

The proposed revisions would improve the FAA in management of air traffic operations in the State of Washington. No comments were received in response to the proposal.

On July 22, 1998, the FAA published a final rule amending 14 CFR part 71, revising V-165 and V-287 in the State of Washington (63 FR 39234). However, on August 14, 1998 the FAA delayed the effective date for the revisions to conduct additional flight inspections (63 FR 43622). This final rule establishes an effective date of September 9, 1999, for the implementation of changes to V-165 and V-287 in the State of Washington.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Effective Date

The effective date of the final rule, Airspace Docket No. 97-ANM-23, as published in the **Federal Register** on July 22, 1998 (63 FR 39234), and delayed on August 14, 1998 (63 FR 43622) is 0901 UTC September 9, 1999.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 14, 1999.

Reginald C. Matthews,

Manager, Airspace and Rules Division.

[FR Doc. 99-18565 Filed 7-23-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

UNITED STATES INFORMATION AGENCY

22 CFR Part 514

Exchange Visitor Program

AGENCY: United States Information Agency.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: By interim rule published June 26, 1998 (63 FR 34808) the Agency adopted a fee sufficient for it to recover the full cost of its administrative processing of request for waiver of the two-year return to the home country requirement set forth in section 212(e) of the Immigration and Naturalization Act (8 U.S.C. 1182(e)). Such interim rule is hereby adopted as final without change.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 26, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stanley S. Colvin, Assistant General Counsel, United States Information Agency, 301 4th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20547; telephone, (202) 619-6531.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Agency has determined that its review of and recommendation regarding requests for the waiver of the two-year return to the home country requirement imposed by 8 U.S.C. 1182(e) confers a specific benefit to the requesting individual. Accordingly, a fee sufficient to recoup the costs of conferring this specific benefit is appropriate. The Agency identified all administrative tasks associated with the administrative processing of a waiver application and determined that the per unit cost of processing a waiver application is \$136.

In publishing its interim rule the Agency provided a thirty day public comment period and received four comments. All comments were well reasoned and suggested that the fee should vary according to the statutory basis upon which the application was presented. The assumption underlying these comments was that significantly more or less work is involved in the review and recommendation of waiver cases depending upon the basis of the application. The Agency has examined this suggestion and determines that all waiver and recommendations require that the Agency receive the waiver application, record the fee, input the application data, manage assorted records, adjudicate the application, prepare outgoing correspondence, and respond to various inquiries regarding the application. Accordingly, the administrative cost associated with the processing of these various waiver requests varies little if at all and the

\$136 unit cost is the appropriate fee for all waiver applications.

A second comment theme to the comments received regarded the segregation of the monies collected for use by the administrative processing unit responsible for waiver application. As explained in the interim rule, the Government may recoup the full cost of administrative processing, but not more. Pursuant to statute and Executive Branch directive, the fee collected must be used to pay the costs of the administrative unit responsible for the processing of the applications.

Finally, the comments suggested that the Agency clarify that no fee is required for an advisory opinion request. The Agency does not anticipate imposing a fee for advisory opinions and does not consider an advisory opinion to confer a specific and identifiable benefit upon an individual for which a fee may be lawfully imposed.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 514

Cultural Exchange Programs.

Les Jin,

General Counsel.

Accordingly, the interim rule amending 22 CFR part 514, published at 63 FR 34808 on June 26, 1998 is adopted as a final rule without change.

[FR Doc. 99-18987 Filed 7-23-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8230-01-M

NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD

29 CFR Parts 1203, 1205 and 1209

Administrative Corrections

AGENCY: National Mediation Board.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The National Mediation Board is making minor administrative corrections at various locations in its regulations. None of the corrections will affect the substance of any provision in the regulations.

DATES: This rule is effective July 26, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ronald M. Etters, General Counsel, National Mediation Board, Washington, DC 20572, Telephone (202) 692-5040.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Because these changes are minor and do not affect the substance of 29 CFR, we are publishing this rule as a final rule with no opportunity for public comment.

List of Subjects**29 CFR Part 1203**

Administrative practice and procedure, Air carriers, Labor management relations.

29 CFR Part 1205

Air carriers, Railroads.

29 CFR Part 1209

National Mediation Board, Sunshine Act.

