amended (31 U.S.C. 9701), and secs. 103 and 104, Pub. L. 103–465, 108 Stat. 4819 (19 U.S.C. 3513 and 3601).

2. Amend § 6.21 by revising the definition of "Licensing Authority" to read as follows:

### § 6.21 Definitions.

\* \* \* \* \*

Licensing Authority. Any officer or employee of the U.S. Department of Agriculture designated to act in this position by the Director of the Division charged with managing the Dairy Tariff-Rate Import Quota Licensing System, currently the Import Policies and Programs Division of the Foreign Agricultural Service.

- 3. Redesignated §§ 6.35 and 6.36 as §§ 6.36 and 6.37, respectively.
  - 4. Add a new § 6.35 to read as follows:

### § 6.35 Correction of errors.

- (a) If a person demonstrates, to the satisfaction of the Licensing Authority, that errors were made by officers or employees of the United States Government, the Licensing Authority will review and rectify the errors to the extent permitted under this subpart.
- (b) To be considered, a person must provide sufficient documentation regarding the error to the Licensing Authority not later than March 15 of the calendar year following the calendar year in which the error was alleged to have been committed.
- (c) If the error resulted in the loss of a historical license by a license holder, the Licensing Authority will transfer the amount of such license from Appendix 2 to Appendix 1 in order to provide for the issuance of such license in the calendar year following the calendar year for which the license was not issued. The cumulative annual transfers to Appendix 1 in accordance with this paragraph will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Signed at Washington, DC on July 26, 1999. **Timothy J. Galvin**,

Administrator, Foreign Agricultural Service. [FR Doc. 99–19561 Filed 8–3–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–10–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-119-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A330–301, and Model A340–211, –212, –311, and –312 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration. DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Airbus Model A330-301, and Model A340-211, -212, -311, and -312 series airplanes. This proposal would require repetitive detailed visual inspections of the fuselage belly fairing support structure to detect cracks; and corrective action, if necessary. This proposal also would provide for an optional terminating action for the repetitive inspections. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to detect and correct fatigue cracking of the fuselage belly fairing support structure, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the fuselage belly fairing support structure.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by September 3, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-119-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2110; fax (425) 227–1149.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 99–NM–119–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

### **Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-119-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

### Discussion

The Direction Générale de l'Aviation Civile (DGAC), which is the airworthiness authority for France, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Airbus Model A330–301, and Model A340–211, –212, –311, and –312 series airplanes. The DGAC advises that, during fatigue tests, cracks were found in the fuselage belly fairing support structure. This condition, if not corrected, could result in reduced structural integrity of the fuselage belly fairing support structure.

## **Explanation of Relevant Service Information**

Airbus has issued Service Bulletins A330–53–3029, dated June 26, 1995 (for Model A330 series airplanes), and A340–53–4038, Revision 1, dated February 6, 1996 (for Model A340 series airplanes), which describe procedures for performing repetitive detailed visual inspections of particular parts of the fuselage belly fairing support structure for cracks, and repair, if necessary.

Airbus also has issued Service Bulletins A330–53–3012, dated June 26, 1995 (for Model A330 series airplanes), and A340-53-4020, dated June 26, 1996 (for Model A340 series airplanes), which describe procedures for modification of the fuselage belly fairing support structure. The modification involves removing certain parts and replacing the parts with new, improved parts. Accomplishment of this modification would eliminate the need for the repetitive inspections specified in Airbus Service Bulletins A330-53-3029 (for Model A330 series airplanes) and A340-53-4038 (for Model A340 series airplanes).

Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletins is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition. The DGAC classified Airbus Service Bulletins A330–53–3029 and A340–53–4038 as mandatory and issued French airworthiness directives 95–256–023(B) R1 and 95–258–037(B) R1, both dated December 17, 1997, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in France.

### **FAA's Conclusions**

These airplane models are manufactured in France and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the DGAC has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the DGAC, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

# Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in Airbus service bulletins described previously, except as discussed below. This proposed AD also would provide for optional terminating action for the repetitive inspections.

Operators should note that, in consonance with the findings of the DGAC, the FAA has determined that the

repetitive inspections proposed by this AD can be allowed to continue in lieu of accomplishment of a terminating action. In making this determination, the FAA considers that, in this case, long-term continued operational safety will be adequately assured by accomplishing the repetitive inspections to detect cracking before it represents a hazard to the airplanes.

