Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6397 or (202) 482– 1560, respectively.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 28, 1999.

Background

On December 2, 1998, the Department initiated, and the Commission instituted, a sunset review (63 FR 66527 and 63 FR 66527, respectively) of the antidumping duty order on frozen concentrated orange juice from Brazil pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. As a result of this review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the Commission of the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order to be revoked (see Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice from Brazil, 64 FR 16901 (April 7,

On May 21, 1999, the Commission determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty order on frozen concentrated orange juice from Brazil would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (see Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice from Brazil, 64 FR 27806 (May 21, 1999) and USITC Pub. 3195, Inv. No. 731–TA–326 (Review) (May 1999)).

Scope

The merchandise covered by this antidumping duty orders is frozen concentrated orange juice from Brazil. The merchandise is currently classifiable under subheading 2009.11.00 of the Harmonized Tarriff Schedule (HTS). The HTS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Determination

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the Commission that revocation of this antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty order on frozen concentrated orange juice from Brazil. The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to continue to collect antidumping duty deposits at the rate in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise. Pursuant to

section 751(c)(6)(A)(iii) of the Act, any subsequent five-year review of this order will be initiated not later than the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation of this order.

Normally, the effective date of continuation of a finding, order, or suspension agreement will be the date of publication in the Federal Register of the Notice of Continuation. As provided in 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4), the Department normally will issue its determination to continue a finding, order, or suspended investigation not later than seven days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of the Commission's determination concluding the sunset review and immediately thereafter will publish its notice of continuation in the **Federal Register**. In the instant case, however, the Department's publication of the Notice of Continuation was delayed. The Department has explicitly indicated that the effective date of continuation of this order is May 28, 1999, seven days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of the Commission's determination. As a result, pursuant to sections 751(c)(2) and 751(c)(6)(A) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of this order not later than April 2004.

Dated: July 30, 1999.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99–20213 Filed 8–4–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A–570–101]

Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order: Greige Polyester Cotton Printcloth From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of continuation of antidumping duty order: Greige polyester cotton printcloth from the People's Republic of China.

SUMMARY: On March 18, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department"), pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Tariff Act from 1930, as amended ("the Act"), determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on greige polyester cotton printcloth from the People's Republic of China ("China") would be likely to lead to continuation

or recurrence of dumping (64 FR 13399 (March 18, 1999)). On April 19, 1999, the International Trade Commission ("the Commission"), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on greige polyester cotton printcloth from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (64 FR 19195 (April 19, 1999)). Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4), the Department is publishing notice of the continuation of the antidumping duty order on greige polyester cotton printcloth from China.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott E. Smith or Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6397 or (202) 482–1560, respectively.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 26, 1999.

Background

On November 2, 1998, the Department initiated, and the Commission instituted, a sunset review (63 FR 58709 and 63 FR 58763, respectively) of the antidumping duty order on greige polyester cotton printcloth from China pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. As a result of this review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the Commission of the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order to be revoked (see Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Greige Polyester Cotton Printcloth from China, 64 FR 13399 (March 18, 1999))

On April 19, 1999, the Commission determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty order on greige polyester cotton printcloth from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (see Greige Polyester Cotton Printcloth from China, (64 FR 19195 (April 19, 1999) and USITC Pub. 3184, Inv. No. 731–TA–101 (Review) (April 1999)).

Scope

The merchandise covered by this antidumping duty order is shipments of greige greige polyester/cotton printcloth, other than 80×80 type, from China. Greige polyester/cotton printcloth is unbleached and uncolored printcloth.

The term "printcloth" refers to plain woven fabric, not napped, not fancy or figured, of single yarn, not combed, of average yarn number 26 to 40, weighing not more than 6 ounces per square yard, of a total count of more than 85 yarns per square inch, of which the total count of the warp yarns per inch and the total count of the filling yarns per inch are each less than 62 percent of the total count of the warp and filling yarns per square inch. This merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item 52.10.11.60. The HTS item number is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Determination

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the Commission that revocation of this antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty order on greige polyester cotton printcloth. The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to continue to collect antidumping duty deposits at the rate in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise. Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iii) of the Act, any subsequent five-year review of this order will be initiated not later than the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation of this order.

Normally, the effective date of continuation of a finding, order, or suspension agreement will be the date of publication in the Federal Register of the Notice of Continuation. As provided in 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4), the Department normally will issue its determination to continue a finding, order, or suspended investigation not later than seven days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of the Commission's determination concluding the sunset review and immediately thereafter will publish its notice of continuation in the Federal Register. In the instant case, however, the Department's publication of the Notice of Continuation was delayed. The Department has explicitly indicated that the effective date of continuation of this finding is April 26, 1999, seven days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of the Commission's determination. As a result, pursuant to sections 751(c)(2) and 751(c)(6)(A) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of this order not later than March 2004.

Dated: July 30, 1999.

Joseph Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99–20221 Filed 8–4–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Internal-Combustion, Industrial Forklift Trucks From Japan [A-588-703]

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of expedited sunset review: certain internal-combustion, industrial forklift trucks from Japan.

SUMMARY: On April 1, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on industrial forklift trucks from Japan (64 FR 15727) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive comments filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and inadequate response (in this case, no response) from respondent interested parties, the Department determined to conduct an expedited review. As a result of this review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the Final Results of Review section of this notice. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathrvn B. McCormick or Melissa G.

Kathryn B. McCormick or Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, US Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1698 or (202) 482–1560, respectively.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 5, 1999.

Statute and Regulations

This review is being conducted pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act. The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth in *Procedures for Conducting Fiveyear ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) ("Sunset Regulations") and in CFR Part 351 (1998) in general. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues

relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3—
Policies Regarding the Conduct of Fiveyear ("Sunset") Reviews of
Antidumping and Countervailing Duty
Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871
(April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Policy Bulletin").

Scope

The merchandise subject to this antidumping duty order is internalcombustion, industrial forklift trucks, with lifting capacity of 2,000 to 5,000 pounds, from Japan. The products covered are described as follows: assembled, not assembled, and less than complete, finished and not finished, operator-riding forklift trucks powered by gasoline, propane, or diesel fuel internal-combustion engines of off-thehighway types used in factories, warehouses, or transportation terminals for short-distance transport, towing, or handling of articles 1. Less than complete forklift trucks are defined as imports which include a frame by itself or a frame assembled with one or more component parts. Component parts of the subject forklift trucks which are not assembled with a frame are not covered by this order. Imports of these products were classified under items 692.4025, 692,4030 and 692,4070 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated ("TSUSA"), and are currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") item numbers 8427.20.00, 8427.90.00, and 8431.20.00. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive.

History of the Order

On April 15, 1988, the Department published a final affirmative determination of sales at less than fair value with respect to certain internal-combustion, industrial forklift trucks from Japan (53 FR 12552). The order resulted in the following company margins:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Margin (percent)
Toyota Motor Corp Nissan Motor Corp Komatsu Forklift Co., Ltd Sumitomo-Yale Co., Ltd Toyo Umpaki Co. Ltd Sanki Industrial Co Kasagi Forklift, Inc	17.29 51.33 47.50 51.33 51.33 13.65 56.81

¹ See Certain Internal-Combustion Industrial Forklift Trucks from Japan; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 62 FR 5592 (February 6, 1997).