payment before work is commenced or continued on a request, unless:

(1) NASA estimates or determines that the allowable charges are likely to exceed \$250. NASA will notify the requester of the likely cost and obtain satisfactory assurance of full payment where the requester has a history of prompt payment of FOIA fees, or require an advance payment of an amount up to the full estimated charges in the case of requesters with no history of payment; or

of payment; or
(2) A requester has previously failed to pay a fee in a timely fashion (within 30 days of billing), then NASA may require the requester to pay the full amount owed plus any applicable interest as provided below (see § 1206.706(a)), or demonstrate that he/she has, in fact, paid the fee, and to make an advance payment of the full amount of the estimated fee before the Agency begins to process a new request

or a pending request from that requester. (b) When NASA acts under paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) of this section, the administrative time limits will begin only after NASA has received the fee payments described in paragraph (a) of this section.

§1206.705 Form of payment.

Payment shall be made by check or money order payable to the "National Aeronautics and Space Administration" and sent per instructions in the initial determination.

§1206.706 Nonpayment of fees.

(a) Interest to be charged. Requesters are advised that should they fail to pay the fees assessed, they may be charged interest on the amount billed starting on the 31st day following the day on which the billing was sent. Interest will be at the rate prescribed in section 3717 of Title 31 U.S.C.

(b) Applicability of Debt Collection Act of 1982 (Pub. L. 97–365). Requesters are advised that if full payment is not received within 60 days after the billing was sent, the procedures of the Debt Collection Act may be invoked (14 CFR 1261.407–1261.409). These procedures include three written demand letters at not more than 30-day intervals, disclosure to a consumer reporting agency, and the use of a collection agency, where appropriate.

Subpart 8—Failure to Release Records to the Public

§ 1206.800 Failure to release records to the public.

(a) Except to the extent that a person has actual and timely notice of the terms thereof, a person may not in any manner be required to resort to, or be adversely affected by, a matter required to be published in the **Federal Register** under § 1206.200(a) and not so published.

(b) A final order, opinion, statement of policy, interpretation, or staff manual or instruction that affects a member of the public may be relied upon, used, or cited as precedent by NASA against any member of the public only if it has been indexed and either made available or published as provided by § 1206.200(b) or if the member of the public has actual and timely notice of the terms thereof.

(c) Failure to make available an Agency record required to be made available under this part could provide the jurisdictional basis for a suit against NASA under 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4) (B) through (G), which provides as follows:

(B) On complaint, the District Court of the United States in the district in which the complainant resides, or has his principal place of business, or in which the Agency records are situated, or in the District of Columbia, has jurisdiction to enjoin the Agency from withholding Agency records and to order the production of any Agency records improperly withheld from the complainant. In such a case the court shall determine the matter de novo, and may examine the contents of such Agency records in camera to determine whether such records or any part thereof shall be withheld under any of the exemptions set forth in subsection (b) of this section, and the burden is on the Agency to sustain its action.

(C) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the defendant shall serve an answer or otherwise plead to any complaint made under this subsection within 30 days after service upon the defendant of the pleading in which such complaint is made, unless the court otherwise directs for good cause shown.

[(D) Repealed. Pub. L. 98–620, Title IV, 402(2), Nov. 8, 1984, 98 Stat. 3335, 3375.]

(E) The court may assess against the United States reasonable attorney fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in any case under this section in which the complainant has substantially prevailed.

(F) Whenever the court orders the production of any Agency records improperly withheld from the complainant and assesses against the United States reasonable attorney fees and other litigation costs, and the court additionally issues a written finding that the circumstances surrounding the withholding raise questions whether Agency personnel acted arbitrarily or capriciously with respect to the withholding, the Special Counsel shall promptly initiate a proceeding to determine whether disciplinary action is warranted against the officer or employee who was primarily responsible for the withholding. The Special Counsel, after investigation and consideration of the evidence submitted, shall submit his findings and recommendations to the administrative authority of the Agency concerned and shall send copies of the findings and recommendations to the officer or employee or his representative. The administrative

authority shall take the corrective action that the Special Counsel recommends.

(G) In the event of noncompliance with the order of the court, the district court may punish for contempt the responsible employee, and in the case of a uniformed service, the responsible member.

Subpart 9—Annual Report

§ 1206.900 Requirements for annual report.

On or before February 1 of each year, NASA shall submit a report covering the preceding fiscal year to the Department of Justice.

Dated: July 2, 1999.

Daniel S. Goldin,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 99–17966 Filed 7–21–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 74

[Docket No. 98C-0041]

Listing of Color Additives for Coloring Sutures; [Phthalocyaninato(2-)] Copper; Confirmation of Effective Date

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Final rule; confirmation of effective date.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is confirming the effective date of June 2, 1999, for the final rule that appeared in the **Federal Register** of April 30, 1999 (64 FR 23185), and amended the color additive regulations to provide for the safe use of [phthalocyaninato(2-)] copper in coloring nonabsorbable sutures for general and opthalmic surgery made from a blend of poly(vinylidene fluoride) and poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene).

