would be allocated and contracted to the Gila River Indian Community; 28,200 acre feet of CAP water would be allocated and contracted to the Tohono O'odham Nation; and a residual amount of 69,800 would be available for allocation and contracting by the Secretary for use in facilitating additional, future Indian Water Rights Settlements.

2. 17,800 acre feet of the CAP water permanently relinquished by the Harquahala Valley Irrigation District (HVID) would be allocated and contracted to the Gila River Indian Community. This water has the priority of "Indian" priority CAP water.

3. All remaining, (approximately 18,600 acre feet) CAP water permanently relinquished by the Roosevelt Water Conservation District, would be allocated and contracted by the Gila River Indian Community. This water would retain its "Non-Indian Agricultural" CAP priority.

4. 17,000 AF of CAP water expected

4. 17,000 AF of CAP water expected to be permanently relinquished by ASARCO Incorporated, would be allocated and contracted by the Gila River Indian Community. This water would retain its "M&I" CAP priority. 5. 65,647 acre feet of M&I priority

water would be allocated and contracted to various municipalities and other entities within the CAP service area. This water would retain its "M&I" CAP priority. Identification of entities, and associated specific quantities for this water would be made after consultation between the Secretary and the State of Arizona (Arizona). It is anticipated that further, specific, NEPA compliance would be undertaken after Arizona has identified the entities it proposes should received allocations of this "M&I" priority CAP water, and completion of the consultation between the Secretary and Arizona on this matter.

As part of the proposed action Reclamation will consider all provisions of the settlements currently under negotiation, including provisions under consideration in the potential settlement of the Central Arizona Water Conservation District v. United States litigation, in which, as noted above, settlement discussions are currently in progress.

Range of Alternatives

In addition to the above-described proposed action (*i.e.* the settlement approach), Reclamation will implement NEPA requirements by identifying, reviewing and evaluating additional alternatives as part of its NEPA analysis. These alternative analyses will include alternatives that would address alternative allocation and contracting

scenarios that could involve Non-Indian Agricultural priority water, Indian priority water, and M&I priority water. Reclamation will also include alternative allocation and contracting scenarios that could be undertaken in the absence of a settlement as part of its NEPA analysis.

Authority

The Secretary has the authority to contract for supplies of Central Arizona Project water under the Act of June 17, 1902, 32 Stat. 388, as amended and/or supplemented, including specifically the Colorado River Basin Project Act of September 30, 1968 (82 Stat. 885, 43 U.S.C. 1501 et seq.).

Prior Efforts

Allocation of CAP project water has been the subject of previous notices published in the **Federal Register** (See, e.g., 37 FR 28082, December 20, 1972; 40 FR 17297, April 18, 1975; 41 FR 45883, October 18, 1976; 45 FR 52938, August 8, 1980; 45 FR 81265, December 10, 1980; 48 FR 12446, March 24, 1983; 56 FR 29704, June 28, 1991; 57 FR 4470, February 5, 1992; and 57 FR 483884, October 23, 1992).

Bruce Babbitt,

Secretary of the Interior. [FR Doc. 99–19558 Filed 7–29–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–10–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management [CA-360-2822-00-D680]

Closure and Restriction Orders

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Interior.

ACTION: Temporary closure of certain public lands within Trinity County, California.

summary:The BLM is restricting camping and day uses on certain public lands located near the Trinity River at Bucktail Hole in Trinity County California. All public land within the NE½ of Section 23 and the NW¼ of Section 24, Township 33 North, Range 8 West on the south side (left bank facing downstream) of the Trinity River is closed to camping and day uses, except those specifically authorized by BLM, until September 1, 1999.

The closure is meant to protect the public from activities within the Bucktail Hole area related to emergency rehabilitation of the Lowden wildfire area and the community of Lewiston, Trinity County, California. BLM contractors will be operating heavy

equipment and camping within the Bucktail Hole area as part of the rehabilitation effort. Their activities could pose a threat to the safety of the public.

Background

The Lowden wildfire began on July 2, 1999 and resulted in the destruction of 23 homes and numerous outbuildings on private lands. The wildfire was due to the inadvertent escape of a BLM prescribed burn. Therefore, BLM is providing a contractor(s) to assist in the removal of debris from the private lands. Concrete and other masonry retrieved from ruins will be stored at an abandoned rock quarry on public land at Bucktail Hole. Water will also be drawn from the Trinity River within the Bucktail Hole area for use in abating fugitive dust on dirt or gravel access roads within the wildfire area during the implementation of the debris removal contract(s). Lastly, BLM is providing camping areas for contractors within the Bucktail Hole area due to the remote location of the project area and as a means to provide better security for contractor's equipment.

