes, manufacturer serial number (MSN) 101 through 239, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD: and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

*Compliance:* Required as indicated in the body of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent the flap drive shafts from corroding to the point where the flexible shafts in the flap drive system rupture, which could result in the inability to utilize the flap system with reduced airplane control, accomplish the following:

- (a) Within the next 4 calendar months after the effective date of this AD, accomplish the following in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions section of Pilatus Service Bulletin No. 27–003, dated March 8, 1999:
- (1) Replace all flap drive shafts with flap drive shafts of improved design (part numbers as specified in paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of this AD);
- (2) Install additional gaskets on the power drive unit; and
- (3) Modify the attachment and supporting hardware.
- (b) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install, on any affected airplane, a flap drive shaft assembly that is not of the following part numbers (or FAA-approved equivalent part numbers):
- (1) Part number 945.02.02.201: Flap Drive Shaft 953D100-5 (Inboard); and
- (2) Part-number 945.02.02.202: Flap Drive Shaft 953D100-7 (Outboard).

**Note 2:** The FAA recommends that the owner/operator of the affected airplanes insert Pilatus Temporary Revision No. 27–07, dated January 8, 1999, into the PC12 Maintenance Manual at the same time this AD is accomplished to assure that the maintenance procedures for the improved design parts are current.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate.

**Note 3:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of

compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Small Airplane Directorate.

(e) Questions or technical information related to Pilatus Service Bulletin No: 27–003, dated March 8, 1999, should be directed to Pilatus Aircraft Ltd., Customer Liaison Manager, CH–6371 Stans, Switzerland; telephone: +41 41 619 63 19; facsimile: +41 41 610 33 51. This service information may be examined at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106

(f) The replacements, installations, and modification required by this AD shall be done in accordance with Pilatus Service Bulletin No: 27-003, dated March 8, 1999. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Pilatus Aircraft Ltd., Customer Liaison Manager, CH-6371 Stans, Switzerland. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

**Note 4:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Swiss AD HB 99–241, dated May 8, 1999.

(g) This amendment becomes effective on October 1, 1999.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on August 3, 1999.

#### Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 99–20568 Filed 8–12–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

# 14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 99-AAL-6]

Revision of Class D Airspace; Lake Hood, Elmendorf AFB, and Merrill Field, AK Revision of Class E Airspace; Elmendorf AFB and Merrill Field, AK

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action revises the Class D airspace at Lake Hood, AK, Elmendorf Air Force Base (AFB), AK, and Merrill Field, AK, as well as the Class E airspace (designated as surface areas) at Elmendorf AFB, AK, and Merrill Field, AK. The revision of the Anchorage, Alaska, Terminal Airspace Area segment boundaries affecting Lake Hood, AK, Elmendorf AFB, AK, and Merrill Field, AK, made this action necessary. With the exception of the

internal boundary between Merrill Field, AK, and Lake Hood, AK, airspace areas, the adoption of this rule will result in the alignment of Class D airspace to coincide with the revised Anchorage Terminal Airspace segment boundaries, eliminating chart clutter and confusion between segment, Class D boundaries, and Class E boundaries. The adoption of this rule will also align the Elmendorf AFB, AK, and Merrill Field, AK, Class E airspace areas (designated as surface areas) with the Class D boundaries.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** 0901 UTC, November 4, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Durand, Operations Branch, AAL-531, Federal Aviation Administration, 222 West 7th Avenue, Box 14, Anchorage, AK 99513-7587; telephone number (907) 271-5898; fax: (907) 271-2850; email: Bob.Durand@faa.gov. Internet address: http://www.alaska.faa.gov/at.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### History

On October 1, 1997, the FAA published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in the Federal **Register** (62 FR 190) to revise the Anchorage, Alaska, Terminal Area (Docket No. 29029, Notice No. 97-14). In this rulemaking, the segment boundaries for the Merrill, Lake Hood, and Elmendorf AFB segments were revised. On March 29, 1999, the FAA published the final rule in the **Federal** Register (62 FR 14971) for the Anchorage, Alaska, Terminal Area, revising boundaries and descriptions for each segment with the effective date as June 17, 1999.

On April 20, 1999 a proposal to amend part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) to revise the Class D and Class E airspace in the Anchorage, Alaska, Terminal Area was published in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 19310). The proposal was necessary to match the Class D and Class E airspace boundaries to the changes in segment boundaries in the Anchorage, Alaska, Terminal Area published in airspace docket 29029.

Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No public comments to the proposal were received, however, the coordinates for West High School, Ship Creek, and Point Noname were published with errors. The corrected coordinates for West High School are 61°12′01″N., 149°55′00″W.; Ship Creek are 61°13′26″N., 149°53′37″W.; and Point

Noname are 61°15′36″N., 149°55′39″W. Additionally, the Class D internal boundary between Lake Hood, AK, and Merrill Field, AK, was modified at the request of Merrill Field and Anchorage Airport Traffic Control Towers (ATCT) to return to the pre-existing boundaries for the following reasons: (1) increase lateral separation between Merrill Field's West High and Chester Creek arrival and departure routes; (2) increased lateral separation between Merrill Field traffic and Lake Hood traffic; (3) operational advantage to both Lake Hood ATCT and Merrill Field ATCT whereas aircraft traversing from Point MacKenzie Visual Check Point to the West High School Visual Check Point would not be spilling over into Lake Hood Class D airspace, requiring additional Lake Hood ATCT to Merrill Field ATCT coordination and communication; and (4) operational advantage to both Lake Hood ATCT and Merrill Field ATCT whereas aircraft, avoiding direct overflight of West High School, flying south of and around the school (current operational standard) would not be spilling over into Lake Hood Class D airspace.

The boundary change between Lake Hood and Merrill Field Class D airspaces is an internal boundary modification and does not affect the external Class D boundaries. The Lake Hood Class D airspace description will now read "\* \* \* within a line beginning at Point Mackenzie, thence direct to the Mouth of Fish Creek, thence direct to the Northern Lights Boulevard (Blvd) railroad bridge, thence direct to the intersection of Tudor Road and the New Seward Highway, \* The Merrill Field Class D and Class E airspace descriptions will now read "\* \* west along Tudor Road to the New Seward Highway, thence direct to the Northern Lights Blvd railroad bridge, thence direct to the Mouth of Fish Creek, thence direct to Point MacKenzie, \* \* \*" The coordinates for Northern Lights Blvd railroad bridge and Mouth of Fish Creek have been added: Northern Lights Blvd railroad bridge (lat. 61°11′43″N., long 149°55′48″W.) and Mouth of Fish Creek (lat. 61°12′21″N., long. 149°55′59″W.).

The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that these changes are editorial in nature and will not increase the scope of this rule. Except for the non-substantive change just discussed, the rule is adopted as written.

The area will be depicted on aeronautical charts for pilot reference. The coordinates for this airspace docket are based on North American Datum 83. The Class D airspace areas are published in paragraph 5000 and the Class E