DATES: Effective date confirmed: June 2, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ellen M. Waldron, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS– 215), Food and Drug Administration,

215), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202–418–3089.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the **Federal Register** of April 30, 1999 (64 FR 23185), FDA amended the color additive regulations in § 74.3045 [*Phthalocyaninato(2-)*] copper (21 CFR 74.3045) to provide for the safe use of [phthalocyaninato(2-)] copper in coloring nonabsorbable sutures for

general and opthalmic surgery made from a blend of poly(vinylidene fluoride) and poly(vinylidene fluorideco-hexafluoropropylene).

FDA gave interested persons until June 1, 1999, to file objections or requests for a hearing. The agency received no objections or requests for a hearing on the final rule. Therefore, FDA finds that the effective date of the final rule that published in the **Federal Register** of April 30, 1999, should be confirmed.

List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 74

Color additives, Cosmetics, Drugs. Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321, 341, 342, 343, 348, 351, 352, 355, 361, 362, 371, 379e) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR 5.10), notice is given that no objections or requests for a hearing were filed in response to the April 30, 1999, final rule. Accordingly, the amendments issued thereby became effective June 2, 1999.

Dated: July 15, 1999.

William K. Hubbard,

Senior Associate Commissioner for Policy, Planning and Legislation.

[FR Doc. 99–18693 Filed 7–21–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 100

[CGD 05-99-064]

RIN 2115-AE46

Special Local Regulations for Marine Events; Chesapeake Challenge, Patapsco River, Baltimore, MD

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

summary: Temporary special local regulations are being adopted for the Chesapeake Challenge powerboat race to be held on the waters of the Patapsco River near Baltimore, Maryland. These regulations are needed to protect boaters, spectators and participants from the dangers associated with the event. This action is intended to enhance the safety of life and property during the event.

DATES: This temporary final rule is effective from 1 p.m. EDT (Eastern Daylight Time) to 4 p.m. EDT on July 24 and 25, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Documents as indicated in this preamble are available for

inspection or copying at Commander (Aoax), Fifth Coast Guard District, 431 Crawford Street, Portsmouth, Virginia 23704–5004, between 9:30 a.m. and 2 p.m., Monday trough Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is (757) 398–6204.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Chief Warrant Officer R. Houck, Marine Events Coordinator Commander, Coast Guard Activities Baltimore, 2401 Hawkins Point Road, Baltimore Maryland, 21226–1791, telephone number (410) 576–2674.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory History

A notice of proposed rulemaking (NRPM) was not published for this regulation. In keeping with 5 U.S.C. 553(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a NPRM. In keeping with the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard also finds that good cause exists for making this regulation effective less than 30 days after publication in the Federal **Register**. The Coast Guard received confirmation of this request for special local regulations on June 8, 1999. There was not sufficient time to publish a proposed rule in advance of the event. Publishing a NPRM and delaying the effective date of the regulation would be contrary to the public interest, because immediate action is necessary to protect vessel traffic from the potential hazards associated with this event.

Background and Purpose

On July 24 and 25, 1999, the Chesapeake Bay Power Boat Association will sponsor the Chesapeake Challenge, a marine event to be held on the waters of the Patapsco River near Baltimore, Maryland. The event will consist of 65 to 80 offshore power boats racing in heats around an oval race course. A large fleet of spectator vessels is anticipated. Due to the need for vessel control during the races, vessel traffic will be temporarily restricted to provide for the safety of spectators, participants and transiting vessels.

Discussion of Regulations

The Coast Guard is establishing temporary special local regulations on specified waters of the Patapsco River. The temporary special local regulations will be in effect from 1 p.m. EDT (Eastern Daylight Time) to 4 p.m. EDT on July 24 and 25, 1999 and will restrict general navigation in the regulated areas during the event. Except for persons or vessels authorized by the Coast Guard Patrol Commander, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the regulated area. Special anchorage areas will also

be established for spectator vessels to view the event. These regulations are needed to control vessel traffic during the marine event to enhance the safety of participants, spectators, and transiting vessels.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order. It has been exempted from review by the office of Management and Budget under that order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979).

The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary. This conclusion is based on the fact that the regulated areas will only be in effect for a limited amount of time, extensive advisories have been and will be made to the affected maritime community so that they may adjust their schedules accordingly, and the event schedule will allow commercial interests to coordinate their activities to allow for minimal disruption to their enterprise.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Coast Guard considered whether this temporary final rule will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small Entities" include small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

Because this temporary final rule will only be in effect for a short period of time and extensive advisories will be made to the affected maritime community so that they may adjust their schedules accordingly, the Coast Guard expects the impact of this temporary final rule to be minimal.

Therefore, the Coast Guard certifies under section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) that this temporary final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Collection of Information

This temporary final rule does not provide for a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).