The authority for these closures and rule makings is 43 CFR 8364.1. Any person who fails to comply with a closure order or rule making is subject to arrest and fines of up to \$100,000 and/or imprisonment not to exceed 12 months.

DATES: These restrictions take effect July 30, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles M. Schultz, Field Manager, Bureau of Land Management, 355 Hemsted Drive, Redding, CA 96002.

Francis Berg,

Acting Redding Field Manager.
[FR Doc. 99–19500 Filed 7–29–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–40–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[CO-500 1990-00]

Front Range Resource Advisory Council (Colorado) Open Houses and Comment Period

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of open houses and public comment period.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Front Range Resource Advisory Council (Front Range RAC) will hold open houses for the purpose of gathering public comments and input

on Outdoor Recreation Management Guidelines. These will be recommended to the Bureau of Land Management in Colorado for consideration and use in day-to-day management of public lands in Colorado within the Front Range Center. The RAC will also accept written comments on the Outdoor Recreation Management Guidelines.

DATES: The open houses are scheduled for the following dates and times. Any changes to the time, date and/or location of the Open Houses will be publicized in the local media.

The open houses will be held at the Beidleman Environmental Center, 740 W. Caramillo Street, Colorado Springs, Colorado; at the Chaffee County Fairgrounds, 10165 County Road 120, Salida, Colorado. Unless otherwise indicated, all open houses will begin at 7 p.m. and end at approximately 9 p.m.

August 17, 1999 at the Beidleman Environmental Center.

August 31, 1999 at the Chaffee County Fairgrounds.

The written public comment period will begin with the publication of this notice and end September 15, 1999. Comments should be sent to Bureau of Land Management, Front Range Center, Attn: RAC, 3170 East Main Street, Canon City, Colorado 81212. Written comments and those given at the Open Houses will be equally considered. A copy of the Draft Outdoor Recreation Management Guidelines can be requested.

ADDRESSES: Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Front Range Center, 3170 East Main Street, Canon City Colorado 81212; Telephone (719) 269–8500.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ken Smith at 719–269–8553.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Front Range RAC, along with the other RACs in Colorado, intend to develop a joint set of outdoor recreation management guidelines to recommend to the BLM in Colorado for adoption and use in everyday management of the lands the agency administers. The open houses are intended as a means for the RACs to gather informal input and ideas on the proposed set of guidelines that all the RACs in Colorado have agreed with so far. After September 15, 1999, representatives from all RACs will jointly develop a set of final recommended guidelines to submit to the BLM for consideration. The guidelines will include

recommendations dealing with the management of off-highway vehicle use. **Donnie R. Sparks**,

Front Range Center Manager.
[FR Doc. 99–19484 Filed 7–29–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–JB–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. AA1921–129 (Review)]

Polychloroprene Rubber From Japan

Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping finding on melamine from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

The Commission instituted this review on August 3, 1998 (63 FR 41282) and determined on November 5, 1998 that it would conduct a full review (63 FR 63748, November 16, 1998). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on December 16, 1998 (63 FR 69306). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on June 3, 1999, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on July 26, 1999. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3212 (July 1999), entitled *Polychloroprene Rubber from Japan (Inv. No. AA1921–129 (Review).*

Issued: July 27, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–19582 Filed 7–29–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701–TA–393–396 (Preliminary) and Investigations Nos. 731– TA–829–840 (Preliminary)]

Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Products From Argentina, Brazil, China, Indonesia, Japan, Russia, Slovakia, South Africa, Taiwan, Thailand, Turkey, and Venezuela

Determinations

On the basis of the record 1 developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 703(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671b(a)), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from Brazil of certain cold-rolled steel products, provided for in headings 7209, 7210, 7211, 7212, 7225, and 7226 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be subsidized by the Government of Brazil. The Commission further determines, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1677(24)(A), that the subject imports from Indonesia, Thailand,2 and Venezuela that are alleged to be subsidized are negligible and its investigations are thereby terminated pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1671b(a)(1).3 The Commission also determines, pursuant to section 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673b(a)), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of such imports from Argentina, Brazil, China, Indonesia, Japan, Russia, Slovakia, South Africa, Taiwan, Thailand, Turkey, and Venezuela that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value.

Commencement of Final Phase Investigations

Pursuant to § 207.18 of the Commission's rules, the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigations. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling which will be published in the **Federal Register** as provided in § 207.21 of the Commission's rules upon notice from the Department of Commerce

¹The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f))

² Commissioners Crawford and Askey dissenting.

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

 $^{^2\,\}mathrm{Commissioner}$ Koplan dissenting with respect to allegedly subsidized imports from Thailand.

³ Chairman Bragg, however, further finds that imports from Indonesia, Thailand, and Venezuela will imminently exceed the statutory negligibility threshold, and makes an affirmative threat determination with regard to such imports.