Accordingly, the National Mediation Board is amending 29 CFR parts 1203, 1205, and 1209 as follows:

PART 1203—APPLICATIONS FOR SERVICE

1. The authority citation for part 1203 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 44 Stat. 577, as amended; 45 U.S.C. 151–163.

§ 1203.1 [Amended]

2. Section 1203.1 is amended in the first sentence by removing the word “Secretary” and adding in its place the words “Chief of Staff’s Office or on the Internet at www.nmb.gov”. The last sentence is amended by revising “Board’s officer” to read “Board’s offices”.

§ 1203.2 [Amended]

3. Section 1203.2 is amended in the first sentence by revising “Executive Secretary” to read “Representation and Legal Department or on the Internet at www.nmb.gov”.

§ 1203.3 [Amended]

4. Section 1203.3 is amended in paragraph (a) by revising “Secretary” to read “Chief of Staff”.

PART 1205—NOTICES IN RE: RAILWAY LABOR ACT

5. The authority citation for part 1205 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 44 Stat. 577, as amended; 45 U.S.C. 151–163.

§ 1205.4 [Amended]

6. Section 1205.4 is amended by removing the “s” in “Acts”.

PART 1209—PUBLIC OBSERVATION OF NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD MEETINGS

7. The authority citation for part 1209 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(g).

§ 1209.7 [Amended]

8. In § 1209.7(f) remove the words “Executive Secretary” and add in their place, the words “Chief of Staff”.

§ 1209.8 [Amended]

9. In § 1209.8(d) remove the words “Executive Secretary” and add in their place, the words “Chief of Staff”.

Dated: July 19, 1999.

Stephen E. Crable,

Chief of Staff.

[FR Doc. 99–18939 Filed 7–23–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7550–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 52**

[IN96–1a; FRL–6401–9]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan; Indiana

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving temporary revised opacity limits for two processes at ALCOA Warrick Operations, which were submitted by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) on December 8, 1998, as amendments to its State Implementation Plan (SIP). ALCOA Warrick Operations is a primary aluminum smelter located in Newburgh, Indiana. The revised limits allow for higher opacity emissions during fluxing operations at two holding furnaces for a period of one year. The temporary limits for the #1 and #8 complexes expire on May 26, 1999, and June 15, 1999, respectively. Mass emissions limits are not being changed.

DATES: This rule is effective on September 24, 1999, unless EPA receives adverse written comments by August 25, 1999. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: You should mail written comments to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

You may inspect copies of the State submittal and EPA’s analysis of it at: Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Pohlman, Environmental Scientist, Regulation Development

Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–3299.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document wherever “we”, “us”, or “our” are used we mean EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. What is the EPA approving?
- II. What facilities/operations does this action apply to?
- III. What are the provisions of the temporary opacity limits?
- IV. What are the current limits on these sources?
- V. What supporting materials did Indiana provide?
- VI. What are the environmental effects of this action?
- VII. EPA rulemaking action.
- VIII. Administrative requirements.
 - A. Executive Order 12866
 - B. Executive Order 12875
 - C. Executive Order 13045
 - D. Executive Order 13084
 - E. Regulatory Flexibility Act
 - F. Unfunded Mandates
 - G. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General
 - H. Paperwork Reduction Act
 - I. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act
 - J. Petitions for Judicial Review

I. What Is the EPA Approving?

We are approving as SIP revisions temporary revised opacity limits for two processes at ALCOA Warrick Operations, which were submitted by IDEM on December 8, 1998. The revised limits allow for higher opacity emissions during fluxing operations at two holding furnaces for a period of one year. The temporary limits for the #1 and #8 complexes expire on May 26, 1999, and June 15, 1999, respectively.

II. What Facilities/Operations Does This Action Apply to?

We are approving temporary revised opacity limits for two processes at ALCOA Warrick Operations. ALCOA Warrick Operations is a primary aluminum smelter located in Newburgh, Indiana. Molten aluminum is transferred from the melt furnaces into the holding furnaces for final fluxing, then cast into slabs. There are no particulate matter (PM) control devices for these processes. Emissions are exhausted through ventilation hoods to the exhaust stacks for each holding furnace. The revised limits apply to the #1 Complex (the Horizontal Direct Chill Casting, or HDC) and the #8 Complex (the Electromagnetic Casting, or EMC).