

shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his/her interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any such hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Confirmatory Order should be sustained.

In the absence of any request for hearing, or written approval of an extension of time in which to request a hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. If an extension of time for requesting a hearing has been approved, the provisions specified in Section IV shall be final when the extension expires if a hearing request has not been received. An answer or a request for hearing shall not stay the immediate effectiveness of this Order.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of July 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Roy P. Zimmerman,**

*Deputy Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 99-18058 Filed 7-14-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-482]

### **Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-42 issued to Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation (the licensee) for operation of the Wolf Creek Generating Station (WCGS) located in Coffey County, Kansas.

The proposed amendment request dated June 30, 1999, would revise Technical Specification (TS) 3/4.7.5 of the current TSs by adding a temporary action statement that would allow the plant to operate for up to 12 hours with an inlet temperature up to but less than 95 degrees F. The current TS limit is 90 degrees F. This new action statement

would be temporary in that it would be effective until September 30, 1999, after the summer. This action statement was added to the current TSs in Amendment 118 dated July 18, 1998, but it was only effective until September 30, 1998. Amendment 118 was issued because in 1998 the WCGS cooling lake that provides inlet water to the plant exceeded 89 degrees F and, due to predictions for continuing harsh meteorological conditions throughout the summer of 1998, the concern existed that the plant inlet temperature would exceed 90 degrees F and the plant would be forced to have an unnecessary shutdown. The licensee submitted a permanent change to TS 3/4.7.5 on January 12, 1999; however, the Commission considers this proposed change to be generic in nature and should be reviewed as a change to NUREG-1431, Standard Technical Specifications, Westinghouse Plants." NUREG-1431 is the standard for the Improved Technical Specifications that were issued for WCGS in Amendment 123 dated March 31, 1999. To allow the Commission sufficient time to review the generic change to NUREG-1431, the licensee was requested to resubmit the temporary change approved in Amendment 118 with the temporary change being effective until September 30, 1999, for the warm weather of this summer. This is the change submitted by the licensee on June 30, 1999.

The proposed change is only to the current TSs because the improved TSs issued in Amendment 123 will become effective after September 30, 1999, when this temporary change is no longer valid.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change does not involve any physical alteration of plant systems, structures or components. The proposed change provides an allowed time [12 hours] for the plant to continue operation with plant inlet water temperature in excess of the current technical specification limit of 90°F, but less than the design limit of 95°F for plant components. The plant inlet water temperature is not assumed to be an initiating condition of any accident analysis evaluated in the updated safety analysis report (USAR). Therefore, the allowance of a limited time for the water temperature to be in excess of the current limit does not involve an increase in the probability of an accident previously evaluated in the USAR. The UHS [ultimate heat sink] supports operability of safety related systems used to mitigate the consequences of an accident. Plant operation for brief periods with plant inlet water temperature greater than 90°F but less than 95°F will not adversely affect the operability of these safety-related systems and will not adversely impact the ability of these systems to perform their safety-related functions. Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the USAR.

2. The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

The proposed change does not involve any physical alteration of plant systems, structures or components. The temperature of the plant inlet water being greater than 90°F but less than 95°F for a short period [12 hours] does not introduce new failure mechanisms for systems, structures or components not already considered in the USAR. Therefore, the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated is not created.

3. The proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

The proposed change will allow an increase in plant inlet water temperature above the current technical specification limit of 90°F for the Ultimate Heat Sink, and delay the requirement to shutdown the plant when the plant inlet water system temperature limit is exceeded for 12 hours. The proposed change does not alter any safety limits, limiting safety system settings, or limiting conditions for operation [except for TS 3/4.7.5], and the proposed temperature increase will remain below the design limit cooling water input value for safety-related equipment. Thus, the proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in any margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D59, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By August 16, 1999, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW.,

Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Emporia State University, William Allen White Library, 1200 Commercial Street, Emporia, Kansas 66801 and Washburn University School of Law Library, Topeka, Kansas 66621. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish

those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Jay Silberg, Esq., Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge, 2300 N Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a

balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)–(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated June 30, 1999, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Emporia State University, William Allen White Library, 1200 Commercial Street, Emporia, Kansas 66801 and Washburn University School of Law Library, Topeka, Kansas 66621.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of July 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Jack N. Donohew,**

*Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 99–18056 Filed 7–14–99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Pilot Program Evaluation Panel; Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of October 6, 1972 (Pub. L. 94–463, Stat. 770–776) the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) announced the establishment of the Pilot Program Evaluation Panel (PPEP). The PPEP will function as a management-level Oversight group to monitor and evaluate the success of the Commission's Reactor Oversight Process Improvements program. A Charter governing the PPEP functions as a Federal Advisory Committee was filed on June 30, 1999, after consultation with the Committee Management Secretariat, General Services Administration. The PPEP will hold its first meeting on July 28, 1999, in Conference Room T–2B1, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The PPEP meeting participants are listed below along with their affiliation:

Frank P. Gillespie—Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mohan C. Thadani—Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
James T. Wiggins—Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Bruce Mallet—Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Geoffrey Grant—Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Kenneth E. Brockman—Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
James Lieberman—Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Steve Floyd—Nuclear Energy Institute  
David Garchow—Public Service Electric and Gas  
Masoud Bajestani—Tennessee Valley Authority  
George Barnes—Commonwealth Edison Company  
James Chase—Omaha Public Power District  
Gary Wright—Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety  
David Lochbaum—Union of Concerned Scientists

A tentative agenda of the meeting is outlined as follows:

9:00–9:30 a.m. ....	Introduction and opening remarks <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Role of the Designated Federal Employee</li> <li>• Noticing requirements</li> <li>• Public participation</li> </ul>
9:30–11:00 a.m. ....	Discuss conduct of panel and rules of operation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Frequency of meetings</li> <li>• Location of meetings</li> <li>• Formation of subcommittees</li> <li>• Distribution of transcripts and other information</li> <li>• Approach to report generation</li> <li>• Use of facilities</li> </ul>
11:00 a.m.–12:00 n. ..	Staff presentation on how pilot data are being collected and analyzed
12:00 n.–1:00 p.m. ....	Lunch
1:00–2:00 p.m. ....	NEI Presentation on Industry evaluation efforts and what would be available to the Panel
2:00–3:00 p.m. ....	Panel discussion on need for any additional data or analyses
3:00 p.m. ....	Discussion and public presentations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Future invited speakers</li> <li>• Public's oral presentations</li> </ul>
4:00 p.m. ....	Meeting Adjourned

Meetings of the PPEP are open to the members of the public. Formal procedures for the conduct of the Panel meetings will be developed during the July 28, 1999 meeting. In the interim, at the July 28, 1999, meeting, oral or written views may be presented by the members of the public, including members of the nuclear industry. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify Mr. Frank P. Gillespie (Telephone 301/415–1004, e-mail FPG@nrc.gov) or Mr. Mohan C. Thadani (Telephone 301/415–1476, e-mail MCT@nrc.gov) five days prior to the meeting date, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made to allow necessary time during the meeting for such statements. Use of still, motion picture, and television cameras will be permitted during this meeting.

Further information regarding topics of discussion; whether the meeting has been canceled, rescheduled, or relocated; and the Panel Chairman's ruling regarding requests to

present oral statements and time allotted, may be obtained by contacting Mr. Frank P. Gillespie or Mr. Mohan C. Thadani between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. EDT.

PPEP meeting transcripts and meeting reports will be available from the Commission's Public Document Room. Transcripts will be placed on the agency's web page when a web site for PPEP is established.

Dated: July 9, 1999.

**Andrew Bates,**

*Advisory Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 99–18054 Filed 7–14–99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Consolidated Guidance About Materials Licenses: Program-Specific Guidance About Well Logging, Tracer, and Field Flood Study Licenses, Availability of Draft NUREG

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is announcing the availability of and requesting comments on draft NUREG–1556, Volume 14, "Consolidated Guidance about Materials Licenses: Program-Specific Guidance about Well Logging, Tracer, and Field