## Differences Between Proposed Rule and Service Bulletin

Operators should note that, unlike the inspection procedures described in Airbus Service Bulletins A330–53–3029 (for Model A330 series airplanes) and A340–53–4038 (for Model A340 series airplanes), this proposed AD would not permit further flight if cracking is detected. The FAA has determined that, because of the safety implications and consequences associated with such cracking, any structure that is found to be cracked must be repaired prior to further flight, in accordance with the applicable service bulletins.

## Cost Impact

Currently, there are no Airbus Model A330–301 series airplanes on the U.S. Register. However, should an affected airplane be imported and placed on the U.S. Register in the future, it would take approximately 5 work hours to accomplish the proposed inspection, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$300 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

Also, there are no Airbus Model A340–211, –212, –311, and –312 series airplanes on the U.S. Register. However, should an affected airplane be imported and placed on the U.S. Register in the future, it would take approximately 6 work hours to accomplish the proposed inspection, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$360 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

Should an affected airplane be imported and placed on the U.S. Register and an operator elect to accomplish the optional terminating action rather than continue the repetitive inspections, it would take approximately between 10 and 178 hours per airplane (for Model A330 series airplanes), or between 10 and 188 hours per airplane (for Model A340 series airplanes), at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately between \$1,313 and \$13,262 (for Model A330 series airplanes) or between \$1,049 and \$14,311 (for Model A340 series

airplanes), per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of this optional terminating action is estimated to be between \$1,913 and \$23,942 (for Model A330 series airplanes) or between \$1,649 and \$25,591 (for Model A340 series airplanes), per airplane.

### **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

## **The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Airbus Industrie: Docket 99-NM-119-AD.

Applicability: Model A330–301 series airplanes, except those airplanes on which Airbus Modification 42332 (reference Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3012, dated June 26, 1995) has been accomplished; and Model

A340–211, -212, -311, and -312 series airplanes, except those airplanes on which Airbus Modification 42331 or 42332 (reference Airbus Service Bulletin A340–53–4020, dated June 26, 1995), has been accomplished; certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To detect and correct fatigue cracking of the fuselage belly fairing support structure, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the fuselage belly fairing support structure, accomplish the following:

### Repetitive Inspection

(a) Prior to the accumulation of 4,000 total flight cycles, or within 500 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, perform a detailed visual inspection of the fuselage belly fairing support structure for cracks, in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3029, dated June 26, 1995 (for Model A330 series airplanes); or A340–53–4038, Revision 1, dated February 6, 1996 (for Model A340 series airplanes); as applicable. Thereafter, repeat the inspection at intervals not to exceed 2,800 flight cycles.

### Repair

(b) If any crack is found during any inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3012, dated June 26, 1995 (for Model A330 series airplanes); or A340–53–4020, dated June 26, 1995 (for Model A340 series airplanes); as applicable. Accomplishment of this action constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspections required by this AD for only that repaired part.

## **Optional Terminating Action**

(c) Modification of the belly fairing support structure in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3012, dated June 26, 1995 (for Model A330 series airplanes); or A340–53–4020, dated June 26, 1995 (for Model A340 series airplanes); as applicable; constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

## **Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

## **Special Flight Permits**

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in French airworthiness directives 95–256–023(B) R1 and 95–258–037(B) R1, both dated December 17, 1997.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 29, 1999.

### D.L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 99–20067 Filed 8–3–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-52-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

### Airworthiness Directives; Short Brothers Model SD3-60 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Short Brothers Model SD3-60 series airplanes. This proposal would require repetitive inspections of the elevator trim control cables for signs of wear damage or broken wires; replacement of damaged or broken cables with certain new cables; and replacement of all 7x7 cables with 7×19 cables. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the elevator trim cable due to fatigue cracking, which if not corrected, could result in reduced controllability of the airplane.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by September 3, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99–NM–52–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Short Brothers, Airworthiness & Engineering Quality, P.O. Box 241, Airport Road, Belfast BT3 9DZ, Northern Ireland. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2110; fax (425) 227-1149.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 99–NM–52–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

### **Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the