Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of July 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 99–20666 Filed 8–10–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-35,816]

Chapman Services, Odessa, TX; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at the Chapman Services, Odessa, Texas. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-35,816; Chapman Services, Odessa Texas (July 26, 1999)

Signed at Washington, DC this 2nd day of August, 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 99–20675 Filed 8–10–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-36,221]

Don-Nan Machine and Manufacturing Midland, Texas; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on May 10, 1999 in response to a worker petition which was filed on April 23, 1999 on behalf of workers at Don-Nan Machine and Manufacturing, Midland, Texas.

The investigation revealed that an investigation was initiated on February 1, 1999 in response to a previous petition (TA–W–35,572), filed on behalf of workers at Don-Nan Pump & Supply Company, Inc. Midland, Texas. The workers produced oilfield rod pump parts and related oilfield parts. The investigation also revealed that criterion (3) had not been met and was denied on February 24, 1999. Consequently,

further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 22nd day of July, 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 99–20670 Filed 8–10–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-36,417]

General Electric Company, Motors and Transformers Divisions, Fort Wayne, IN; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on June 21, 1999, in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at the General Electric Company, Motors and Transformers Division, Fort Wayne, Indiana.

All workers of the subject firm are covered under an existing certification under TA–W–33, 7783. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 27th day of July, 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 99–20679 Filed 8–10–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-36,195]

Jahmpasa USA, Incorporated, Vass, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on May 10, 1999 in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers and former workers at Jahmpasa USA, Incorporated, located in Vass, North Carolina (TA–W–36,195).

The Department of Labor has determined that the petitioning group of workers are covered by an existing certification, as amended (TA–W–34,840A). Consequently, further investigation in this matter would serve

no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 23rd day of July, 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 99–20680 Filed 8–10–99; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-35, 213, TA-W-35, 213A]

Lady Carol Dresses, a Subsidiary of Duryea Industries; Duryea, PA, New York, NY; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on February 5, 1999, applicable to workers of Lady Carol Dresses, a subsidiary of Duryea Industries, located in Duryea, Pennsylvania. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 11, 1999 (64 FR 25372).

At the request of the UNITE union, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information received by the company shows that worker separations occurred at the New York, New York location of Lady Carol Dresses when the company closed in October 1988. The New York, New York location was the sales office, administration and showroom for Lady Carol's production facility in Duryea, Pennsylvania. The workers were engaged in the production of ladies' dresses.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Lady Carol Dresses who were adversely affected by increased imports of ladies' dresses. Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to cover the workers of Lady Carol Dresses, New York, New York.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–35, 213 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Lady Carol Dresses, a subsidiary of Duryea Industries, Duryea, Pennsylvania (TA–W–35, 213) and New York, New York (TA–W–35, 213A) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after October 23, 1997 through February 5, 2001 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of July, 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 99–20665 Filed 8–10–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than August 23, 1999.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than August 23, 1999.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of July, 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX [Petitions instituted on 07/12/1999]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of petition	Product(s)
36,527	PGS Ocean Bottom Seismic (Comp).	Houston, TX	06/15/1999	Marine Seismic Exploration.
36,528	ASM America, Inc (Comp)	Phoenix, AZ	06/30/1999	Capital Equipment for Semiconductors.
36,529	Steward Cable Repair, Inc. (Wrks).	Midland, TX	05/22/1999	Produce and Repair Seismic Cables.
36,530	Newcom, Inc (Wrks)	W. Lake Village, CA	06/25/1999	Computer Modems.
36,531	BHP Copper North America (Comp).	Tucson, AZ	07/03/1999	Copper Mining.
36,532	McClatchy Bros., Inc (Wrks)	Midland, TX	06/29/1999	Truck Hauling—Oilfield.
36,533	Eastham Forge, Inc (Wrks)	Beaumont, TX	06/15/1999	Forgings for Oilwell Parts.
36,534	Thomaston Mills, Inc (Comp)	Thomaston, GA	06/29/1999	Industrial Denim Fabrics.
36,535	Cooper Tools (Comp)	Madison, ME	06/29/1999	Wooden Lathes.
36,536	Martin County Residential (Comp).	Williamston, NC	06/18/1999	Collars and Welts for Knit Shirts.
36,537	Ocean Beauty Seafood (ISFU)	Chinook, WA	06/26/1999	Seafood.
36,538	Texas Oil Co. (The) (Wkrs)	Houston, TX	05/13/1999	Oil and Gas.

[FR Doc. 99–20672 Filed 8–10–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-35,967]

Siemens ICN a/k/a Siemens Information Communications Networks, Inc., Cherry Hill, NJ; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 7, 1999, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on April

20, 1999, and published in the **Federal Register** on May 21, 1999 (64 FR 27810).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous:
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The investigation findings for the April 20 denial of TAA for workers of Siemens ICN producing communication and voice messaging servers and business telephones in Cherry Hill, New Jersey showed that criterion (3) of the group eligibility requirements of Section

222 of the Trade Act was not met. The work performed in Cherry Hill was transferred to another domestic facility. Sales at the subject plant increased prior to the shift in production.

The petitioners assert that the business phones manufactured in Austin, Texas were to be transferred to Cherry Hill. Siemens ICN, however, opted to import the product from Germany. The Department's investigation revealed that the business phones manufactured and imported from Germany are not like or directly competitive with those which were produced in Cherry Hill, New Jersey.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of